

# PERFORMANCE AGREEMENT

# MADE AND ENTERED INTO BY AND BETWEEN:

# **SENQU MUNICIPALITY**

"(Hereinafter referred to as the employer)"
Represented by

The Municipal Manager Mr Thembinkosi Mawonga

and

Mr K.S. CHAPHI

Municipal Development and Town Planning Director "(Hereinafter referred to as the employee)"

2024/2025

PERFORMANCE AGREEMENT: PART A

NS A.V 15c

VI.E

### 1. INTRODUCTION

- The Employer has entered into a contract of employment with the Employee in terms of section 1.1 57(1) (a) of the Local Government: Municipal Systems Act 32 of 2000 ("the Systems Act"). The Employer and the Employee are hereinafter referred to as "the Parties".
- 1.2 Section 57(1) (b) (ii) of the Systems Act, read with the Contract of Employment concluded between the parties, requires the parties to conclude an annual performance agreement within one (1) month after the beginning of each financial year of the Municipality.
- 1.3 The parties wish to ensure that they are clear about the goals to be achieved and secure the commitment of both the Employer the Employee to a set of outcomes that will secure Local Government policy goals.
- 1.4 Section 57(1) (a) (b), (4A), (4B), (4C) and (5) of the Systems Act; No 32 of 2000 and subsequent amendments (the Systems Act, No Act 7 of 2011), read with the Contract of Employment concluded between the parties, requires the parties to conclude an annual performance agreement within 60 days after the beginning of the financial year. The updated Performance review of the previous year will occur no later than July each year.
- 1.5 In its comprehensive version, this Performance Agreement is made up of five components, namely.
  - 1.5.1 PART A: The generic contextualizing agreement, which is not to be measured / assessed for performance but rather sets the legal and relationship context and stage for all other subsequent assessable sections / parts of this performance agreement.
  - PART B (Approximate weighting = 80%): Employee's Core Contributory Performance Top 1.5.2 Layer SDBIP-Based Scorecard Issues. It is the Performance Plan for which this executive employee alone leads and is accountable for achieving the specific and unique outcomes set out for his / her department across the whole municipality in the Top Layer SDBIP. Part B does not focus on outcomes that a generic for all executives performed within and for their departments. It is the first measurable Part, wherein measurable targets in all unique Strategic (from the TL SDBIP) and Functional (From Job Profiles) outcomes and objectives have been set. For brevity, these outcomes and objectives must come from all only those KPAs wherein the executive employee's work cannot be generalized with that of her / his other executive peers (in Part C below). The individual executive employee targets are based on the (i) Top Layer (Institutional) and (ii) Departmental /Directorate SDBIPs objectives and targets wherein the



NS AN LSE

- section 57 Manager plays a unique significant leadership contribution (iii) whatever strategic targets set in the Executive's Employment Contract Performance Clause.
- 1.5.3 PART C (approximate weighting = 0%); This is a crucial part of the executive employee's scorecard. It measures those aspects of work that all executives at this level are expected to perform on and achieve working as Heads for their departments. Since all executive directors do most of their work through other employees below them (subordinate / divisional managers), at this executive level, this Part C carries the greatest weighting of all the other scorecards in the Performance Agreement. It plans out and measures all departmental level strategic (in the departmental SDBIP) and generic / core managerial competence areas largely found in the functional list of the executive's COGTA Job Profile list of activities and outputs. Though most important, these core managerial competences (CMC and Critical Competence Requirements (CCRs), these are not unique. They are generic competences and performances that every section 57 executive employee must perform and be assessed on. These cover all 6 KPAs though mostly weighted heaviest on KPAs 3 (Financial Management & Viability); KPA 4: Institutional Transformation and Municipal Development) and KPA 5: Good Governance & Public Participation). These come from annually selected and prioritized Core Managerial Competences (CMCs) and Core Competency Requirements (CCRs). This selection process in this year has been streamlined to pick and use only those aspects which are already listed in the various Directors & MM's Job Profiles combined with those leadership roles implied in achieving each of the Top Layer SDBIP objectives and targets unique to the department that the executive leads.
- 1.5.4 PART D (0%): Antecedent Input Behaviours. These are strategically winning behaviours that define what an individual must do in order that they succeed in leading strategic performance in the municipality and in their department. These have been given a weighting of zero - meaning they will be understood to be applicable in future but are not measured this Financial Year as a way of not slowing down the overwhelming parts of change management. In the years when these will be measured, their weighting will be borrowed from Parts B and C above so that this Part D weighs a maximum of 15% and still retain the overall weighting of Parts B, C & D at 80. The logic of including Part D Scorecard in the Performance Agreement is that, any manager or any employee's performance is enhanced or reduced by certain behavioral habits / practices that they adopt and display, for example, how they manage time, how the make decisions, how they build, lead or work in teams to mention a few. To ensure that these winning antecedent behaviours are always at their most positive to impact performance, KPIs and Targets are set in this Part to measure the institutionally selected behaviours. The selection of the top five or so can be agreed at executive management level or assigned to the MM and her / his delegated director (like Corporate Services Director where Individual Performance resides)

AN LSE

- 1.5.5 PART E (20%): Competence - based Individual Development or Learning Plan (CBIDP). These are commonly called Personal Development Plans (PDPs) or Individual Development Plans (IDPs), Different from previous practices in many organisations, in this Part E, these competence development areas will be planned and measured against (i) competence gaps in fulfilling current job requirements and performances (roughly 40% of this Part E) (ii) generic individual managerial or developmental competence / performance gaps (roughly 40% weighting of this Part E) (iii) life development goals (at 20% weighting at own cost but leave time allowed for examinations). Unlike in Sengu's previous practices on the PDPs, and unlike what many other municipalities where PDPs are just a "wish list", whose actual achievement does not impact the employee's performance, in this case these Competence-Based Individual Development Plans CBIDPs have set targets and will constitute 20% of the overall performance of that employee in line with the provisions of the Skills Dev Act.
- 1.5.6 This Performance Agreement cannot be interpreted as if it is replaceable by the Performance Clause in the Executive's 5 Year Contract of Employment as some do at times. This agreement is an annualized sub-set through whose cumulative performance measurement and tracking. whatever the executive would have committed to deliver in 5 years gets achieved.

