

PERFORMANCE AGREEMENT

MADE AND ENTERED INTO BY AND BETWEEN:

SENQU MUNICIPALITY

"(Hereinafter referred to as the employer)"
Represented by

The Municipal Manager Mr Thembinkosi Mawonga

and

Mr. RANKO SIMON RUITERS
Technical Services Director
"(Hereinafter referred to as the employee)"

2024/2025

PERFORMANCE AGREEMENT: PART A

17.7

1

De

M·F

1. INTRODUCTION

- 1.1 The Employer has entered into a contract of employment with the Employee in terms of section 57(1) (a) of the Local Government: Municipal Systems Act 32 of 2000 ("the Systems Act"). The Employer and the Employee are hereinafter referred to as "the Parties".
- 1.2 Section 57(1) (b) (ii) of the Systems Act, read with the Contract of Employment concluded between the parties, requires the parties to conclude an annual performance agreement within one (1) month after the beginning of each financial year of the Municipality.
- 1.3 The parties wish to ensure that they are clear about the goals to be achieved and secure the commitment of both the Employer the Employee to a set of outcomes that will secure Local Government policy goals.
- 1.4 Section 57(1) (a) (b), (4A), (4B), (4C) and (5) of the Systems Act; No 32 of 2000 and subsequent amendments (the Systems Act, No Act 7 of 2011), read with the Contract of Employment concluded between the parties, requires the parties to conclude an annual performance agreement within 60 days after the beginning of the financial year. The updated Performance review of the previous year will occur no later than July each year.
- 1.5 In its comprehensive version, this Performance Agreement is made up of five components, namely.
 - 1.5.1 PART A: The generic contextualizing agreement, which is not to be measured / assessed for performance but rather sets the legal and relationship context and stage for all other subsequent assessable sections / parts of this performance agreement.
 - 1.5.2 PART B (Approximate weighting = 80%): Employee's Core Contributory Performance – Top Layer SDBIP-Based Scorecard Issues. It is the Performance Plan for which this executive employee alone leads and is accountable for achieving the specific and unique outcomes set out for his / her department across the whole municipality in the Top Layer SDBIP. Part B does not focus on outcomes that a generic for all executives performed within and for their departments. It is the first measurable Part, wherein measurable targets in all unique Strategic (from the TL SDBIP) and Functional (From Job Profiles) outcomes and objectives have been set. For brevity, these outcomes and objectives must come from all only those KPAs wherein the executive employee's work cannot be generalized with that of her / his other executive peers (in Part C below). The individual executive employee targets are based on the (i) Top Layer (Institutional) and (ii) Departmental /Directorate SDBIPs objectives and targets wherein the

W. M. A

- section 57 Manager plays a unique significant leadership contribution (iii) whatever strategic targets set in the Executive's Employment Contract Performance Clause.
- 1.5.3 PART C (approximate weighting = 0%): This is a crucial part of the executive employee's scorecard. It measures those aspects of work that all executives at this level are expected to perform on and achieve working as Heads for their departments. Since all executive directors do most of their work through other employees below them (subordinate / divisional managers), at this executive level, this Part C carries the greatest weighting of all the other scorecards in the Performance Agreement. It plans out and measures all departmental level strategic (in the departmental SDBIP) and generic / core managerial competence areas largely found in the functional list of the executive's COGTA Job Profile list of activities and outputs. Though most important, these core managerial competences (CMC and Critical Competence Requirements (CCRs), these are not unique. They are generic competences and performances that every section 57 executive employee must perform and be assessed on. These cover all 6 KPAs though mostly weighted heaviest on KPAs 3 (Financial Management & Viability); KPA 4: Institutional Transformation and Municipal Development) and KPA 5: Good Governance & Public These come from annually selected and prioritized Core Managerial Participation). Competences (CMCs) and Core Competency Requirements (CCRs). This selection process in this year has been streamlined to pick and use only those aspects which are already listed in the various Directors & MM's Job Profiles combined with those leadership roles implied in achieving each of the Top Layer SDBIP objectives and targets unique to the department that the executive leads.
- 1.5.4 PART D (0%): Antecedent Input Behaviours. These are strategically winning behaviours that define what an individual must do in order that they succeed in leading strategic performance in the municipality and in their department. These have been given a weighting of zero - meaning they will be understood to be applicable in future but are not measured this Financial Year as a way of not slowing down the overwhelming parts of change management. In the years when these will be measured, their weighting will be borrowed from Parts B and C above so that this Part D weighs a maximum of 15% and still retain the overall weighting of Parts B, C & D at 80. The logic of including Part D Scorecard in the Performance Agreement is that, any manager or any employee's performance is enhanced or reduced by certain behavioral habits / practices that they adopt and display, for example, how they manage time, how the make decisions, how they build, lead or work in teams to mention a few. To ensure that these winning antecedent behaviours are always at their most positive to impact performance, KPIs and Targets are set in this Part to measure the institutionally selected behaviours. The selection of the top five or so can be agreed at executive management level or assigned to the MM and her / his delegated director (like Corporate Services Director where Individual Performance resides).

LIV 3

- 1.5.5 PART E (20%): Competence based Individual Development or Learning Plan (CBIDP). These are commonly called Personal Development Plans (PDPs) or Individual Development Plans (IDPs). Different from previous practices in many organisations, in this Part E, these competence development areas will be planned and measured against (i) competence gaps in fulfilling current job requirements and performances (roughly 40% of this Part E) (ii) generic individual managerial or developmental competence / performance gaps (roughly 40% weighting of this Part E) (iii) life development goals (at 20% weighting at own cost but leave time allowed for examinations). Unlike in Senqu's previous practices on the PDPs, and unlike what many other municipalities where PDPs are just a "wish list", whose actual achievement does not impact the employee's performance, in this case these Competence-Based Individual Development Plans CBIDPs have set targets and will constitute 20% of the overall performance of that employee in line with the provisions of the Skills Dev Act.
- 1.5.6 This Performance Agreement cannot be interpreted as if it is replaceable by the Performance Clause in the Executive's 5 Year Contract of Employment as some do at times. This agreement is an annualized sub-set through whose cumulative performance measurement and tracking, whatever the executive would have committed to deliver in 5 years gets achieved.

2. PURPOSE OF THIS AGREEMENT

The purpose of this Agreement, as agreed by both parties, is to, inter alia.

- comply with the provisions of Section 57(1)(b), (4A),(4B) and (5) of the Act as well as the employment contract entered into between the parties;
- 2.2 specify objectives and targets defined and agreed with the employee and to communicate to the employer's expectations of the employee's performance and accountabilities in alignment with the Integrated Development Plan, Service Delivery and Budget Implementation Plan (SDBIP) and the Budget of the Municipality.
- 2.3 specify accountabilities as set out in a performance plan, which forms an annexure to the performance agreement.
- 2.4 monitor and measure performance against set targeted outputs.
- 2.5 use the performance agreement as the basis for assessing whether the employee has met the performance expectations applicable to his or her job.

My DIE. OX

- 2.6 in the event of outstanding performance, to appropriately reward the employee; and
- 2.7 give effect to the employer's commitment to a performance-orientated relationship with its employee in attaining equitable and improved service delivery.

