

## PERFORMANCE AGREEMENT

# MADE AND ENTERED INTO BY AND BETWEEN:

### **SENQU MUNICIPALITY**

"(Hereinafter referred to as the employer)"
Represented by

The Municipal Manager Mr Thembinkosi Mawonga

and

Mr. Kennith Fourie FINANCIAL SERVICES DIRECTOR "(Hereinafter referred to as the employee)"

2025/2026

PERFORMANCE AGREEMENT: PART A

#### 1. INTRODUCTION

- 1.1 The **Employer** has entered into a contract of employment with the **Employee** in terms of section 57(1) (a) of the Local Government: Municipal Systems Act 32 of 2000 ("the Systems Act"). The **Employer** and the **Employee** are hereinafter referred to as "the Parties".
- 1.2 Section 57(1) (b) (ii) of the Systems Act, read with the Contract of Employment concluded between the parties, requires the parties to conclude an annual performance agreement within one (1) month after the beginning of each financial year of the Municipality.
- 1.3 The parties wish to ensure that they are clear about the goals to be achieved and secure the commitment of both the Employer the Employee to a set of outcomes that will secure Local Government policy goals.
- 1.4 Section 57(1) (a) (b), (4A), (4B), (4C) and (5) of the Systems Act; No 32 of 2000 and subsequent amendments (the Systems Act, No Act 7 of 2011), read with the Contract of Employment concluded between the parties, requires the parties to conclude an annual performance agreement within 60 days after the beginning of the financial year. The updated Performance review of the previous year will occur no later than July each year.
- 1.5 In its comprehensive version, this Performance Agreement is made up of five components, namely.
  - 1.5.1 PART A: The generic contextualizing agreement, which is not to be measured / assessed for performance but rather sets the legal and relationship context and stage for all other subsequent assessable sections / parts of this performance agreement.
  - 1.5.2 PART B (Approximate weighting = 80%): Employee's Core Contributory Performance Top Layer SDBIP-Based Scorecard Issues. It is the Performance Plan for which this executive employee alone leads and is accountable for achieving the specific and unique outcomes set out for his / her department across the whole municipality in the Top Layer SDBIP. Part B does not focus on outcomes that a generic for all executives performed within and for their departments. It is the first measurable Part, wherein measurable targets in all unique Strategic (from the TL SDBIP) and Functional (From Job Profiles) outcomes and objectives have been set. For brevity, these outcomes and objectives must come from all only those KPAs wherein the executive employee's work cannot be generalized with that of her / his other executive peers (in Part C below). The individual executive employee targets are based on the (i) Top Layer (Institutional) and (ii) Departmental /Directorate SDBIPs objectives and targets wherein the

- section 57 Manager plays a unique significant leadership contribution (iii) whatever strategic targets set in the Executive's Employment Contract Performance Clause.
- 1.5.3 PART C (approximate weighting = 0%): This is a crucial part of the executive employee's scorecard. It measures those aspects of work that all executives at this level are expected to perform on and achieve working as Heads for their departments. Since all executive directors do most of their work through other employees below them (subordinate / divisional managers). at this executive level, this Part C carries the greatest weighting of all the other scorecards in the Performance Agreement. It plans out and measures all departmental level strategic (in the departmental SDBIP) and generic / core managerial competence areas largely found in the functional list of the executive's COGTA Job Profile list of activities and outputs. Though most important, these core managerial competences (CMC and Critical Competence Requirements (CCRs), these are not unique. They are generic competences and performances that every section 57 executive employee must perform and be assessed on. These cover all 6 KPAs though mostly weighted heaviest on KPAs 3 (Financial Management & Viability); KPA 4: Institutional Transformation and Municipal Development) and KPA 5: Good Governance & Public Participation). These come from annually selected and prioritized Core Managerial Competences (CMCs) and Core Competency Requirements (CCRs). This selection process in this year has been streamlined to pick and use only those aspects which are already listed in the various Directors & MM's Job Profiles combined with those leadership roles implied in achieving each of the Top Layer SDBIP objectives and targets unique to the department that the executive leads.
- 1.5.4 PART D (0%): Antecedent Input Behaviours. These are strategically winning behaviours that define what an individual must do in order that they succeed in leading strategic performance in the municipality and in their department. These have been given a weighting of zero - meaning they will be understood to be applicable in future but are not measured this Financial Year as a way of not slowing down the overwhelming parts of change management. In the years when these will be measured, their weighting will be borrowed from Parts B and C above so that this Part D weighs a maximum of 15% and still retain the overall weighting of Parts B, C & D at 80. The logic of including Part D Scorecard in the Performance Agreement is that, any manager or any employee's performance is enhanced or reduced by certain behavioral habits / practices that they adopt and display, for example, how they manage time, how the make decisions, how they build, lead or work in teams to mention a few. To ensure that these winning antecedent behaviours are always at their most positive to impact performance, KPIs and Targets are set in this Part to measure the institutionally selected behaviours. The selection of the top five or so can be agreed at executive management level or assigned to the MM and her / his delegated director (like Corporate Services Director where Individual Performance resides).