### 2. PURPOSE OF THIS AGREEMENT

The purpose of this Agreement, as agreed by both parties, is to, inter alia.

- comply with the provisions of Section 57(1)(b), (4A),(4B) and (5) of the Act as well as the employment 2.1 contract entered into between the parties;
- 2.2 specify objectives and targets defined and agreed with the employee and to communicate to the employer's expectations of the employee's performance and accountabilities in alignment with the Integrated Development Plan, Service Delivery and Budget Implementation Plan (SDBIP) and the Budget of the Municipality.
- 2.3 specify accountabilities as set out in a performance plan, which forms an annexure to the performance agreement.
- 2.4 monitor and measure performance against set targeted outputs.
- 2.5 use the performance agreement as the basis for assessing whether the employee has met the performance expectations applicable to his or her job.

AV AV ME.

- 2.6 in the event of outstanding performance, to appropriately reward the employee; and
- 2.7 give effect to the employer's commitment to a performance-orientated relationship with its employee in attaining equitable and improved service delivery.

### COMMENCEMENT AND DURATION

- 3.1 Notwithstanding the date of signature hereto, this Agreement will commence on the 1st July 2024 and will remain in force until 30th June 2025. Thereafter, a new Performance Agreement, made up of the Performance Plan (the four parts B, C, & D Scorecards) and Personal Development Plan (CBIDP) shall be concluded between the parties for the next financial year or any portion thereof along the lines explained above.
- 3.2 The parties will review all the provisions of this Performance Agreement against its own efficaciousness as well as against existing and / or new local government policy changes in June of each year as part of the Performance Management System Annual Review. The parties will conclude a new Performance Agreement and Performance Plan that replaces this Agreement at least once a year by not later than 31st July of each successive financial year.
- 3.3 This Agreement will terminate on the termination of the **Employee**'s contract of employment for any reason.
- 3.4 The content of this Agreement may be revised at any time during the above-mentioned period to redetermine the applicability of the matters agreed upon.
- 3.5 If at any time during the validity of this Agreement, the work environment alters (whether as a result of Government or Council decisions or otherwise) to the extent that the contents of this Agreement are no longer appropriate, the contents shall immediately be revised.
- 3.6 All revisions and amendments of this Agreement must be immediately noted and immediately counter-signed by the two agreeing parties, namely, the Employer and the Employee.

AV ME NS

- 4 PERFORMANCE OBJECTIVES, KEY PERFORMANCE INDICATORS (KPIs), BASELINES AND TARGETS
  - 4.1 Mutually aligned performance objectives, related KPIs, their KPI related baselines and targets form the basis and value chain of any strategic performance management system of any organisation like the municipality.
  - 4.2 They are kept in proper alignment through a Performance Plan as described below.
  - 4.3 The full Performance Plan or Scorecard (Parts B, C, D & E) sets out-
    - 4.1.1 the performance objectives and targets that must be met by the **Employee**; and
    - 4.1.2 the time frames within which those performance objectives and targets must be met.
  - 4.4 The performance objectives and targets reflected in (Parts B, C and D) as well as the Part E: (Competence Based Individual Development Plan (CBIDP) are set by the Employer in consultative agreement (hence the term Performance Agreement) with the Employee and are all based on or clearly aligned to the approved Integrated Development Plan, Top Layer Service Delivery and Budget Implementation Plan (TL SDBIP) and the Budget of the Employer and shall include key strategic and functional objectives; key performance indicators; target dates, weightings to reflect urgency and prioritisation, resource requirements, Means of Evidence Verification (MOVs).
    - 4.2.1 The key objectives describe the main intended achievements that need to be accomplished as derived from the Institutional (Top Layer) and Departmental SDBIPs wherein the Director makes their contribution in the Municipality.
    - 4.2.2 The key performance indicators provide the details of the evidence that must be provided to show that a key objective has been achieved. Key here means that there are many indicators from where one can chose, so the choice must prioritise only the few that will move forward the strategic objectives the most.
    - 4.2.3 The baselines are very important and must be decided consistent with language and units implied in the performance indicator when measurement of the indicator starts at the beginning of the year.

A.V M.E. NS

- 4.2.4 The targets describe the quantity or quality of the performance achieved in that objective and key performance indicator. In most cases, because the timeframe for achieving such a target is understood to be quarterly, semi-annually or annually, timeframes are not mentioned beyond these terms or columns.
- 4.2.5 The weightings in % show the importance of the key performance indicators and their targets relative to each other. They are crucial in computing the weighted average ratings at the end of period (quarterly, semi-annually, or annually). It is prudent to always work with an internal total weighting of 100% for each Scorecard (be it Part B, C, D or E).
- 4.5 The **Employee**'s performance will, always, be measured in terms of contributions to the goals and strategies set out in the **Employer**'s Integrated Development Plan and other sub-ordinate plans and projects that support the achievement of this IDP.