3 COMMENCEMENT AND DURATION

- 3.1 Notwithstanding the date of signature hereto, this Agreement will commence on the 1st July 2024 and will remain in force until 30th June 2025. Thereafter, a new Performance Agreement, made up of the Performance Plan (the four parts B, C, & D Scorecards) and Personal Development Plan (CBIDP) shall be concluded between the parties for the next financial year or any portion thereof along the lines explained above.
- 3.2 The parties will review all the provisions of this Performance Agreement against its own efficaciousness as well as against existing and / or new local government policy changes in June of each year as part of the Performance Management System Annual Review. The parties will conclude a new Performance Agreement and Performance Plan that replaces this Agreement at least once a year by not later than 31st July of each successive financial year.
- 3.3 This Agreement will terminate on the termination of the Employee's contract of employment for any reason.
- 3.4 The content of this Agreement may be revised at any time during the above-mentioned period to redetermine the applicability of the matters agreed upon.
- 3.5 If at any time during the validity of this Agreement, the work environment alters (whether as a result of Government or Council decisions or otherwise) to the extent that the contents of this Agreement are no longer appropriate, the contents shall immediately be revised.
- 3.6 All revisions and amendments of this Agreement must be **immediately** noted and **immediately** counter-signed by the two agreeing parties, namely, the Employer and the Employee.

MAS ME. DE

- 4 PERFORMANCE OBJECTIVES, KEY PERFORMANCE INDICATORS (KPIs), BASELINES AND **TARGETS**
 - 4.1 Mutually aligned performance objectives, related KPIs, their KPI related baselines and targets form the basis and value chain of any strategic - performance management system of any organisation like the municipality.
 - 4.2 They are kept in proper alignment through a Performance Plan as described below.
 - 4.3 The full Performance Plan or Scorecard (Parts B, C, D & E) sets out-
 - 4.1.1 the performance objectives and targets that must be met by the Employee; and
 - 4.1.2 the time frames within which those performance objectives and targets must be met.
 - 4.4 The performance objectives and targets reflected in (Parts B, C and D) as well as the Part E: (Competence Based Individual Development Plan (CBIDP) are set by the Employer in consultative agreement (hence the term Performance Agreement) with the Employee and are all based on or clearly aligned to the approved integrated Development Plan, Top Layer Service Delivery and Budget Implementation Plan (TL SDBIP) and the Budget of the Employer and shall include key strategic and functional objectives; key performance indicators; target dates, weightings to reflect urgency and prioritisation, resource requirements, Means of Evidence Verification (MOVs).
 - 4.2.1 The key objectives describe the main intended achievements that need to be accomplished as derived from the Institutional (Top Layer) and Departmental SDBIPs wherein the Director makes their contribution in the Municipality.
 - 4.2.2 The key performance indicators provide the details of the evidence that must be provided to show that a key objective has been achieved. Key here means that there are many indicators from where one can chose, so the choice must prioritise only the few that will move forward the strategic objectives the most.
 - 4.2.3 The baselines are very important and must be decided consistent with language and units implied in the performance indicator when measurement of the indicator starts at the beginning of the year.

The ME. (M)

- 4.2.4 The targets describe the quantity or quality of the performance achieved in that objective and key performance indicator. In most cases, because the timeframe for achieving such a target is understood to be quarterly, semi-annually or annually, timeframes are not mentioned beyond these terms or columns.
- 4.2.5 The **weightings in** % show the importance of the key performance indicators and their targets relative to each other. They are crucial in computing the weighted average ratings at the end of period (quarterly, semi-annually, or annually). It is prudent to always work with an internal total weighting of 100% for each Scorecard (be it Part B, C, D or E).
- 4.5 The Employee's performance will, always, be measured in terms of contributions to the goals and strategies set out in the Employer's Integrated Development Plan and other sub-ordinate plans and projects that support the achievement of this IDP.

5 THE MUNICIPALITY'S PERFORMANCE MANAGEMENT SYSTEM

- 5.2 The Employee accepts that the purpose of the performance management system is to provide a comprehensive system with specific performance standards and targets to assist the Employer, Management and Municipal staff to perform to reach the standards required for each local government KPA.
- 5.3 The Employer will consult and support the Employee about all aspects required to achieve the specific performance standards that will be included in the performance management system as applicable to the Employee.
- The Employee undertakes to actively focus towards the promotion and implementation of all the KPAs, objectives and KPIs (including special projects relevant to the employee's responsibilities) within the Local Government framework.
- 5.5 The criteria upon which the performance of the Employee shall be assessed shall consist of two components, both of which shall be contained in the Performance Agreement.

THE DX

- 5.5.1 The Employee must be assessed against both components, with a weighting of 80:20 allocated to the Key Performance Areas, Objectives and Targets set in PARTS B, C, & D (when Part D is being measured) and the Competence Based Individual Development Plans (CBIDP in lieu of the usual PDP) based on prioritized Learning & Development Requirements (CCRs) respectively.
- 5.5.2 Each area of assessment (as per the four Parts described above) will be weighted and will contribute a specific part to the total score accordingly.
- 5.5.3 KPAs covering the main areas of work (PARTS B, C & D) will account for 80% and selected Developmental CRs (in the PART E CBIDP) will account for 20% of the final assessment.
- 5.5.4 The total score must be determined using the rating calculator which will always be appropriately calibrated to accommodate all the three or four measurable scorecards and the weighting given to each KPI and target within each KPA as found in the four measurable scorecards, namely, Parts B, C, D and E. (This year Part D has been left out for introduction next year).
- 5.6 The Employee's assessment will be based on his / her performance in terms of the outputs / outcomes (measured through the performance indicators and their related targets) identified as per attached Performance Plan (PARTS B, C & D), which are collectively and distributively linked to all the 6 KPA's, and will constitute 80% of the overall assessment result as per the weightings agreed to between the Employer and Employee. The weightings are set based, firstly, on this director's key job profiles which specify key activities / roles assigned to the incumbent as the director (HOD) of the department in their pursuit of each their own core KPAs, notwithstanding that this director, like all others must play supportive roles in the work done in other KPAs (signified by varying weighting each year).
- 5.7 The weightings shown below, while changeable to align with both the prevailing strategic and functional thrusts that this director must pursue, they must align with the average weighting per KPA assigned to each of the 80% Scorecards (Parts B and C combined). The Table below shows the weightings agreed for this current year for this director.

Key Performance Areas (KPA's)	Weighting
Basic Service Delivery	71%
Local Economic Development (LED)	6%
Municipal Financial Viability and Management	12%

The ME. A.