- 1.5.5 PART E (20%): Competence based Individual Development or Learning Plan (CBIDP). These are commonly called Personal Development Plans (PDPs) or Individual Development Plans (IDPs). Different from previous practices in many organisations, in this Part E, these competence development areas will be planned and measured against (i) competence gaps in fulfilling current job requirements and performances (roughly 40% of this Part E) (ii) generic individual managerial or developmental competence / performance gaps (roughly 40% weighting of this Part E) (iii) life development goals (at 20% weighting at own cost but leave time allowed for examinations). Unlike in Senqu's previous practices on the PDPs, and unlike what many other municipalities where PDPs are just a "wish list", whose actual achievement does not impact the employee's performance, in this case these Competence-Based Individual Development Plans CBIDPs have set targets and will constitute 20% of the overall performance of that employee in line with the provisions of the Skills Dev Act.
- 1.5.6 This Performance Agreement cannot be interpreted as if it is replaceable by the Performance Clause in the Executive's 5 Year Contract of Employment as some do at times. This agreement is an annualized sub-set through whose cumulative performance measurement and tracking, whatever the executive would have committed to deliver in 5 years gets achieved.

#### 2. PURPOSE OF THIS AGREEMENT

The purpose of this Agreement, as agreed by both parties, is to, inter alia.

- comply with the provisions of Section 57(1)(b), (4A),(4B) and (5) of the Act as well as the employment contract entered into between the parties;
- 2.2 specify objectives and targets defined and agreed with the employee and to communicate to the employer's expectations of the employee's performance and accountabilities in alignment with the Integrated Development Plan, Service Delivery and Budget Implementation Plan (SDBIP) and the Budget of the Municipality.
- 2.3 specify accountabilities as set out in a performance plan, which forms an annexure to the performance agreement.
- 2.4 monitor and measure performance against set targeted outputs.
- 2.5 use the performance agreement as the basis for assessing whether the employee has met the performance expectations applicable to his or her job.

### Performance Agreement - Part A: Financial Services Director, 2025/26

- 2.6 in the event of outstanding performance, to appropriately reward the employee; and
- 2.7 give effect to the employer's commitment to a performance-orientated relationship with its employee in attaining equitable and improved service delivery.

#### 3 COMMENCEMENT AND DURATION

- 3.1 Notwithstanding the date of signature hereto, this Agreement will commence on the 1st July 2025 and will remain in force until 30th June 2026. Thereafter, a new Performance Agreement, made up of the Performance Plan (the four parts B, C, & D Scorecards) and Personal Development Plan (CBIDP) shall be concluded between the parties for the next financial year or any portion thereof along the lines explained above.
- 3.2 The parties will review all the provisions of this Performance Agreement against its own efficaciousness as well as against existing and / or new local government policy changes in June of each year as part of the Performance Management System Annual Review. The parties will conclude a new Performance Agreement and Performance Plan that replaces this Agreement at least once a year by not later than 31st July of each successive financial year.
- 3.3 This Agreement will terminate on the termination of the Employee's contract of employment for any reason.
- 3.4 The content of this Agreement may be revised at any time during the above-mentioned period to redetermine the applicability of the matters agreed upon.
- 3.5 If at any time during the validity of this Agreement, the work environment alters (whether as a result of Government or Council decisions or otherwise) to the extent that the contents of this Agreement are no longer appropriate, the contents shall immediately be revised.
- 3.6 All revisions and amendments of this Agreement must be immediately noted and immediately counter-signed by the two agreeing parties, namely, the Employer and the Employee.