### 5 THE MUNICIPALITY'S PERFORMANCE MANAGEMENT SYSTEM

- 5.2 The Employee accepts that the purpose of the performance management system is to provide a comprehensive system with specific performance standards and targets to assist the Employer, Management and Municipal staff to perform to reach the standards required for each local government KPA.
- 5.3 The Employer will consult and support the Employee about all aspects required to achieve the specific performance standards that will be included in the performance management system as applicable to the Employee.
- The Employee undertakes to actively focus towards the promotion and implementation of all the KPAs, objectives and KPIs (including special projects relevant to the employee's responsibilities) within the Local Government framework.
- 5.5 The criteria upon which the performance of the Employee shall be assessed shall consist of two components, both of which shall be contained in the Performance Agreement.

11. 7/82 AN ME

- 5.5.1 The Employee must be assessed against both components, with a weighting of 80:20 allocated to the Key Performance Areas, Objectives and Targets set in PARTS B. C. & D. (when Part D is being measured) and the Competence Based Individual Development Plans (CBIDP in lieu of the usual PDP) based on prioritized Learning & Development Requirements (CCRs) respectively.
- 5.5.2 Each area of assessment (as per the four Parts described above) will be weighted and will contribute a specific part to the total score accordingly.
- 5.5.3 KPAs covering the main areas of work (PARTS B, C & D) will account for 80% and selected Developmental CRs (in the PART E - CBIDP) will account for 20% of the final assessment.
- 5.5.4 The total score must be determined using the rating calculator which will always be appropriately calibrated to accommodate all the three or four measurable scorecards and the weighting given to each KPI and target within each KPA as found in the four measurable scorecards, namely, Parts B, C, D and E. (This year Part D has been left out for introduction next year).
- 5.6 The Employee's assessment will be based on his / her performance in terms of the outputs / outcomes (measured through the performance indicators and their related targets) identified as per attached Performance Plan (PARTS B, C & D), which are collectively and distributively linked to all the 6 KPA's, and will constitute 80% of the overall assessment result as per the weightings agreed to between the Employer and Employee. The weightings are set based, firstly, on this director's key job profiles which specify key activities / roles assigned to the incumbent as the director (HOD) of the department in their pursuit of each their own core KPAs, notwithstanding that this director, like all others must play supportive roles in the work done in other KPAs (signified by varying weighting each year).
- 5.7 The weightings shown below, while changeable to align with both the prevailing strategic and functional thrusts that this director must pursue, they must align with the average weighting per KPA assigned to each of the 80% Scorecards (Parts B and C combined). The Table below shows the weightings agreed for this current year for this director.

Key Performance Areas (KPA's)	Weighting
Basic Service Delivery	20%
Local Economic Development (LED)	31%
Municipal Financial Viability and Management	9%

A.V M.E. NS

Key Performance Areas (KPA's)	Weighting
Institutional Transformation	9%
Spatial Planning and Environmental Development	31%
Total	100%

- 5.7 In the case of managers / directors directly accountable to the Municipal Manager, the weighting of the key performance areas related to the strategic and functional area of the relevant Manager, will always be subject to negotiation between the Municipal Manager and the relevant manager / director. These agreed weightings must be translated to the top of each KPA in the actual Plan templates (PARTS B, C & D).
- 5.8 The developmental Competency Requirements (CR) (learning requirements in the CBIDP) will make up part of the other 20% of the Employee's assessment score. CRs that are deemed to be most critical for the Employee's specific job should be selected ( $\sqrt{}$ ) from the list below as agreed to between the Employer and Employee. Three of the CRs are compulsory for Municipal Managers. These Leading CRs make PART C - Generic / Core Managerial Competences (which are common to every Director / Senior Manager as agreed. A selection of Optional Core Competencies is selected each year and will form part of the Antecedent Input Behaviours (as explained earlier).
- 5.9 In this year's Performance Agreement, all of the critical and desired competency requirements have mostly been taken care of through Part C built largely on the directors' Job Profiles, which, coming from COGTA have already selected which of the CRs are important. So the table below is only a guide not a prescription for the KPIs and targets set out in Part C.

executives and managers at the same level!		
LEADING COMPETENCIES	V	WEIGHT
Strategic Direction and Leadership	1	10%
People Management		10%
Program and Project Management	1	10%
Financial Management	1	10%
Change Leadership	<b>V</b>	10%
Governance Leadership	7	10%
CORE COMPETENCIES		
Moral Competence	1	6%
Planning and Organising	1	6%

CORE COMPETENCY REQUIREMENTS (CCRs) Fe executives and managers at the same level!	FOR EMPLOYEES -	Same Weighting
LEADING COMPETENCIES	V	WEIGHT
Analysis and Innovation	<b>V</b>	8%
Knowledge and Information Management	V	6%
Communication	V	8%
Results and Quality Focus	- V	6%
Total percentage	-	100%

### 6. EVALUATING PERFORMANCE

- 6.1 The Performance Plan Scorecards (Parts B, C and D) to this Agreement each set out -
  - 6.1.1 the standards and procedures for evaluating the Employee's performance; and
  - 6.1.2 the intervals for the evaluation of the Employee's performance.
- 6.2 Despite the establishment of agreed intervals for evaluation, the Employer may in addition, review the Employee's performance at any stage while the contract of employment remains in force, subject to sufficient notice and reasons for justifying the variation being given to the employee.
- 6.3 Personal growth and development needs identified during any performance review discussion must be documented in a Competence-Based Individual Development Personal Development Plan as well as the actions agreed to and implementation must take place within set time frames.
- 6.4 The Employee's performance will be measured in terms of contributions to the goals and strategies set out in the Employer's IDP and subordinate TL SDBIP and Departmental SDBIP and operational plans.
- 6.5 The annual performance appraisal will involve:
  - 6.5.1 Assessment of the achievement of results as outlined in the performance plan:
    - (a) Each KPA should be assessed according to the extent to which the specified standards or performance targets set for each key performance indicator (KPI) have been met and with due regard to ad hoc tasks that had to be performed under the KPA.