Key Performance Areas (KPA's)	Weighting
Good Governance and Public Participation	4%
Spatial Planning and Environmental Development	7%
Total	100%

- In the case of managers / directors directly accountable to the Municipal Manager, the weighting of the key performance areas related to the strategic and functional area of the relevant Manager, will always be subject to negotiation between the Municipal Manager and the relevant manager / director. These agreed weightings must be translated to the top of each KPA in the actual Plan templates (PARTS B, C & D).
- The developmental Competency Requirements (CR) (learning requirements in the CBIDP) will make up part of the other 20% of the Employee's assessment score. CRs that are deemed to be most critical for the Employee's specific job should be selected (√) from the list below as agreed to between the Employer and Employee. Three of the CRs are compulsory for Municipal Managers. These Leading CRs make PART C Generic / Core Managerial Competences (which are common to every Director / Senior Manager as agreed. A selection of Optional Core Competencies is selected each year and will form part of the Antecedent Input Behaviours (as explained earlier).
- In this year's Performance Agreement, all of the critical and desired competency requirements have mostly been taken care of through Part C built largely on the directors' Job Profiles, which, coming from COGTA have already selected which of the CRs are important. So the table below is only a guide not a prescription for the KPIs and targets set out in Part C.

executives and managers at the same level!		
LEADING COMPETENCIES	1	WEIGHT
Strategic Direction and Leadership	1	10%
People Management	7	10%
Program and Project Management	V	10%
Financial Management	7	10%
Change Leadership	√	10%
Governance Leadership	V	10%
CORE COMPETENCIES		
Moral Competence	√	6%
Planning and Organising	- V	6%
Planning and Organising	1	

The A. S. TA

CORE COMPETENCY REQUIREMENTS (CCRs) I executives and managers at the same level!	FOR EMPLOTEES -	Same Weighung i
LEADING COMPETENCIES	I V	WEIGHT
Analysis and Innovation	V	8%
Knowledge and Information Management	V	6%
Communication	7	8%
Results and Quality Focus	1	6%
Total percentage		100%

6. EVALUATING PERFORMANCE

- 6.1 The Performance Plan Scorecards (Parts B, C and D) to this Agreement each set out -
 - 6.1.1 the standards and procedures for evaluating the Employee's performance; and
 - 6.1.2 the intervals for the evaluation of the Employee's performance.
- Despite the establishment of agreed intervals for evaluation, the Employer may in addition, review the Employee's performance at any stage while the contract of employment remains in force, subject to sufficient notice and reasons for justifying the variation being given to the employee.
- 6.3 Personal growth and development needs identified during any performance review discussion must be documented in a Competence-Based Individual Development Personal Development Plan as well as the actions agreed to and implementation must take place within set time frames.
- 6.4 The Employee's performance will be measured in terms of contributions to the goals and strategies set out in the Employer's IDP and subordinate TL SDBIP and Departmental SDBIP and operational plans.
- 6.5 The annual performance appraisal will involve:

6.5.1 Assessment of the achievement of results as outlined in the performance plan:

(a) Each KPA should be assessed according to the extent to which the specified standards or performance targets set for each key performance indicator (KPI) have been met and with due regard to ad hoc tasks that had to be performed under the KPA.

The M.E.

Performance Agreement - Part A: Technical Services Director, 2024/25

- (b) An indicative rating on the five-point scale should be provided for each target first and then aggregated for each KPA.
- (c) The applicable assessment rating calculator (refer to paragraph 6.5.3 below) must then be used to add the scores and calculate a final weighted average rating for each KPA score.

6.5.2 Assessment of the Competency Requirements

- (a) Each Competency Requirement should be assessed according to the extent to which the specified standards (based on the standard being treated as a KPI and calibrated targets set, agreed and subsequently met).
- (b) An indicative rating on the five-point scale should be provided for each selected CR based on set KPIs and related targets.
- (c) This rating should be multiplied by the weighting given to each selected CR during the contracting process, to provide a score.
- (d) The applicable assessment rating calculator (refer to paragraph 6.5.1) must then be used to add the scores and calculate a final CR score.

6.5.3 Overall rating

An overall rating is calculated by using the applicable assessment-rating calculator. Such overall rating represents the outcome of the performance appraisal.

- The calibration of all set targets in each section / PART of the Performance agreement as well as the subsequent assessment of the performance attained by the Employee for each target will be based on the following rating scale for KPA's and the selected Competence Requirements (CRs) as reflected Part C taking from the Directors' Job Profiles which in turn have drawn them from the following CRs.
- 6.7 Below is the local government municipal regulations rating scale that each municipality has adopted.

The DETA

Level	Terminology	Description	Ra	ting			
	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		1	2	3	4	5
5	Outstanding performance	Performance far exceeds the standard expected of an employee at this level. The appraisal indicates that the Employee has achieved above fully effective results against all performance criteria and indicators as specified in the PA and Performance plan and maintained this in all areas of responsibility throughout the year.					
4	Performance significantly above expectations	Performance is significantly higher than the standard expected in the job. The appraisal indicates that the Employee has achieved above fully effective results against more than half of the performance criteria and indicators and fully achieved all others throughout the year.					
3	Fully effective	Performance fully meets the standards expected in all areas of the job. The appraisal indicates that the Employee has fully achieved effective results against all significant performance criteria and indicators as specified in the PA and Performance Plan.					
2	Not fully effective	Performance is below the standard required for the job in key areas. Performance meets some of the standards expected for the job. The review/assessment indicates that the employee has achieved below fully effective results against more than half the key performance criteria and indicators as specified in the PA and Performance Plan.					The state of the s

M.E. A.

Level	Terminology	Description	Ra	ting			
	· onminology	Securitaria	1	2	3	4	5
		Performance does not meet the standard expected			<u> </u>	I	
		for the job. The review/assessment indicates that					
	***************************************	the employee has achieved below fully effective					
		results against almost all of the performance criteria					
	Unacceptable	and indicators as specified in the PA and					
1	performance	Performance Plan. The employee has failed to					
	15.4	demonstrate the commitment or ability to bring					
		performance up to the level expected in the job					
		despite management efforts to encourage					
		improvement.					

- 6.7 For purposes of evaluating the annual performance of the Municipal Manager, an evaluation panel constituted of the following persons must be established moderate the ratings first agreed between the Employee – i.e. the MM and their supervisor (Executive Mayor)-
 - 6.7.1 Executive Mayor or Mayor.
 - 6.7.2 Chairperson of the performance audit Committee or the Audit Committee in the absence of a performance audit committee.
 - 6.7.3 Member of the Mayoral or Executive Committee or in respect of a plenary type of Municipality, another member of Council.
 - 6.7.4 Mayor and/or Municipal Manager from another Municipality; and
 - 6.7.5 Member of a Ward Committee as nominated by the Executive Mayor or Mayor.
- 6.8 For purposes of evaluating the annual performance of Managers directly accountable to the Municipal Managers, an evaluation panel constituted of the following persons must be established;
 - 6.8.1 The Municipal Manager.
 - 6.8.2 Chairperson of the performance Audit Committee or the Audit Committee in the absence of a performance Audit Committee.
 - 6.8.3 Member of the Mayoral or Executive Committee or in respect of a plenary type of Municipality, another member of Council; and
 - 6.8.4 another Municipal Manager from another Municipality.