- 4 PERFORMANCE OBJECTIVES, KEY PERFORMANCE INDICATORS (KPIs), BASELINES AND TARGETS
  - 4.1 Mutually aligned performance objectives, related KPIs, their KPI related baselines and targets form the basis and value chain of any strategic – performance management system of any organisation like the municipality.
  - 4.2 They are kept in proper alignment through a Performance Plan as described below.
  - 4.3 The full Performance Plan or Scorecard (Parts B, C, D & E) sets out-
    - 4.1.1 the performance objectives and targets that must be met by the **Employee**; and
    - 4.1.2 the time frames within which those performance objectives and targets must be met.
  - 4.4 The performance objectives and targets reflected in (Parts B, C and D) as well as the Part E: (Competence Based Individual Development Plan (CBIDP) are set by the Employer in consultative agreement (hence the term Performance Agreement) with the Employee and are all based on or clearly aligned to the approved Integrated Development Plan, Top Layer Service Delivery and Budget Implementation Plan (TL SDBIP) and the Budget of the Employer and shall include key strategic and functional objectives; key performance indicators; target dates, weightings to reflect urgency and prioritisation, resource requirements, Means of Evidence Verification (MOVs).
    - 4.2.1 The key objectives describe the main intended achievements that need to be accomplished as derived from the Institutional (Top Layer) and Departmental SDBIPs wherein the Director makes their contribution in the Municipality.
    - 4.2.2 The key performance indicators provide the details of the evidence that must be provided to show that a key objective has been achieved. Key here means that there are many indicators from where one can chose, so the choice must prioritise only the few that will move forward the strategic objectives the most.
    - 4.2.3 The baselines are very important and must be decided consistent with language and units implied in the performance indicator when measurement of the indicator starts at the beginning of the year.

- 4.2.4 The targets describe the quantity or quality of the performance achieved in that objective and key performance indicator. In most cases, because the timeframe for achieving such a target is understood to be quarterly, semi-annually or annually, timeframes are not mentioned beyond these terms or columns.
- 4.2.5 The **weightings in** % show the importance of the key performance indicators and their targets relative to each other. They are crucial in computing the weighted average ratings at the end of period (quarterly, semi-annually, or annually). It is prudent to always work with an internal total weighting of 100% for each Scorecard (be it Part B, C, D or E).
- 4.5 The Employee's performance will, always, be measured in terms of contributions to the goals and strategies set out in the Employer's Integrated Development Plan and other sub-ordinate plans and projects that support the achievement of this IDP.

#### 5 THE MUNICIPALITY'S PERFORMANCE MANAGEMENT SYSTEM

- 5.2 The Employee accepts that the purpose of the performance management system is to provide a comprehensive system with specific performance standards and targets to assist the Employer, Management and Municipal staff to perform to reach the standards required for each local government KPA.
- 5.3 The Employer will consult and support the Employee about all aspects required to achieve the specific performance standards that will be included in the performance management system as applicable to the Employee.
- The Employee undertakes to actively focus towards the promotion and implementation of all the KPAs, objectives and KPIs (including special projects relevant to the employee's responsibilities) within the Local Government framework.
- 5.5 The criteria upon which the performance of the Employee shall be assessed shall consist of two components, both of which shall be contained in the Performance Agreement.

- 5.5.1 The Employee must be assessed against both components, with a weighting of 80:20 allocated to the Key Performance Areas, Objectives and Targets set in PARTS B, C, & D (when Part D is being measured) and the Competence Based Individual Development Plans (CBIDP in lieu of the usual PDP) based on prioritized Learning & Development Requirements (CCRs) respectively.
- 5.5.2 Each area of assessment (as per the four Parts described above) will be weighted and will contribute a specific part to the total score accordingly.
- 5.5.3 KPAs covering the main areas of work (PARTS B, C & D) will account for 80% and selected Developmental CRs (in the PART E CBIDP) will account for 20% of the final assessment.
- 5.5.4 The total score must be determined using the rating calculator which will always be appropriately calibrated to accommodate all the three or four measurable scorecards and the weighting given to each KPI and target within each KPA as found in the four measurable scorecards, namely, Parts B, C, D and E. (This year Part D has been left out for introduction next year).
- 5.6 The Employee's assessment will be based on his / her performance in terms of the outputs / outcomes (measured through the performance indicators and their related targets) identified as per attached Performance Plan (PARTS B, C & D), which are collectively and distributively linked to all the 6 KPA's, and will constitute 80% of the overall assessment result as per the weightings agreed to between the Employer and Employee. The weightings are set based, firstly, on this director's key job profiles which specify key activities / roles assigned to the incumbent as the director (HOD) of the department in their pursuit of each their own core KPAs, notwithstanding that this director, like all others must play supportive roles in the work done in other KPAs (signified by varying weighting each year).
- 5.7 The weightings shown below, while changeable to align with both the prevailing strategic and functional thrusts that this director must pursue, they must align with the average weighting per KPA assigned to each of the 80% Scorecards (Parts B and C combined). The Table below shows the weightings agreed for this current year for this director.