NS AN ME

- (b) An indicative rating on the five-point scale should be provided for each target first and then aggregated for each KPA.
- (c) The applicable assessment rating calculator (refer to paragraph 6.5.3 below) must then be used to add the scores and calculate a final weighted average rating for each KPA score.

# 6.5.2 Assessment of the Competency Requirements

- (a) Each Competency Requirement should be assessed according to the extent to which the specified standards (based on the standard being treated as a KPI and calibrated targets set, agreed and subsequently met).
- (b) An indicative rating on the five-point scale should be provided for each selected CR based on set KPIs and related targets.
- (c) This rating should be multiplied by the weighting given to each selected CR during the contracting process, to provide a score.
- (d) The applicable assessment rating calculator (refer to paragraph 6.5.1) must then be used to add the scores and calculate a final CR score.

### 6.5.3 Overall rating

An overall rating is calculated by using the applicable assessment-rating calculator. Such overall rating represents the outcome of the performance appraisal.

- 6.6 The calibration of all set targets in each section / PART of the Performance agreement as well as the subsequent assessment of the performance attained by the Employee for each target will be based on the following rating scale for KPA's and the selected Competence Requirements (CRs) as reflected Part C taking from the Directors' Job Profiles which in turn have drawn them from the following CRs.
- 6.7 Below is the local government municipal regulations rating scale that each municipality has adopted.

PS AN ME

Level	Terminology	Description	Ra	ting			
FCACI	reminiology	pesculturu	1	2	3	4	5
		Performance far exceeds the standard expected of		!	I	I	
		an employee at this level. The appraisal indicates					
		that the Employee has achieved above fully					
5	Outstanding	effective results against all performance criteria and					
	performance	indicators as specified in the PA and Performance					
	periormanoc	plan and maintained this in all areas of					
		responsibility throughout the year.					
		Performance is significantly higher than the					
	Performance	standard expected in the job. The appraisal					
	significantly above	indicates that the Employee has achieved above					
4	expectations	fully effective results against more than half of the					
		performance criteria and indicators and fully					
		achieved all others throughout the year.					
		Performance fully meets the standards expected in					
		all areas of the job. The appraisal indicates that the					:
	Fully effective	Employee has fully achieved effective results					
3		against all significant performance criteria and					
		indicators as specified in the PA and Performance					
		Plan.	·····				
	***	Performance is below the standard required for the					
		job in key areas. Performance meets some of the					
		standards expected for the job. The					
	Not fully effective	review/assessment indicates that the employee has					
2		achieved below fully effective results against more					
		than half the key performance criteria and indicators					
	Print a victoria de la companya de l	as specified in the PA and Performance Plan.					

H.V 12 WSE NS A.V M.E.

Level	Terminology	Description	Ra	ting	)		
2000	reminiology	pescribuon	1	2	3	4	5
		Performance does not meet the standard expected	<b> </b>	l	l		1
		for the job. The review/assessment indicates that					
		the employee has achieved below fully effective	İ				
		results against almost all of the performance criteria					;
	Unacceptable	and indicators as specified in the PA and					
1	performance	Performance Plan. The employee has failed to					
		demonstrate the commitment or ability to bring					
		performance up to the level expected in the job					
		despite management efforts to encourage					
		improvement.	•				

- 6.7 For purposes of evaluating the annual performance of the Municipal Manager, an evaluation panel constituted of the following persons must be established moderate the ratings first agreed between the Employee - i.e. the MM and their supervisor (Executive Mayor)-
  - 6.7.1 Executive Mayor or Mayor.
  - 6.7.2 Chairperson of the performance audit Committee or the Audit Committee in the absence of a performance audit committee.
  - 6.7.3 Member of the Mayoral or Executive Committee or in respect of a plenary type of Municipality, another member of Council.
  - 6.7.4 Mayor and/or Municipal Manager from another Municipality; and
  - 6.7.5 Member of a Ward Committee as nominated by the Executive Mayor or Mayor.
- 6.8 For purposes of evaluating the annual performance of Managers directly accountable to the Municipal Managers, an evaluation panel constituted of the following persons must be established;
  - 6.8.1 The Municipal Manager.
  - 6.8.2 Chairperson of the performance Audit Committee or the Audit Committee in the absence of a performance Audit Committee.
  - 6.8.3 Member of the Mayoral or Executive Committee or in respect of a plenary type of Municipality, another member of Council; and
  - 6.8.4 another Municipal Manager from another Municipality.

10 H.V 17 13 KSC A.V M.E. 6.9 The Manager responsible for Human Resources of the Municipality must provide secretariat services to the evaluation panels referred to in sub-regulations (6.7) and (6.8).

### 7. SCHEDULE FOR PERFORMANCE REVIEWS

- 7.1 Before the on-set of the Performance Management Cycle, all targets in PARTS B, C, and D must be calibrated against the rating scale of 1 - 5 in order to minimize common subjectivity that occurs when assessments are done during appraisal time.
- 7.2 The performance of each **Employee** in relation to his / her performance agreement shall be reviewed on the following dates with the understanding that reviews in the first and third quarter may be verbal if performance is satisfactory:

First quarter: Second quarter: October - December ......Period Review Report Concluded on..... Third quarter: January - March....... Period Review Report Concluded on..... Fourth quarter: April – June...... Period Review Report Concluded on.....