The Manager responsible for Human Resources of the Municipality must provide secretariat services to 6.9 the evaluation panels referred to in sub-regulations (6.7) and (6.8). 13 A.V

7. SCHEDULE FOR PERFORMANCE REVIEWS

- 7.1 Before the on-set of the Performance Management Cycle, all targets in PARTS B, C, and D must be calibrated against the rating scale of 1 - 5 in order to minimize common subjectivity that occurs when assessments are done during appraisal time.
- 7.2 The performance of each **Employee** in relation to his / her performance agreement shall be reviewed on the following dates with the understanding that reviews in the first and third quarter may be verbal if performance is satisfactory:

- 7.2 During these review sessions, targets are scored or rated, the targets may also be renegotiated and adjusted in re-alignment to the available budgets and other prevailing environmental conditions as necessary. The Employer shall keep a record of the mid-year review and annual assessment meetings and scores for cumulative averaging at the end of each year as provided by the policy.
- 7.3 Performance feedback shall be based on the Employer's assessment of the Employee's performance done in mutual agreement with the employee.
- 7.4 The Employer will be entitled to review and make reasonable changes to the provisions of all PARTS "B, C & D & E" from time to time for operational reasons. The Employee will be fully consulted before any such change is made.
- 7.5 The Employer may amend the provisions of PARTS B, C, and D & E whenever the performance management system is adopted, implemented and / or amended as the case may be. In that case the Employee will be fully consulted and ideally be in agreement before any such change is made. Both parties will sign-off any amendments made as such agreements are legally binding on both parties.

8. DEVELOPMENTAL REQUIREMENTS

The Competence-Based Individual Development Plan (CBIDP) in lieu of the normal Personal Development Plan (PDP) for addressing developmental gaps is attached as Part E as annexed. The Ox

9. OBLIGATIONS OF THE EMPLOYER

- 9.1 The Employer shall -
 - 9.1.1 create an enabling environment to facilitate effective performance by the employee.
 - 9.1.2 provide access to skills development and capacity building opportunities.
 - 9.1.3 work collaboratively with the Employee to solve problems and generate solutions to common problems that may impact on the performance of the Employee.
 - 9.1.4 on the request of the Employee delegate such powers reasonably required by the Employee to enable him / her to meet the performance objectives and targets established in terms of this Agreement; and
 - 9.1.5 make available to the Employee such resources as the Employee may reasonably require from time to time to assist him / her to meet the performance objectives and targets established in terms of this Agreement.

10. CONSULTATION

- 10.1 The Employer agrees to consult the Employee timeously where the exercising of the Employer and / or Employee's delegated powers will have amongst others, a direct effect on the performance of any of the Employee's key functions, in particular;
 - 10.1.1 at the initial agreement of this document called the Performance Agreement (Parts A, B, C, D and E), it is envisaged that consultative discussions will be held and that the final signed off agreement will be signed for each part to signify that there was discussion and consensus for each part of this agreement. It is envisaged that the employee will prepare and present to the Employer a draft Performance Agreement (in all its agreed Parts showing calibrated targets for each scorecard) for discussion at a timely agreed date and time. Once agreed, dated and signed by both parties, the Performance Agreement is deemed to be legally binding to both parties, such that no party shall vary any part of it without consultation and agreement with the other Party.
 - 10.1.2 at every performance appraisal / review session (quarterly in line with the municipal performance regulations, unless agreed otherwise within the municipality's Performance Management policy),

THE DRAIN

the Employee shall present their own self rating scores and reasons for discussion and comparing notes with the Employer (who will also have already scored the employee independently prior to the meeting). In this meeting notes are being compared, agreements on performance levels to be maintained, or improved agreed with clear additional support for the employee being agreed. Such support will include necessary coaching and mentoring, training and development arrangement. It is at these formal review / appraisal sessions that any targets may be adjusted, in writing and all changes co-signed against this initial agreement by both parties indicating reasons for such a change.

- 10.1.3 continuously commit the Employee to implement or to give effect to a decision made by the Employer that affect and are affected by this agreement:
- 10.1.4 continuously commit the Employer to render all necessary support (resources, emotional, intellectual and physical) as may be reasonably needed by the employee to optimally perform what has been agreed in this Performance Agreement; and
- 10.1.5 that has a substantial financial effect on the Employer.
- 10.2 The Employer agrees to inform the Employee of any outcome of any decisions taken outside the consultation with the employee, with regards to the implementation of this Performance Agreement pursuant to the exercise of powers contemplated in 10.1 above as soon as is practicable, as part of the Employer's coaching roles in order to enable the Employee to take any necessary action without delay. It is envisaged that such decisions will be rare and mostly coming from outside the municipal administration sphere like council, COGTA, National Treasury, SALGA etc.

11. MANAGEMENT OF EVALUATION OUTCOMES

- 11.1 The final evaluation of the Employee's performance will be conclusively pronounced by the selected panel as envisaged in section 27 (d) of the Performance Regulation, also recapped in sub-sections 6.7 and 6.8 in this document above. The panel will perform a moderating role on all signed performance appraisal reports of each review session held and agreed between the Employer and Employee in the course of the year. For administrative fairness, both the Employer and the Employee should always be present or at least represented to clarify any issues that the panel may wish to have clarified.
- 11.2 Based on the final scores / ratings presented to and confirmed by the panel, a performance bonus of between 5% to 14% of the total remuneration package may be paid to the Employee (subject to the policy and the resources available to the municipality as stated in the Systems Act) in recognition

Mr.E. OX

of outstanding performance that the municipality wishes to sustain and also in line with the provisions of the municipal performance management regulations. Such reward will be constituted as follows:

- a rating of 4 out of the 5 point rating scale is given a score of between 130% to 149% is awarded a performance bonus ranging from 5% to 9%; and
- 11.2.2 a rating of 5 out of the 5 point rating scale is given a score of between 150% and above is awarded a performance bonus ranging from 10% to 14%.
- **N.B.:** It should be noted that the rating process (against the 5 point scale) must always happen first before the use of the percentage equivalents ranging from 70% 166%+ because not all targets can be calibrated up to 166%+, so it is better to rate first on the numbers and use the % as qualifiers as shown below.
- 11.3 In the case of unacceptable performance, the Employer shall -
 - 11.3.1 On confirming performance shortfalls, immediately provide systematic remedial or developmental support to assist the Employee to improve his or her performance; and
 - 11.3.2 After appropriate performance counselling and having provided the necessary guidance and/ or support as well as reasonable time for improvement in performance, the Employer may consider steps to terminate the contract of employment of the Employee on grounds of unfitness or incapacity to carry out his or her duties.

12.0 MANAGEMENT OF EVALUATION OUTCOMES

12.1 The evaluation of the Employee's performance shall form the basis for rewarding outstanding and excellent performance only (ratings 4 and 5) or correcting unacceptable performance (Ratings 2 and below) as reflected in the table below –

Rating (out of the 5 point scale)	% Score (for those instances where performance is meaningfully stretchable beyond 100%)	Equivalent on a Usual 100% Maximum (where performance can never be meaningfully calibrated beyond 100%)	% of annual package payable as a performance Bonus
4.00 - 4.19	130.0% - 133.8%	(65.0% - 66.9%)	5%
4.20 - 4.39	134.0% – 137.8%	(67.0% - 68.9%)	6%

17 TANA MENDEN

4.40 – 4.59	138.0% - 141.8%	(69.0% - 70.9%)	7%
4.60 – 4.79	142.0% - 145.8%	(71.0% - 72.9%)	8%
4.80 – 4.99	146.0% - 149.8%	(73.0% - 74.9%)	9%
5.00 - 5.19	150.0% - 152.8%	(75.0% - 76.4%)	10%
5.20 - 5.39	153.0% - 155.8%	(76.5% - 77.9%)	11%
5.40 - 5.59	156.0% - 158.8%	(78.0% - 79.4%)	12%
5.60 - 5.79	159.0% - 161.8%	(79.5% - 80.9%)	13%
5.80 - 5.99	162% - 164.8% +	(81.0% - 82.4%) +	14%