Key Performance Areas (KPA's)	Weighting
Basic Service Delivery	15%
Local Economic Development	2%
Municipal Financial Viability and Management	50%

Key Performance Areas (KPA's)	Weighting
Institutional Transformation and Organisational Development	21%
Good Governance and Public Participation	12%
Total	100%

- In the case of managers / directors directly accountable to the Municipal Manager, the weighting of the key performance areas related to the strategic and functional area of the relevant Manager, will always be subject to negotiation between the Municipal Manager and the relevant manager / director. These agreed weightings must be translated to the top of each KPA in the actual Plan templates (PARTS B, C & D).
- The developmental Competency Requirements (CR) (learning requirements in the CBIDP) will make up part of the other 20% of the Employee's assessment score. CRs that are deemed to be most critical for the Employee's specific job should be selected (√) from the list below as agreed to between the Employer and Employee. Three of the CRs are compulsory for Municipal Managers. These Leading CRs make PART C Generic / Core Managerial Competences (which are common to every Director / Senior Manager as agreed. A selection of Optional Core Competencies is selected each year and will form part of the Antecedent Input Behaviours (as explained earlier).
- In this year's Performance Agreement, all of the critical and desired competency requirements have mostly been taken care of through Part C built largely on the directors' Job Profiles, which, coming from COGTA have already selected which of the CRs are important. So the table below is only a guide not a prescription for the KPIs and targets set out in Part C.

executives and managers at the same level!		
LEADING COMPETENCIES	\(  \)	WEIGHT
Strategic Direction and Leadership	1	10%
People Management	√ √	5%
Program and Project Management	\	10%
Financial Management	\ \sqrt{}	10%
Change Leadership	V	5%
Governance Leadership	<b>V</b>	10%
CORE COMPETENCIES		
Moral Competence	1	5%
Planning and Organising	1	10%

CORE COMPETENCY REQUIREMENTS (CCRs) FOR EMPLOYEES – Same Weighting for executives and managers at the same level!		
LEADING COMPETENCIES	T V	WEIGHT
Analysis and Innovation	V	5%
Knowledge and Information Management	1	15%
Communication	7	10%
Results and Quality Focus	1	5%
Total percentage	-	100%

#### 6. EVALUATING PERFORMANCE

- 6.1 The Performance Plan Scorecards (Parts B, C and D) to this Agreement each set out -
  - 6.1.1 the standards and procedures for evaluating the Employee's performance; and
  - 6.1.2 the intervals for the evaluation of the Employee's performance.
- 6.2 Despite the establishment of agreed intervals for evaluation, the Employer may in addition, review the Employee's performance at any stage while the contract of employment remains in force, subject to sufficient notice and reasons for justifying the variation being given to the employee.
- 6.3 Personal growth and development needs identified during any performance review discussion must be documented in a Competence-Based Individual Development Personal Development Plan as well as the actions agreed to and implementation must take place within set time frames.
- 6.4 The Employee's performance will be measured in terms of contributions to the goals and strategies set out in the Employer's IDP and subordinate TL SDBIP and Departmental SDBIP and operational plans.
- 6.5 The annual performance appraisal will involve:

#### 6.5.1 Assessment of the achievement of results as outlined in the performance plan:

(a) Each KPA should be assessed according to the extent to which the specified standards or performance targets set for each key performance indicator (KPI) have been met and with due regard to ad hoc tasks that had to be performed under the KPA.

### Performance Agreement - Part A: Financial Services Director, 2025/26

- (b) An indicative rating on the five-point scale should be provided for each target first and then aggregated for each KPA.
- (c) The applicable assessment rating calculator (refer to paragraph 6.5.3 below) must then be used to add the scores and calculate a final weighted average rating for each KPA score.

#### 6.5.2 Assessment of the Competency Requirements

- (a) Each Competency Requirement should be assessed according to the extent to which the specified standards (based on the standard being treated as a KPI and calibrated targets set, agreed and subsequently met).
- (b) An indicative rating on the five-point scale should be provided for each selected CR based on set KPIs and related targets.
- (c) This rating should be multiplied by the weighting given to each selected CR during the contracting process, to provide a score.
- (d) The applicable assessment rating calculator (refer to paragraph 6.5.1) must then be used to add the scores and calculate a final CR score.

#### 6.5.3 Overall rating

An overall rating is calculated by using the applicable assessment-rating calculator. Such overall rating represents the outcome of the performance appraisal.