- 7.2 During these review sessions, targets are scored or rated, the targets may also be renegotiated and adjusted in re-alignment to the available budgets and other prevailing environmental conditions as necessary. The Employer shall keep a record of the mid-year review and annual assessment meetings and scores for cumulative averaging at the end of each year as provided by the policy.
- 7.3 Performance feedback shall be based on the Employer's assessment of the Employee's performance done in mutual agreement with the employee.
- 7.4 The Employer will be entitled to review and make reasonable changes to the provisions of all PARTS "B, C & D & E" from time to time for operational reasons. The Employee will be fully consulted before any such change is made.
- 7.5 The Employer may amend the provisions of PARTS B, C, and D & E whenever the performance management system is adopted, implemented and / or amended as the case may be. In that case the Employee will be fully consulted and ideally be in agreement before any such change is made. Both parties will sign-off any amendments made as such agreements are legally binding on both parties.

## **DEVELOPMENTAL REQUIREMENTS**

The Competence-Based Individual Development Plan (CBIDP) in lieu of the normal Personal Development Plan (PDP) for addressing developmental gaps is attached as Part E as annexed.

NS AN MIE

### 9. OBLIGATIONS OF THE EMPLOYER

- 9.1 The Employer shall -
  - 9.1.1 create an enabling environment to facilitate effective performance by the employee.
  - 9.1.2 provide access to skills development and capacity building opportunities.
  - 9.1.3 work collaboratively with the Employee to solve problems and generate solutions to common problems that may impact on the performance of the Employee.
  - 9.1.4 on the request of the Employee delegate such powers reasonably required by the Employee to enable him / her to meet the performance objectives and targets established in terms of this Agreement; and
  - 9.1.5 make available to the Employee such resources as the Employee may reasonably require from time to time to assist him / her to meet the performance objectives and targets established in terms of this Agreement.

### 10. CONSULTATION

- 10.1 The Employer agrees to consult the Employee timeously where the exercising of the Employer and / or Employee's delegated powers will have amongst others, a direct effect on the performance of any of the Employee's key functions, in particular;
  - 10.1.1 at the initial agreement of this document called the Performance Agreement (Parts A, B, C, D and E), it is envisaged that consultative discussions will be held and that the final signed off agreement will be signed for each part to signify that there was discussion and consensus for each part of this agreement. It is envisaged that the employee will prepare and present to the Employer a draft Performance Agreement (in all its agreed Parts showing calibrated targets for each scorecard) for discussion at a timely agreed date and time. Once agreed, dated and signed by both parties, the Performance Agreement is deemed to be legally binding to both parties. such that no party shall vary any part of it without consultation and agreement with the other Party.
  - 10.1.2 at every performance appraisal / review session (quarterly in line with the municipal performance regulations, unless agreed otherwise within the municipality's Performance Management policy),

NS A.V ME.

> the Employee shall present their own self rating scores and reasons for discussion and comparing notes with the Employer (who will also have already scored the employee independently prior to the meeting). In this meeting notes are being compared, agreements on performance levels to be maintained, or improved agreed with clear additional support for the employee being agreed. Such support will include necessary coaching and mentoring, training and development arrangement. It is at these formal review / appraisal sessions that any targets may be adjusted, in writing and all changes co-signed against this initial agreement by both parties indicating reasons for such a change.

- 10.1.3 continuously commit the Employee to implement or to give effect to a decision made by the Employer that affect and are affected by this agreement:
- continuously commit the Employer to render all necessary support (resources, emotional, intellectual and physical) as may be reasonably needed by the employee to optimally perform what has been agreed in this Performance Agreement; and
- 10.1.5 that has a substantial financial effect on the Employer.
- 10.2 The Employer agrees to inform the Employee of any outcome of any decisions taken outside the consultation with the employee, with regards to the implementation of this Performance Agreement pursuant to the exercise of powers contemplated in 10.1 above as soon as is practicable, as part of the Employer's coaching roles in order to enable the Employee to take any necessary action without delay. It is envisaged that such decisions will be rare and mostly coming from outside the municipal administration sphere like council, COGTA, National Treasury, SALGA etc.

### 11. MANAGEMENT OF EVALUATION OUTCOMES

- 11.1 The final evaluation of the Employee's performance will be conclusively pronounced by the selected panel as envisaged in section 27 (d) of the Performance Regulation, also recapped in sub-sections 6.7 and 6.8 in this document above. The panel will perform a moderating role on all signed performance appraisal reports of each review session held and agreed between the Employer and Employee in the course of the year. For administrative fairness, both the Employer and the Employee should always be present or at least represented to clarify any issues that the panel may wish to have clarified.
- 11.2 Based on the final scores / ratings presented to and confirmed by the panel, a performance bonus of between 5% to 14% of the total remuneration package may be paid to the Employee (subject to the 16 WW AN M.E. policy and the resources available to the municipality as stated in the Systems Act) in recognition

of outstanding performance that the municipality wishes to sustain and also in line with the provisions of the municipal performance management regulations. Such reward will be constituted as follows:

- 11.2.1 a rating of 4 out of the 5 point rating scale is given a score of between 130% to 149% is awarded a performance bonus ranging from 5% to 9%; and
- 11.2.2 a rating of 5 out of the 5 point rating scale is given a score of between 150% and above is awarded a performance bonus ranging from 10% to 14%.
- **N.B.:** It should be noted that the rating process (against the 5 point scale) must always happen first before the use of the percentage equivalents ranging from 70% 166%+ because not all targets can be calibrated up to 166%+, so it is better to rate first on the numbers and use the % as qualifiers as shown below.
- 11.3 In the case of unacceptable performance, the Employer shall -
  - 11.3.1 On confirming performance shortfalls, immediately provide systematic remedial or developmental support to assist the Employee to improve his or her performance; and
  - 11.3.2 After appropriate performance counselling and having provided the necessary guidance and/ or support as well as reasonable time for improvement in performance, the Employer may consider steps to terminate the contract of employment of the Employee on grounds of unfitness or incapacity to carry out his or her duties.