- 12.1.1 At the end of the 4th quarter, the Executive Authority will determine, based on the final panel ratings based on the rating / scoring table above, if the s56 / s57 Director / manager is eligible for a performance bonus as envisaged in his/her contract of employment based on the bonus allocations shown in the table above.
- 12.2 In the case of unacceptable performance, the Employer shall
 - Provide systematic remedial or developmental support to assist with **Employee** to improve his or her performance; and
 - 12.2.2 After appropriate performance counseling and having provided the necessary guidance and/or support as well as reasonable time for improvement in performance, the **Employer** may consider steps to firstly shift the employee to another position within the municipality (if possible). If that does not help, then and only then will the Employer invoke procedures to terminate the contract of employment of the **Employee** on grounds of unfitness or incapacity to competently perform out his or her duties.
 - 12.2.3 Nothing contained in this Agreement in any way limits the right of the Municipality to terminate the Director's contract of employment with or without notice for any other breach by the Director of his obligations to the Municipality or for any other valid reason in line with fair labour practice and law.

13 MERITS AWARDS

13.1.1 Merit awards for Section 56 employees are determined by performance against targets. Once performance criteria have been established, performance targets are reviewed regularly. At the end of the financial year, actual performance is compared against the agreed performance targets to determine the magnitude of the merit increase. The merit increase is calculated as a percentage of the total annual package of the employee, as indicated in the table hereunder.

Score / 200	Merit
130 to 141 (65%-70%)	1% of total package
142 to 149 (71% - 74%)	2% of total package
150 to 161 (75%-80%)	3% of total package

THE ME DE

162 to 165 (81 – 82%)	4% of total package
166+ (83% +)	5% of total package

13.1.2 Merit awards are subject to policy and Budgetary provisions made on an annual basis the merit bonuses may be paid as a 'once off' payment or at agreed quarterly intervals i.e. over a number of months.

14 DISPUTE RESOLUTION

- 14.1 Any disputes about the nature of the Municipal Manager's performance agreement, whether it relates to key responsibilities, priorities, methods of assessment and/ or any other matter provided for, shall be mediated by –
 - 14.1.1 The MEC for Local Government in the province within thirty (30) days of receipt of a formal dispute from the Employee; or
 - 14.1.2 Any other person appointed by the MEC.
 - 14.1.3 In the case of Managers directly accountable to the Municipal Manager, a member of the Municipal Council, provided that such member was not part of the evaluation panel provided for in sub-regulation 27(4)(e) of the Municipal Performance Regulations, 2006, within thirty (30) days of receipt of a formal dispute from the employee.
- 14.2.1 In the event that the mediation process contemplated above fails, clause 20.3 of the Contract of Employment shall apply.

15. GENERAL

- 15.1 The contents of this agreement and the outcome of any review conducted in terms of Annexure A may be made available to the public by the Employer.
- 15.2 Nothing in this agreement diminishes the obligations, duties, or accountabilities of the Employee in terms of his/ her contract of employment, or the effects of existing or new regulations, circulars, policies, directives or other instruments.
- 15.3 The performance assessment results of the Municipal Manager must be submitted to the MEC responsible for Local Government in the relevant province as well as the National Minister responsible for Local Government, within fourteen (14) days after the conclusion of the assessment.

HU DE A.J

Performance Agreement - Part A: Technical Services Director, 2024/25

16.	The signatures below signify that the Econtained and implied in this Part A of		e discussed and agree on every detail
Thus	nus done and signed at	on this the day of	
AS V	S WITNESSES:		
1			
		EMPLOYEE (DIRECT	OR)
2			
as v	S WITNESSES:		
l			
		MUNICIPAL MANAGI	ER .

Manager: THEMENKOSI MANUONGA Righes by: THEMENKOSI MANUONGA Date: 7/51/2024

Name of employees: RANKO RUFESS Signed by: FANKO RUFESS Date: 7/31/2024

INDIVIDUAL PERFORMANCE SCORECARD: FY24/25

TO THE .						PERSONAL CODE.	******
Une Manager's Title MUNICIPAL MANAGER	FRVICES					PROVINCE/CLUSTER/UNIT:	PC1/4
THE PERSON NAMED IN COLUMN	91010					FINANCIAL YEAR:	FY24/25
DECHINICAL COMPETENCIES	NCIES						Weighting: 80.00%
+		Manual of Jones -			INDIVIDUAL PERFORMANCE PLAN		
Seg	To a	3.000	WEIGHTING	ANNUAL TARGET	TARGET STANDARDS	QUARTERLY TARGETS	MEANS OF EVIDENCE VERIFICATION
	Strategy and Project Implementation Plans	Justed	1=<42%	42%	New intator	L. Charret 1. Most striget 2. Charlet 2. Most striget 3. Charlet 3. Most striget 4. Charlet 4. Charlet 6. Charlet 9. Most of Project implementation Plans	Council or SEM Approval
			2 = 4	7×428-59%			9
			99 = 8	3 = 60% - 77%			
Service Delivery	Piejvery	2 Construction of a DLTC 3,00% in Sterispruit by 30 June 2025	Comp	Completion of the project (Completion of the project)	Foundations of Office Buildings and 2/3 of Builk earthworks	1. Quarter 1 - Completion of bulk earthworks and a building werks superstructure to not level (ecoloding plastering and	Signed progress reports, practical completion correlicate.
V-						other aucitaries). 2. Quarter 2. Perimeter fencing, roofing and other auxiliaries. 3. Quarter 3. August 1. Seriment and electrical work and electrical work completion. 4. Quarter 4. Sing listing and practical completion. 2 < 4.2%	

The to the total of the total o

ŭ
E
ő
æ
7
š
5
Φ.

Signed progress reports, practical completion certificates		Signed progress reports, practical completion certificate				Signed progress report, praticial completion certificate			(
1. Charter 1 - Completion of 1.2fm basecourse leyer works and 200m of starmwaster control - Completion of starmwaster control - Completion of 1.5mm basecourse leyer works, 1.2km - Completion of 1.5km paying, 1.2km - Completion of 1.5km paying and - Castret 4. Practical completion of 3.3km paying and - Castret 4. Practical completion of 3.3km paying and - Castret 4. Practical completion of 3.3km paying and - Castret 4. Practical completion of 3.3km paying and - Castret 4. Practical completion of 3.3km paying and - Castret 4. Practical completion of 3.3km paying and	3 - 60% - 77%	1. Quarter 1 - Complete 24m tegrareling and fun 12. Quarter 2 - Complete 34m tegrareling and 14m 15. Quarter 2 - Complete 34m tegrareling and 14m 15 marker control with practical completion 1 = < 42%	2 n 42% - 59%	3 × 60% - 77%	4 = 78% - 89%	1. Quarte 1 - Complete 2.5km re-garveling and 500m 55 commander 2 - Charles 7. Quarter 2 - Charles 8 completen 1 - c. 4756 completen 1 - c. 4756 completen 1 - c. 4756	.2 = 4.2%. 5.9%	3 • 60N - 77%	4 a 72N - 89%
		Ste ezablehment				Ste etablishment			
Practical completion of construction of interior's paved. Street (film) in Khweel Naked (Steve Tahvestel W 14.		Packsal completion of reconstruction of 6 hm of access (the establishment roads in Ntabomilophe in World 5				Fractisal completion of reconstruction of access rouds in 5the establishment Kwanton and Zwelisha in Ward 12			
3 (construction of interior), 13,000% passed street (skin.) in passed street (skin.) in Faverory Hadel (See a Taverory Hadel (See a Taverory Hadel Open a Taverory W. 14 by June 2025		4, Reconstruction of 6 km 3.00% of stress of s				5 Reconstruction of scess 3.00% roads in westools and Zuveillabe in westools and Zuveillabe in westools and 30 June 2025			
Service Delhery Heiserousd Service Delhery Delhery		Service Delivery improved sustainable acress to services				Service Deherv Improved sustainable access to services access to services			