- The calibration of all set targets in each section / PART of the Performance agreement as well as the subsequent assessment of the performance attained by the Employee for each target will be based on the following rating scale for KPA's and the selected Competence Requirements (CRs) as reflected Part C taking from the Directors' Job Profiles which in turn have drawn them from the following CRs.
- 6.7 Below is the local government municipal regulations rating scale that each municipality has adopted.

Level	Terminology	Description		Rating					
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Description	1	2	3	4	5		
5	Outstanding performance	Performance far exceeds the standard expected of an employee at this level. The appraisal indicates that the Employee has achieved above fully effective results against all performance criteria and indicators as specified in the PA and Performance plan and maintained this in all areas of responsibility throughout the year.							
4	Performance significantly above expectations	Performance is significantly higher than the standard expected in the job. The appraisal indicates that the Employee has achieved above fully effective results against more than half of the performance criteria and indicators and fully achieved all others throughout the year.							
3	Fully effective	Performance fully meets the standards expected in all areas of the job. The appraisal indicates that the Employee has fully achieved effective results against all significant performance criteria and indicators as specified in the PA and Performance Plan.		- Angelina de					
2	Not fully effective	Performance is below the standard required for the job in key areas. Performance meets some of the standards expected for the job. The review/assessment indicates that the employee has achieved below fully effective results against more than half the key performance criteria and indicators as specified in the PA and Performance Plan.							

Level	Terminology	Description	Rating
	, constant of the second of th	Description .	1 2 3 4 5
		Performance does not meet the standard expected	
		for the job. The review/assessment indicates that	
		the employee has achieved below fully effective	
	-	results against almost all of the performance criteria	
	Unacceptable performance	and indicators as specified in the PA and	
1		Performance Plan. The employee has failed to	
ļ		demonstrate the commitment or ability to bring	
		performance up to the level expected in the job	
		despite management efforts to encourage	
		improvement.	

- 6.7 For purposes of evaluating the annual performance of the Municipal Manager, an evaluation panel constituted of the following persons must be established moderate the ratings first agreed between the Employee i.e. the MM and their supervisor (Executive Mayor)-
  - 6.7.1 Executive Mayor or Mayor.
  - 6.7.2 Chairperson of the performance audit Committee or the Audit Committee in the absence of a performance audit committee.
  - 6.7.3 Member of the Mayoral or Executive Committee or in respect of a plenary type of Municipality, another member of Council.
  - 6.7.4 Mayor and/or Municipal Manager from another Municipality; and
  - 6.7.5 Member of a Ward Committee as nominated by the Executive Mayor or Mayor.
- 6.8 For purposes of evaluating the annual performance of Managers directly accountable to the Municipal Managers, an evaluation panel constituted of the following persons must be established;
  - 6.8.1 The Municipal Manager.
  - 6.8.2 Chairperson of the performance Audit Committee or the Audit Committee in the absence of a performance Audit Committee.
  - 6.8.3 Member of the Mayoral or Executive Committee or in respect of a plenary type of Municipality, another member of Council; and
  - 6.8.4 another Municipal Manager from another Municipality.
- 6.9 The Manager responsible for Human Resources of the Municipality must provide secretariat services to the evaluation panels referred to in sub-regulations (6.7) and (6.8).

#### 7. SCHEDULE FOR PERFORMANCE REVIEWS

- 7.1 Before the on-set of the Performance Management Cycle, all targets in PARTS B, C, and D must be calibrated against the rating scale of 1 5 in order to minimize common subjectivity that occurs when assessments are done during appraisal time.
- 7.2 The performance of each **Employee** in relation to his / her performance agreement shall be reviewed on the following dates with the understanding that reviews in the first and third quarter may be verbal if performance is satisfactory:

First quarter:	July – September	Period Review Report Concluded on
Second quarter	: October – December	Period Review Report Concluded on
Third quarter: Ja	nuary – March P	Period Review Report Concluded on
Fourth quarter: .	April – June F	Period Review Report Concluded on

- 7.2 During these review sessions, targets are scored or rated, the targets may also be renegotiated and adjusted in re-alignment to the available budgets and other prevailing environmental conditions as necessary. The Employer shall keep a record of the mid-year review and annual assessment meetings and scores for cumulative averaging at the end of each year as provided by the policy.
- 7.3 Performance feedback shall be based on the Employer's assessment of the Employee's performance done in mutual agreement with the employee.
- 7.4 The Employer will be entitled to review and make reasonable changes to the provisions of all PARTS "B, C & D & E" from time to time for operational reasons. The Employee will be fully consulted before any such change is made.
- 7.5 The Employer may amend the provisions of PARTS B, C, and D & E whenever the performance management system is adopted, implemented and / or amended as the case may be. In that case the Employee will be fully consulted and ideally be in agreement before any such change is made. Both parties will sign-off any amendments made as such agreements are legally binding on both parties.