### 12.0 MANAGEMENT OF EVALUATION OUTCOMES

12.1 The evaluation of the Employee's performance shall form the basis for rewarding outstanding and excellent performance only (ratings 4 and 5) or correcting unacceptable performance (Ratings 2 and below) as reflected in the table below –

performance is meaningfully stretchable beyond 100%)	can never be meaningfully calibrated beyond 100%)	package payable as a performance Bonus
130.0% - 133.8%	(65.0% - 66.9%)	5%
134.0% – 137.8%	(67.0% - 68.9%)	6%
	performance is meaningfully stretchable beyond 100%) 130.0% - 133.8%	meaningfully stretchable beyond 100%) 100%) 130.0% - 133.8% (65.0% - 66.9%)

H'

JAAN ME.

4.40 – 4.59	138.0% - 141.8%	(69.0% - 70.9%)	7%
4.60 - 4.79	142.0% - 145.8%	(71.0% - 72.9%)	8%
4.80 - 4.99	146.0% - 149.8%	(73.0% - 74.9%)	9%
5.00 - 5.19	150.0% - 152.8%	(75.0% - 76.4%)	10%
5.20 - 5.39	153.0% - 155.8%	(76.5% - 77.9%)	11%
5.40 - 5.59	156.0% - 158.8%	(78.0% - 79.4%)	12%
5.60 - 5.79	159.0% - 161.8%	(79.5% - 80.9%)	13%
5.80 - 5.99	162% - 164.8% +	(81.0% - 82.4%) +	14%

- 12.1.1 At the end of the 4th quarter, the Executive Authority will determine, based on the final panel ratings based on the rating / scoring table above, if the s56 / s57 Director / manager is eligible for a performance bonus as envisaged in his/her contract of employment based on the bonus allocations shown in the table above.
- 12.2 In the case of unacceptable performance. the Employer shall
  - 12.2.1 Provide systematic remedial or developmental support to assist with Employee to improve his or her performance; and
  - 12.2.2 After appropriate performance counseling and having provided the necessary guidance and/or support as well as reasonable time for improvement in performance, the Employer may consider steps to firstly shift the employee to another position within the municipality (if possible). If that does not help, then and only then will the Employer invoke procedures to terminate the contract of employment of the Employee on grounds of unfitness or incapacity to competently perform out his or her duties.
  - 12.2.3 Nothing contained in this Agreement in any way limits the right of the Municipality to terminate the Director's contract of employment with or without notice for any other breach by the Director of his obligations to the Municipality or for any other valid reason in line with fair labour practice and law.

### 13 **MERITS AWARDS**

13.1.1 Merit awards for Section 56 employees are determined by performance against targets. Once performance criteria have been established, performance targets are reviewed regularly. At the end of the financial year, actual performance is compared against the agreed performance targets to determine the magnitude of the merit increase. The merit increase is calculated as a percentage of the total annual package of the employee, as indicated in the table hereunder.

Score / 200	Merit
130 to 141 (65%-70%)	1% of total package
142 to 149 (71% - 74%)	2% of total package
150 to 161 (75%-80%)	3% of total package

NS A.V M.E.

162 to 165 (81 – 82%)	4% of total package
166+ (83% +)	5% of total package

13.1.2 Merit awards are subject to policy and Budgetary provisions made on an annual basis the merit bonuses may be paid as a 'once off' payment or at agreed quarterly intervals i.e. over a number of months.

### 14 DISPUTE RESOLUTION

- 14.1 Any disputes about the nature of the Municipal Manager's performance agreement, whether it relates to key responsibilities, priorities, methods of assessment and/ or any other matter provided for, shall be mediated by --
  - 14.1.1 The MEC for Local Government in the province within thirty (30) days of receipt of a formal dispute from the Employee; or
  - 14.1.2 Any other person appointed by the MEC.
  - 14.1.3 In the case of Managers directly accountable to the Municipal Manager, a member of the Municipal Council, provided that such member was not part of the evaluation panel provided for in sub-regulation 27(4)(e) of the Municipal Performance Regulations, 2006, within thirty (30) days of receipt of a formal dispute from the employee.
- 14.2.1 In the event that the mediation process contemplated above fails, clause 20.3 of the Contract of Employment shall apply.

### 15. GENERAL

- 15.1 The contents of this agreement and the outcome of any review conducted in terms of Annexure A may be made available to the public by the Employer.
- 15.2 Nothing in this agreement diminishes the obligations, duties, or accountabilities of the Employee in terms of his/ her contract of employment, or the effects of existing or new regulations, circulars, policies, directives or other instruments.
- 15.3 The performance assessment results of the Municipal Manager must be submitted to the MEC responsible for Local Government in the relevant province as well as the National Minister responsible for Local Government, within fourteen (14) days after the conclusion of the assessment.

NS A.V M.E

Perf 202	formance Agreement – Part A: Municip 4/25	oal Development and Town	n Planning Director,
16.	The signatures below signify that the Employe	er and the Employee have discus	ssed and agree on every detail
	contained and implied in this Part A of the Pe	rformance Agreement.	
Thus	done and signed aton	this the day of	. 2024.
AS W	VITNESSES:		
1			
		EMPLOYEE (DIRECTOR)	
2			
AS W	TITNESSES:		
1			<del>.</del>
		MUNICIPAL MANAGER	