WE OR S

Signed progress reports, practical completion certificate	Signed progress reports, Fractical completion certificate	Reports / Job Cards
1. Cuarter 1. Appointment letter 2. Charter 2. Complete 28th ref-greeling and 700m 1. Charter 3. Fordstell completion of 2.5km re- graveling and 500m stormwater control 1. = < 42% - 55% - 55% 2. 42% - 55% 4. 75% - 55%	1. Charte 1 - Appointment letter. 2. Charte 2 - Pratriat Completion of construction of sale accesses to properties in Tenhenk by June 2025 1 - < 42% - 59% 3 - 62% - 77% 4 - 78% - 69%	1. Quarter 1. 4 km 2. Quarter 2. 4 km 4. Quarter 3. 4 km 4. Custrer 4. 4 km 1 = < 4.7% 2 = 4.7% - 55% 4 = 77% - 65%
Procurement Stage (Awaiting appointment létre)	Procurement Stage (Bid Evaluation Stage)	100% Combision of Malintenents of raids is per the approved malintenents plan is 2023 2024
Completion of reconstruction of 6.5 m gravel roads and stormwiser channes in Zava. Midoleovana and Thasa Koiol (Ward 13)	Completos of construction of MB accesses to properties to Tenhank by June 2025	NOW (15 km) Completion of Maletenance plan
is flectomatruction of 4,5 km 3,00% gravel transh and to market to the statement of the sta	7 (construction of 150% Cooks to properties in Tendant by 50 lane 2025	8 Maintenance of looks 3,00% in chearing which sa per the Council account account account white the same of the sa
	Triproved sustainable 22:055:10 sprovices	Improved sustainable
Service Delikery	Service Delivery	Service Delivery

Appointment letter & EIA sapikation approval record				Appointment letter & Elk application apprional record					Signed progress reports, practical completion certificate				
1. Quarter 1 - Appointment of Els consultant 2. Quarter 2 - Els application 3. Quarter 3 - Els application 4. Quarter 4 - Els application decision 1 - < 42%	2 = 42% - 59%	3 = 60% - 77%	4 - 78% - 89%	1. Quarter 1. Appointment of ElA consultant 2. Quarter 2. ElA application 3. Quarter 3. ElA application 4. Quarter 4. ElA application 4. Quarter 4. ElA application 9. Quarter 4. ElA application 9. Quarter 4. ElA application	1 - < 42%	2 = 42% . 55%	3 = 60% - 77%	4 - 7258 - 69%	1. Quarte 1 - Appointment of contractor 2 Guarte 2 - Ste extablisment and demosishing 3. Quarte 3 - Foundation and surface bed 4. Quarter 4 - Super structure and practical completion	1=<42%	2 = 42% - 59%	3 = 60% - 77%	4 = 78% - 69%
New Indicator				New Indicator					Мем				
Eich approved				EA approval					Completion of contruction of the Herschel Community IN				
9 El A spolication approvat 3 0.00% 8 record of decision for Estimation Production Dridge Dridge				10 EtA application approval 5,00% EtA Erond of decision for Using Ferting and Using Experiment Channel	trough Khwezi Naiedi and toggrade of two notar bridges by lune (Q25.				11 Construction of the 3.00% Con- Herdred Community Hall by 30 Lune 2025				
improved sustainable				improved sustainable 10] eacrites to services					Improved sustainable Ricess to services				
Service Delikery				Service Delivery		 			Service Delivery		 		

A STAN

Signed progress reports, practical completion sertificate	Appointment letter. Elk approval and layout plans	Sgeed progress reports, practical completion certificate
1. Charter 7 - Appointment of contribution 2. Charter 2 - Forbing of Informa and practical 3. Charter 3 - Forbing of Vortisma and Practical completion 1. * < 47% 2. * 42% - 59% 4. * 18% - 89%	1. Obarter 1 - Appointment of Chill consultant 2. Obarter 2 - ElA explication and byour plant 3. Obarter 3 - ElA explication 4. Obarter 4 - ElA explication 4. Obarter 4 - ElA explication & record of election and lipour plant 3 - 4.2% - 59% 5 - 4.2% - 59% 4 - 75% - 89%	1. Quarter 1 - Bulk earth works - seccer field and track. Signature or Combit Counter fronty. 2. Quarter 2 - Guarter 3 - Impation, planting of grass and practical composition. 3. Quarter 3 - Impation, planting of grass and practical composition. 4. Quarter 4 - Not a targett a < 42% 2 - 42% - 55% 3 - 65% - 77%
New indicator		Ste etakkinnent
Completion of Feating of exacting cornelectes at invesion, Hinasa and Voyizana	Ompleton of Ladd Grey and Heschel Cemetery Layout. Appointment of Eth consultant. Plus and Eth Approval	Competion of construction of Bhagams Sportsfield
12) Fancing of encising, commercing at loweless, schema and Vorgrans by 50.0 June 2015.	13 Ets application & record 3 200% of decision (10.140) (first) and Merchal Cenetery and Merchal Cenetery Sayour Plans (10.14) (W1.3 & 34) by 30 tone 2025	1) Construction of Bregums Sportsteld by 30 Me 2025
Service Delivery Improved sustainable secres (0 services		instructed sstationable
Service .	Service	Service Debays



Appointment letter and ligned progress report	Electricity services internal sonual report agreed by Director: Technical Services	Progress report signed by Director. Technical Services
1. Cloreter 1 - Non a carget 3. Charter 2 - Non a larget 3. Charter 3 - Procurement of contractor 4. Charter 4 - Site myabbishment 1 - < 42% 2 = 42% - 53% 4 - 72% 4 - 72% - 53% 4 - 72% - 53%	1. Charter 1. Not a target 2. Charter 2. Not a terget 3. Charter 3. Not a terget 4. Charter 3. How a terget 4. Charter 3. We a terget 1. Charter 3. We a terget 1. Charter 3. We a terget 1. Charter 3. We a terget 2. Law 57% 4. 77% 4. 77% 5. 85% 5. 85%	1. Charter 1 - Not a Larger. 3. Charter 2 - Appointment of the Constitut 3. Charter 4 - Appointment of the Constitut 4. Charter 4 - Intrallation of 200 conventional and faulty proposid meters 1 = 4276. 2 = 4276. 4 = 7356 - 7776.
Davign report	New	Conventional and faulty prepaid meters
Ste establishment	Reduce electricity losses by 0,354.	Replacement of 220 conventional and fealth prepaid
Station of Transfer 2.05% Station in Rhodes by 30 June 2.005	16 % of electricity losses 7.00% reduced by Lune 2.024	I J Regularement of 300% conventional and tasky prepared meters.
improved sustainable access to services		ory Municipal Financial
Service Delivery	Service Delivery	Service Delivery