#### 8. DEVELOPMENTAL REQUIREMENTS

The Competence-Based Individual Development Plan (CBIDP) in lieu of the normal Personal Development Plan (PDP) for addressing developmental gaps is attached as Part E as annexed.

#### 9. OBLIGATIONS OF THE EMPLOYER

- 9.1 The Employer shall -
  - 9.1.1 create an enabling environment to facilitate effective performance by the employee.
  - 9.1.2 provide access to skills development and capacity building opportunities.
  - 9.1.3 work collaboratively with the Employee to solve problems and generate solutions to common problems that may impact on the performance of the Employee.
  - 9.1.4 on the request of the Employee delegate such powers reasonably required by the Employee to enable him / her to meet the performance objectives and targets established in terms of this Agreement; and
  - 9.1.5 make available to the Employee such resources as the Employee may reasonably require from time to time to assist him / her to meet the performance objectives and targets established in terms of this Agreement.

### 10. CONSULTATION

- 10.1 The Employer agrees to consult the Employee timeously where the exercising of the Employer and / or Employee's delegated powers will have amongst others, a direct effect on the performance of any of the Employee's key functions, in particular;
  - 10.1.1 at the initial agreement of this document called the Performance Agreement (Parts A, B, C, D and E), it is envisaged that consultative discussions will be held and that the final signed off agreement will be signed for each part to signify that there was discussion and consensus for each part of this agreement. It is envisaged that the employee will prepare and present to the Employer a draft Performance Agreement (in all its agreed Parts showing calibrated targets for each scorecard) for discussion at a timely agreed date and time. Once agreed, dated and signed by both parties, the Performance Agreement is deemed to be legally binding to both parties, such that no party shall vary any part of it without consultation and agreement with the other Party.
  - 10.1.2 at every performance appraisal / review session (quarterly in line with the municipal performance regulations, unless agreed otherwise within the municipality's Performance Management policy),

the Employee shall present their own self rating scores and reasons for discussion and comparing notes with the Employer (who will also have already scored the employee independently prior to the meeting). In this meeting notes are being compared, agreements on performance levels to be maintained, or improved agreed with clear additional support for the employee being agreed. Such support will include necessary coaching and mentoring, training and development arrangement. It is at these formal review / appraisal sessions that any targets may be adjusted, in writing and all changes co-signed against this initial agreement by both parties indicating reasons for such a change.

- 10.1.3 continuously commit the Employee to implement or to give effect to a decision made by the Employer that affect and are affected by this agreement;
- 10.1.4 continuously commit the Employer to render all necessary support (resources, emotional, intellectual and physical) as may be reasonably needed by the employee to optimally perform what has been agreed in this Performance Agreement; and
- 10.1.5 that has a substantial financial effect on the Employer.
- 10.2 The Employer agrees to inform the Employee of any outcome of any decisions taken outside the consultation with the employee, with regards to the implementation of this Performance Agreement pursuant to the exercise of powers contemplated in 10.1 above as soon as is practicable, as part of the Employer's coaching roles in order to enable the Employee to take any necessary action without delay. It is envisaged that such decisions will be rare and mostly coming from outside the municipal administration sphere like council, COGTA, National Treasury, SALGA etc.

#### 11. MANAGEMENT OF EVALUATION OUTCOMES

- 11.1 The final evaluation of the Employee's performance will be conclusively pronounced by the selected panel as envisaged in section 27 (d) of the Performance Regulation, also recapped in sub-sections 6.7 and 6.8 in this document above. The panel will perform a moderating role on all signed performance appraisal reports of each review session held and agreed between the Employer and Employee in the course of the year. For administrative fairness, both the Employer and the Employee should always be present or at least represented to clarify any issues that the panel may wish to have clarified.
- 11.2 Based on the final scores / ratings presented to and confirmed by the panel, a performance bonus of between 5% to 14% of the total remuneration package may be paid to the Employee (subject to the policy and the resources available to the municipality as stated in the Systems Act) in recognition

of outstanding performance that the municipality wishes to sustain and also in line with the provisions of the municipal performance management regulations. Such reward will be constituted as follows:

- 11.2.1 a rating of 4 out of the 5 point rating scale is given a score of between 130% to 149% is awarded a performance bonus ranging from 5% to 9%; and
- 11.2.2 a rating of 5 out of the 5 point rating scale is given a score of between 150% and above is awarded a performance bonus ranging from 10% to 14%.
- **N.B.:** It should be noted that the rating process (against the 5 point scale) must always happen first before the use of the percentage equivalents ranging from 70% 166%+ because not all targets can be calibrated up to 166%+, so it is better to rate first on the numbers and use the % as qualifiers as shown below.
- 11.3 In the case of unacceptable performance, the Employer shall -
  - 11.3.1 On confirming performance shortfalls, immediately provide systematic remedial or developmental support to assist the Employee to improve his or her performance; and
  - 11.3.2 After appropriate performance counselling and having provided the necessary guidance and/ or support as well as reasonable time for improvement in performance, the Employer may consider steps to terminate the contract of employment of the Employee on grounds of unfitness or incapacity to carry out his or her duties.

#### 12.0 MANAGEMENT OF EVALUATION OUTCOMES

12.1 The evaluation of the Employee's performance shall form the basis for rewarding outstanding and excellent performance only (ratings 4 and 5) or correcting unacceptable performance (Ratings 2 and below) as reflected in the table below —

Rating (out of the 5 point scale)	% Score (for those instances where performance is meaningfully stretchable beyond 100%)	Equivalent on a Usual 100%  Maximum (where performance can never be meaningfully calibrated beyond 100%)	% of annual package payable as a performance Bonus
4.00 - 4.19	130.0% - 133.8%	(65.0% - 66.9%)	5%
4.20 - 4.39	134.0% – 137.8%	(67.0% - 68.9%)	6%

4.40 – 4.59	138.0% - 141.8%	(69.0% - 70.9%)	7%
4.60 – 4.79	142.0% - 145.8%	(71.0% - 72.9%)	8%
4.80 – 4.99	146.0% - 149.8%	(73.0% - 74.9%)	9%
5.00 - 5.19	150.0% - 152.8%	(75.0% - 76.4%)	10%
5.20 - 5.39	153.0% - 155.8%	(76.5% - 77.9%)	11%
5.40 - 5.59	156.0% - 158.8%	(78.0% - 79.4%)	12%
5.60 - 5.79	159.0% - 161.8%	(79.5% - 80.9%)	13%
5.80 - 5.99	162% - 164.8% +	(81.0% - 82.4%) +	14%

- 12.1.1 At the end of the 4th quarter, the Executive Authority will determine, based on the final panel ratings based on the rating / scoring table above, if the s56 / s57 Director / manager is eligible for a performance bonus as envisaged in his/her contract of employment based on the bonus allocations shown in the table above.
- 12.2 In the case of unacceptable performance, the Employer shall
  - 12.2.1 Provide systematic remedial or developmental support to assist with **Employee** to improve his or her performance; and
  - 12.2.2 After appropriate performance counseling and having provided the necessary guidance and/or support as well as reasonable time for improvement in performance, the Employer may consider steps to firstly shift the employee to another position within the municipality (if possible). If that does not help, then and only then will the Employer invoke procedures to terminate the contract of employment of the Employee on grounds of unfitness or incapacity to competently perform out his or her duties.
  - 12.2.3 Nothing contained in this Agreement in any way limits the right of the Municipality to terminate the Director's contract of employment with or without notice for any other breach by the Director of his obligations to the Municipality or for any other valid reason in line with fair labour practice and law.

#### 13 MERITS AWARDS

13.1.1 Merit awards for Section 56 employees are determined by performance against targets. Once performance criteria have been established, performance targets are reviewed regularly. At the end of the financial year, actual performance is compared against the agreed performance targets to determine the magnitude of the merit increase. The merit increase is calculated as a percentage of the total annual package of the employee, as indicated in the table hereunder.

Score / 200	Merit
130 to 141 (65%-70%)	1% of total package
142 to 149 (71% - 74%)	2% of total package
150 to 161 (75%-80%)	3% of total package

162 to 165 (81 – 82%)	4% of total package
166+ (83% +)	5% of total package

13.1.2 Merit awards are subject to policy and Budgetary provisions made on an annual basis the merit bonuses may be paid as a 'once off' payment or at agreed quarterly intervals i.e. over a number of months.