# INDIVIDUAL PERFORMANCE SCORECARD: FY24/25

EMPLOYEE NAME:	SANDEL CHARME						Paragraph Court	-
Une Menocar's Title	TARLANCINAL MENALCON	108 TITLS THE TREE TOTAL OF VELDENCH AND TOWN PLANDING STREET S. Une Manager's Title Application actuals of	8				PROMHCE/CLUSTIR/July:	CON
TECHNICAL COMPETERICIES	CONADETE	ALCIEC	THE RESERVE WAS A PARTY	The second second		The second secon	THANGAL TEAR:	142475
CRGAWISATIONAL	COMPETE	INCIES				THE WIND WITH	THE RESERVE OF THE PERSON NAMED IN COLUMN TWO IS NOT THE PERSON NAMED IN COLUMN TWO IS NAME	Weighting: 80.00%
OUTCOMES	FYZA/ZS ANNUAL	FYZA/ZS ANNUAL OPERATIONAL PLAN				INDIVIDUAL PERFORMANCE PLAN		
OUTCOME	STUTTUD		INDIVIDUAL CIPATING INDICATOR/ KPI	PI WEIGHTING	TARGET	TABEL PATER STANDARD		
Canal Service Delivery	edina	Inspected therming of inspections and inspections are inspections are inspections and inspections are inspecti	I h storethin of (IP) proces sun developed	and attook	OF process. Data developed.	1 - c set even eine landische 2 - laudisch  vorliebe bis ner yn der ausbiede 3 - sammted  be openied in lat der dat  vorliebe bis ner yn der dat  bestellt der de dat der dat  bestellt der de dat bestellt  bestellt der de dat bestellt  bestellt der de dat bestellt  bestellt der de dat  bestellt der  bestellt der de dat  bestellt der  bes		DESCRIPTION AND ACCOUNTS
	(C) review	united by the professional of	2 Designation record (MOP- charges) beautiful to 2537/210 2234/70 pr New 903)	De fare	referred of the rese S treat for the 700 M/A to 200 M/A	1 - Fox form a dark swales	1 -	Comment resolution
Development	implementation	100st implementation of the 2024/2019 priorities at per 150 unategy	3 is Proportion development of the annual exploramentation stan of the 303/3244 Promitive remarking From the ED states	10 total 40 miles 124 miles 12	% Preparation development of the anounal implementation has of the 2003/2004 privation environment term the UD 111 deep	3 = 4.475, 2 = 4.735, 395, 1 = 6.05, 775, 4.	NAME - 12% 2 - 12% 13% 3 - 12% - 12% 13% 1 - 4.13% 1 - 4	Standing commette record / represents
	aradon en Lation	1,1000 Valencestation of the OOA/2015 treatment on the US intension	Andrewsky Parket	AN 2.00%	DIVERSIMENT OF AN AGRICULTURAL CAND	1 - continues politicación 3 - Cost publicación de continues de contin		Notices of Econoli Nandale commette resulty Econorgo
	IED Stratege Implement stan	100% implementation of the 2024/100% providen- as per (ED strategy	Sike of the managest Copfiel by policits in resease of RE million, allocated to SAMEs Phrough NA-contracting	) SUPS.	% of the montput codes projects in extens of all fit, million, after sted to SAMMs through sub-contracting	1 - C206 2 - 215, 234 5 - 246, 275 4 - 354 - 305 5 - 576 5 - 2076	14/24/15/16/15/15/15/15/15/15/15/15/15/15/15/15/15/	Report approved by the Director for the Trabesual Services / Frances Standing Communer Consideracion
	LID Strategy implementation	1005 imperioritation of the 2004/2015 promise as per LED strategy	g % of resemblant of specifical budget to SAMRY.	3,00%	% of extendibute of cannot and budget to MARE.	1- 4204 1- 2131- 234 1- 2451- 1- 2451- 2755 4- 2005 - 5004 1- 5- 5005- 1- 2451- 2755	2426-2776 4-2266-3016 5-2156-2735 5- 2436-2776 4-2266-3016 5-2306-	Report attended by the Devictor for the Redenial Services Planner Manding Committee Consideration
	LLD Strategy Implementation	100% onpiementation of the 2024/2025 entering as per LED strategy	7 No. of the jobs counted.  On ough EED industries  Including copinal projects	d 300%	In all the join created through LED instatues including cantal projects	N-bruteste from baseine 1 + 65% - 2 + 6% - 15% - 3 - 25% - 25% 4 - 26% - 20% - 5 - 45% -	N/AV/AV/AV/AV vicense from branchest - < 7% - 6% - 15% - 3 - 16% - 25% - 4 - 16% - 40% - 5 - 41% -	Standing Committee
	UD Strategy Prakementation	100% implement alone of the 200%/200% provinces as any LED strategy	S Kowa et LD Imperiori Esta la 23/34	500.01	Beyon, c1150 immemental plantor 28/24 ty	1 - Sections of Phasmore 2 - 1 out that as about the transfer of the section of t	1, or not own south business 2 - Furt Gelf Awardine business 3 - Submitted 16 orders in on the dependent 3 - Submitted Lubbride deletes business and appreciation of a submitted business of the configures. 5 - Submitted in formers accounted fine analysis for a select. Not a larger a selection of the configures.	Skinding Committee! 1 to