The state of the s

2024
October 24,
Thursday,
rinted On

Appointment of Consultant and Contractor, Practical Completion Lendlinare of 8.3 Households Connections				Aspointment of Consultant and Contractor. Practical			Appointment of Consulant and Contractor, Practical Completion Certificate.				weight of Jak.
1 Clarke 1 - No staget 2 Clarke 2 Appeintment of Consultant 3 Clarke 3 - Appeintment of Lonstator 4 Clarke 4 - Practical project competion = <42%	%65° %27° - 2	3 = 60% - 77%	%68 · %82. = 9	2. Quarter 1. Not a larget 2. Quarter 1. Oppositionment of consultant 3. Quarter 3. Appositionment of schotlastic 4. Quarter 4. Practical project completion 1. = < 42%	2 = 424-55%	3 • 60% - 77%	1. Charter 1. Not a trager 2. Charter 2. Appointment of Consteaut 3. Charter 3. Appointment of Contractor 4. Charter 4. Practical project competion 1. < < 47%	3 - 42% - 59%	3 65% - 77%	468-881-0	
Completion of electrification of 83 Househooks connections in Ward 10.				Completion of installation of right Mass Lights - New Meet mithator			Completion of revoluting of LV Counteed Line. New indicator infrastructure and Public Lightning.				Powered by Onecy
18 Electrification of 1,000% Completion of 18.3 Household for where 10 by 30 June 2005				19 intrallation of righ Matt. 2,00% Completion of silents - New Rest by 30 Acres 2005			20 (Wasd 16 Neroute of LV 3.00% Completion of re Overhead Libra with Street Lights for Lubins Street Lights for Lubins Location by 30 June 2005				
Service Deliverry improved 1413-basile secres to services				Service Definery Improved sustainable access to services			Service Delivery Improved sustainable access to services	,			Printed On Thursday, October 24, 2024

Me The Day

224
124,2
Octobe
rsday, (
Thus
Q Pag
Ē

1. Proof of Defuery 2. Isrockes	Sgred progress reports, practical completion certificate	1. Orders and groof of payment for invoices
1. Duniter 1 - Not 8 targer 2. Duniter 2 - Procurement of Londood and Excavator 1 = < 42% 2 - 42% - 55% 4 = 78% - 77% 4 = 78% - 85%	Counted 1- Interfor tenovation - abhation facility, debates area blacken and katchen Counted completion and practical completion 1 - < 42% 2 - 42% - 59% 4 - 77% - 69%	2. Garter 1. Hors a target 2. Garter 2. Starter 2. Starter 2. Starter 3. Carter 3. Carter 3. Delivery alloy and invoices 3. = <276. 59% 4.776. 4. 776.
New Indicator		New indicator
Procurement of Lowbed Truck and Exceptor	Practical completion of construction of staff change froms in Lady Grey	Frocerrant and Fuchise of Vehicle, Plant &
Procurement of the Procurement of the Procurement of the Procurement 2005	22 Construence of east 3,00%. Gree by 33 March 3025	Fundament by 30 fame 2025
		Access to services
	Sevice Delivery into	

Printed On Thursday, October 24, 2024

Appointment letter Cossiliam Appointment (etter Contrador Practical completion certificate				Confirmation by sauing authority				Proof of licence issued by the legislathe authority				
1. Quarter 1. Hot a target: 2. Quarter 1. Hot a target: 3. Quarter 3. Appointment of Consultant 14. Quarter 4. Appointment of Consultant installation of the battery back up Solar system 1 = <42%	2 = 42% - 59%	3 × 60% - 77%	4 = 78% - 83%	1. Charter 1. Hote stripet 3. Charter 2. Hote stripet 3. Charter 2. Note stripet Charter 4. L. « I kpubs recevired 7 days after the due date	2 = liputs received 3 days after the due date		3 » Inputs received on time;	1. Charter 1. Not 3 sign. 2. Charter 2. Not 2 signs 3. Charter 2. Not 2 signs 4. Charter 3. Not 2 signs Authority. Authority.	1 = < 4276	2 - 42% - 39%	¥44. 409- 1	758 834.
Execting solar panek				Nee				Consultan Appointed in 2013/2012#				
insalistion of battery backup supply for existing solar panels.				1. • < fipule received 7 days after the due date 7. • < fipule received 3 days after the due date		3 = inputs received on time;		9.5°9.1	2×428-59%	3 - 60% - 77%	4 × 72% - 89%	
\$				7,00%		<u> </u>		2,00%			N.	
24 Procurent and Purchase of Power Backup System				25 Developing the electrication plan (in consultation with CESCOM) for formalised human settlement projects				26 % / proportion of licensed borrow pits at different wards by 30 forme 2025 as per schedule, quality and cost				
Financials sociainelle municipality			MAG PART AND	ver i plannes sevice defreey provisions				Environmental and conservation friendly municipality			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Service Delivery			Sandra Dallace					Comprisonce				
						į		Spatiar Planning and Environmental Development				

ME. De De

Me Charles of the Cha

2024
October 24,
ç
rite

Project Environmental Impact Analysis and 5DF compliance Reports and approved plans	UNI project plans and progress reports by PMU and Department's Director's LRN report	Reports submitted to the Standing Committee
1. Charter 1. C. Charter 2. 3. Charter 3. 4. Charter 3. 4. Charter 4. 1 4.2%. 2 4.2% 5.9%. 2 4.2% 5.9%. 3 6.7% 7.7%.	2. Counter 3 2. Counter 3 4. Counter 4 1 x < 59% 2 x 60% - 77% 3 x 78% - 89%	1. Charter 1 2. Charter 2 3. Charter 3 4. Charter 4 1 = < 42% 2 = 42% - 55% 4 = 78% - 55% 4 = 78% - 55%
\$ & Z	New	Mew
1 = < 42% 2 = 42% - 59% 3 = 60% - 77% 4 = 78% - 89%	3 - 7284 - 8996 4 - 9096 - 9556	3 = 42% - 59% 3 = 60% - 77% 4 = 78% - 88%
2) No de genfrascructural in the committee Projects in till compliance with compliance with compliance with construction legislation (correction legislation (person distriction (EIA)	28 % of big infrastructure! 1,00% annualization frontees that annualization are least at bone 60% (4 out of 6) of Land (Rehabblaction and Rehabblaction and Restoration (48)) projects every time	2) For the infrastructure 2,00% construction projects that are preceded, that are preceded infrastructure by social followed by social feelibration
Companied Charles of Cha	Complant Environment	Planning the finegraph of the code, planning information finegraphs of the code, planning information and principle cast factorial spects of information for the core and principle spects of information to the core all development of all development of all farments at lifements.