7. ±

NS AN ME

1 - feet drawn a drift trainfaile   2 - fiet dail   1 - c and trees a drift mailable   3 - tableath to the separate   3 - tableath to table to the separate   3 - tableath to table to table to table to tableath to table to tableath to tabl	**1 2+24 3+64 4+67 Windinglas*(1 2+23+654+655+6 institute apprentational set		- C C C   - C C C C C C C C C C C C C	4-785-878 3-428-778 3-428-	4 - 725 - 574 - 574 - 574 - 574 - 574 - 574 - 575 - 57	1 - cod even a gift avidable   2-third code;   1-third code;	4 - 678 - 73 - 73 - 73 - 73 - 73 - 73 - 73 -
- 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1	Star of properties in the Mountschaff y Swels. Trensferred to the fughts server so that there is proper biffing for monitorin servers.		ì		No of statements commented managed surprise altered (* 10 - 10 - 10 - 10 - 10 - 10 - 10 - 10	- La separate of the Parth Sangu Least Accounting to the Parth San	7. Operand building plane camplificate with SDF lead.  The complete of Samilians  Property building plane camplificate with SDF lead.
Pi is of the uncerfacedown 2 (2006). The are unformative of the uncertainty of the uncert	Dictor of properties in the 200% Marketon of the Control of the Co	Lil formene of tenents with SADON up to door bease Appreciate	12.9 of Overest Canada Managaments of Overest Canada Attachedura A	13 fc. R., Ath AC ECCO, Top 140%. Interpretation and sector Emotative and Emotative and Productive Authorization on time	15 M of the Children (LODA) Tominique despires Algune to DOM/ Che Plum	Sh Development of the Apple April Service Land Artiful Service Land Artiful Service Land Artiful Service Servi	150, consequent backfore, 1504, consequent backfore, 1504, consequent consequence of 1504, co
Monagement and Park Asplicable Monagement	Exercise generacibo Aktoress and Completeness al Completeness al Completeness al Completeness and Completene	Moveme poveration: Increased resona-	2	the Applicable Covering & missing the additional properties and interesting of in	Findential to the heighting finds of the social eventual.  Findential registration of the social eventual.  Find the find instruction of physical and physical and physical marries a superior to the development in through the overtail of the sound of th	Secul Financial and Expressed land Line formacial and American and Ame	Membrang Badden, Turaning compliance properties of approved. Institute of approved. Institute of approved. Institute of approved of approved. Institute of approved of approved. Institute of approved
Phencial Money (March 1997)			First Constitution of	The second secon			A. M. A.

MS AN 3 3

Not Applicable

15,00%

% of departmental recruitment & selection documents, signed off and submitted back to HR in 3 days.

1 =<49% rating 2 = 49% - 69% 80% 4 = 81% - 90% 5 = 91% +

3 = 70% - E

1 =<49% rating 2 = 49% - 69% 80% 4 = 81% - 90% 5 = 91% +

3 - 70%-

Departmental sign offs

% of consequence management initiatives initiated within 5 days of the HOD being aware of these

1 =<49% rating 2 = 49% - 69% 80% 4 = 81% - 90% 5 = 91% +

3 = 70% -

1=<49% rating 2 = 49% - 69% 80% 4 = 81% - 90% 5 = 91% +

3 = 70% -

Not Applicable

		_					
						and withwester	
		•		Careavan	mental postures	/ WyProportion report on 10,00%	7 2 2 1
100.00%							
			•			3/Proportion report on departmental policies reviewed 1. = < not even a draft available	16.76
	without correctors	to be suggested; 5 = submitted on time & approved to be suggested; 5 = submitted on time & approved	before the date and approved with minor corrections before the date and approved with minor corrections	for approval on last due date;	available but not yet approved	d 1 = < not even a draft available	
		time & approved	minor corrections	4 = submitted	3 = submitted	2 = First draft	
	without correctors	to be suggested; 5 = submitted of	before due date and approved with	4 = submitted   for approval on last due date:	3 = submitted   available but not yet approved	2 = First draft 1 = < not even a draft available	The second secon
		on time & approved	ninor corrections	4 = submitted	3 = submitted	2 = First draft	
- 1						D.	ſ

Total

Not Applicable

Vot Applicable

Not Applicable

\$ % Proportion of of records staff (including direct) who are summitting performance reports with the prescribed interference staff including director are summitted interference staff including director are sectiving a performance coaching & assessmental formal or including and staff including are staff including a performance coaching & assessmental formal or including a section and sections are sectived.

15.00%

%/Proportion of directorate staff (including director) who are receiving performance coaching & assessments/formal or informal)

1 =<49% rating 2 = 45% - 65% 80% 4 = 81% - 90% 5 = 91% +

3 = 70% -

1 =<49% rating 2 = 49% - 59% 80% 4 = 81% - 90% 5 = 91% +

3 = 70% -

Not Applicable

Not Applicable

15.00%

% Proportion of directorate staff (including director) who are submitting performance reports with the prescribed timeframes

1=<49% rating 2=49% -69% 4=81% -90% 5=91% +

3 = 70% - 80%

1 -<49% rating 2 = 49% - 69% 80% 4 = 81% - 90% 5 = 91% +

3 = 70% -

Not Applicable

O'S of departmental reculement & selection of comments algored off and submitted back to all significant of the selection of the selection of the selection of officerouse staff whose concluded within 5 days of the selection of the selection of the selection of officerouse staff whose concluded within the prescribed agreed upon timeframes and which are aligned properly.

15,00%

%/ Proportion of directorate staff whose scorecards are concluded within the prescribed/agreed upon timeframes and which are aligned properly

1 =<49% rating 2 = 49% - 69% 80% &= 81% - 90% 5 = 91% +

3 = 70% -

1 =<49% rating 2 = 49% - 69% 80% 4 = 81% - 90% 5 = 91% +

3 = 70% -

_		-		
2000	Signed by:	Name of employees SANDRE CHA		
	SAMDRE CHAPHS	SANDRE CHAPHS		
		Charles and Charles	By such and perfor	
			THICK SCOVERARD THE	
		The state of the second second	MONTH TO THE RESIDENT	
7/31/2024 Date:	着		of his other individual of the	
x	Signed by:	Carried Section 1	and the state of t	
	PREMINING STRANSPORTER	THE MENON COLUMN THE TOTAL CONTRACT OF THE MANAGEMENT OF THE PROPERTY OF THE P		
	WEA	NGP CONTRACTOR OF SIME SE		100.00%
		organization and a menager		œ`
		With the employee bot		
		or accommended that the		
		is is in full compliance		
		ompliance with SALGA's Performance Management Policy		
		innce Management Pc		
		£		

7/31/2024