Signed EPWP Reports		Signed IS) reports			4		BID committee Chair sign-off				
1. Olumber 1. 2. Olumber 2. 3. Olumber 2. 4. Olumber 4. 1. > 10th decrease 2. = 10th - 1% decrease	3 a GH . "2k increase	1. Quarter 1. 2. Quarter 2. 3. Quarter 3. 4. Quarter 4. 1. < 20%		2 - 20% - 29%	3 = 30% - 35%	4 = 40% - 45%		L. ** HOT CYRTI & CIRT &RELIADIR	2 = First draft available but not yet approved	3 w submitted for approval on list due date;	
155 EPVP benuficiarite employed		New indicator					New				
1 = > 10% decrease 2 = 10% - 1% decrease 3 = 10% - 7% nicease		1 = < 20%	2 = 20% - 29%	%6F · %0F * E	d o 4776 - 45%		L n < not even a dreft available	2 = First dreft available tuk not yet approved		3 # w.lornfized for approval on last due date;	4 = submittesi before due date and approved vith rinins corrections to be suggested;
30 % proportion dervase/inceae in SPMS was case in Opportunities based the statisfies of the lant Thrankial year		3) K of the monicipal 4,00% of a plant of a plant of the monicipal of the military of the military counts. all cases a plant of the military counts.					32 % of BiD specifications 2.00% that are submitted timeously				
Job creation		Job creation					nce Ensuring a smooth application process and approval within specified turnaround time.				
Local Economic (ED Strategy Development implementation		LED Strategy inspennentation					Historia Vability and Improved Performance Management Management				



2024
ctober 24,
ursday, D
d On The
rinte

Auchtos Sign-offs				All Grant Expenditure Fhancial Reports					MAG MAS reports					W.E. A. D. B.
1. Ouarter 1. 2. Chaster 2. 3. Cherter 3. 4. Quarter 4. 1 = >5.77%		2 = \$(05% - 5,77%	58.2 - 58.6 a 4.5	4 = 2.719-3.83% 1. Quarte 1 2. Quarte 2 3. Quarte 2	6. Charter 41 = c.3.5%	2 = 3.5% 4,45%		3 = 5% - 6,45%	1 Quarter 1 2 Quarter 2 3 Quarter 5 4 Quarter 5	1.4.c.7k	2 = 7% - 9,59%		3 = 10% - 12,9%	
1 = >5,777%	2 = 5,03% - 5,77%	3 = 2,87 - 5%	4 = 2,73% - 383%	5 = < 2.71% 1 = < 2.51% 1 = < 2.55% 1 = < 2.55%	Z = 3,5% - 4,65%		3 - 5% - 6.45%	4 = 6,5N - 7,45M	1 = 4.7% New	2 = 7% - 9,59%		3 = £0% - 12,9%	4 - 13% - 14.9%	Powered By Grincey
33 % rependitive devisites 3.00%				34 % Increase in utilization / 5,00% expenditure of all other increases in utilization of a construction					35 % forcease in citivation / 4.00% expenditure of Mid yout expenditure command to save period last year				4	
Financial Management Municipal Risk. Municipal Risk.				Etrancial Management Itranscially sastainable municipality					Francial Management Francish sustainable municipality					Printed On Thursday, October 24, 2024

5	
•	
É	
J.	
Ų.	
3	
Ē.	
T)	
×	
z.	
gu .	
*	
δ.	
Š.	
-	

in the same of the

Restriction Register					Resolution register					Najahtina: 20 00%	MILENS OF EVIDENCE VERIFICATION	portuguis sign our					
1. Quarter 1 2. Quarter 2 3. Quarter 3 4. Quarter 4 1 = < 7%		2 = 776 - 9,976		3 = 10% - 12,9%	1. Querter 1 2. Querter 2 3. Querter 3 4. Querter 4 1. < < 256		2 = 42% - 55%	3 = 60% - 77%	4 = 78% - 89%	\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \		2. Charter 2 - not bright 3. Charter 3 - not a sarget Charter 4 - 1 = 459 / cating		2 = 49% - 69%	3 - 70% - 80%	4 = 81% - 90%	+%76=9
Meve					New						New New						
<u>K</u> Y Y - T	% €'6- % (= ₹	-	3 = 10% - 12,9%	A = 1,3% - 14,9%	1x<428	2 = 42% - 59%	3 = 60% - 77%	4 = 78% - 89%			1 = <49% reting		2 = 49% - 69%	3 = 70% - 80%	4 n 81% - 90%	5 v 91% +	
36 % of Overall Council Resolution: Implemented on time as intended per quarter					3.7 % of Oneal M., R.C.R.COS & Counts, M.M.A.C. Top Management and Senior Executive Met Resolutions methods on time as		-			100.00%	1 % of departmental 15.00%	in contracted of included of included of included of included on the and infat completes with the termination posity the termination posity					
ood Compliance with Local Government Legislabons					od Compliance with Local Government Legistrons						Empowering, motival	departmental IR devictorment, complying to all municipal and public sector IRM provisions					
Good Governance and Adhere to Good Public Participation Governance					Adhere to Good Governance			***************************************		O O	Not Applicable Human Besource						

	·····	*****					· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	·····		<u> </u>					
Departmental sign offs					Labour Relations Reports					Departmental sign offs					
1. Guerter 1. Nor a rager 2. Courter 2. Hor a suger 3. Charter 3 Nor a suger 4. Charter 4. 1 = 459% rating		2 = 49% - 69%	3 w 70% - 80%	4 = 31% - 90%	\$ -91% + 1.Guarter 1 - No. a kingkt 2. Guarter 2 - 1 -c49% rating	2 = 49% - 69%	3 = 70% - 80%	4 = 81% - 90%	5 = 91% - 5. Chunter 3 - Not a larget 6. Chunter 4 - 1. «45% facing	1. Quarter 1. 1 × 49% rating	2 × 49% · 69%	3 n 70% - 80%	4 v 8 3 % - 30%	S = 913% + 2. Quarter 2	3. Quarter 3 - 1 ×<45% rating
Мем					New					Hev					
Lc49% rading.	2 × 49% · 69%	3 = 70% - 80%	4 = 81% - 90%	+%16+5	i «ASSK rating	2 = 49% - 69%	3=70% -80%	. s 61% - 90%	+ X10x + 0.	1 = 449% rating N	2 = 49H - 69H.	3 = 70% - 80%	4 + 81% - 90%	5 = 51% +	
15,007%	2	m	4	V	\$:00\$	Ä	m.	-	Å	\$.00%		m.	-	N.	
7 % of departmental mercuthment & selection document & selection documents agent of and submitted back to HR in 3 ders.					# of consequence 1 # of consequence 1					4 M.P. Proportion of directorias staff whose sooreasts are concluded within the prescribed agreed upon titheletames and which	are aligned pr				
Human Resource Empowering, motivating and enabling and enabling degraramental Harmonia of the environment, completing to a transfers and public structions and provisions provisions					Increased accountability impowering motivating through consequence and enabling management departmental is environment, complying confinentiation and public sector HRAM provisions provisions					Planning and Organising Improved planning of municipal programms.					
institutional Human Transformation and Development					throse throse manage					Plannin					