#### 14 DISPUTE RESOLUTION

- 14.1 Any disputes about the nature of the Municipal Manager's performance agreement, whether it relates to key responsibilities, priorities, methods of assessment and/ or any other matter provided for, shall be mediated by —
  - 14.1.1 The MEC for Local Government in the province within thirty (30) days of receipt of a formal dispute from the Employee; or
  - 14.1.2 Any other person appointed by the MEC.
  - 14.1.3 In the case of Managers directly accountable to the Municipal Manager, a member of the Municipal Council, provided that such member was not part of the evaluation panel provided for in sub-regulation 27(4)(e) of the Municipal Performance Regulations, 2006, within thirty (30) days of receipt of a formal dispute from the employee.
- 14.2.1 In the event that the mediation process contemplated above fails, clause 20.3 of the Contract of Employment shall apply.

#### 15. GENERAL

- 15.1 The contents of this agreement and the outcome of any review conducted in terms of Annexure A may be made available to the public by the Employer.
- 15.2 Nothing in this agreement diminishes the obligations, duties, or accountabilities of the Employee in terms of his/ her contract of employment, or the effects of existing or new regulations, circulars, policies, directives or other instruments.
- 15.3 The performance assessment results of the Municipal Manager must be submitted to the MEC responsible for Local Government in the relevant province as well as the National Minister responsible for Local Government, within fourteen (14) days after the conclusion of the assessment.

Performance Agreement – Part A: Financial Services Director, 2025/26

16.	J ,	that the Employer and the Employee have discussed and agree on every detail
	contained and implied in this	Part A of the Performance Agreement.
Thus	s done and signed at	on this the day of 2025.
AS V	WITNESSES:	
1		<u>K Fourie</u>
		EMPLOYEE (CFO)
2	1990a I	
AS V	WITNESSES:	
1		
		MUNICIPAL MANAGER

				Bail: Service Delivery	OUTCOMES	LIOS MITTELE  LIOS MANAGER  TECHNICAL COMPETENCIES  ORGANISATIONAL	INDIVIDUA
service Delivery	Service Delivery	Service Delivery	Service Delivery	Service Delivery	FY25/26 ANNUAL FOCUS AREA	MUNICIPAL MANAGER COMPETE	L PERFORN
Improved Service Delivery	Support of Poor / indigent Household	Support of Poor/ indigent Household	High Municipal Service Delivery Excellence Culture			NCIES	MANCE SCOR
S Reduce the stand down service of all services per force of all services per incident (Steadbown). Fault warning and Accidents)	a % of verified brought dis who sam? I state old age pendion per month who actually receive due financial support for blast: support for blast: Report) verified through CAAT automater out verification system to everification system to every indigent register.	3 % of verified households with access to free basis services for elertrical ( Senqu LM Distribution Area)	277 % STO matter plans approved on inter by 30 June (1) Revenue Enhancement Strategy Implementation Plan, (2) Strategy Implementation Plan, (2) Strategy Implementation Plan, (3) Strategy Implementation Plan and (3) (2) Strategy Implementation Plan in respect to the plan in the strategy Implementation Plan in respect		INDIVIDUAL OI/KPI No INDIVIDUAL KPI	Market No.	INDIVIDUAL PERFORMANCE SCORECARD: FY25/26
Savide 200%. Stavide to per dations. Sand	2.00% be own 2 2.00%	d 2.00% vith access services for nqu LM Area)	time by 30 Office manus manus strategy strategy strategy strategy compan, (2) surement frommutation of Strategy of Strategy of Strategy of Strategy	3.00%	UAL KPI WEIGHTING		
77% of Fault warning. Breakdowns and Accidents most be reported within 24 hours of occurance.	1 = < 42%2 = 43% - 59%3 = 80% - 7794 = 78% - 89%5 = 80% - 7794 = 78% - 89%5 = 80% - 7794 = 78% - 89%5 = 80% - 7794 = 78% - 89%5 = 80% - 7794 = 78% - 89%5 = 80% - 7794 = 78% - 78% - 78% - 78% - 80%5 = 80% - 7794 = 78% - 78%	1 = < 42%2 = 43% - 59%3 = 60% - 77%4 = 78% - 89%5 = 90%+	4 Master Plans Developed and Approved	d Stranglist developed	ANNUAL TARGET	The same of the same of	
1 - 42%	1.Verified Indigent Households 2024 2025 PV 2.3.4.5.	1.Households Receiveing FBS services 2024 _2025 F72.3.4.5.	1 = 42%2 = 42% - 59%3 = 60% - 77%4 = 78% - 89%5 = 90% - 77%4 = 78% - 89%5 =	90%- 784 = 43%- 55%3 = 60% - 7764 = 78%- 55%5 =	INDIVIDUAL PERFORMANCE PLAN TARGET STANDARDS		THE PROPERTY OF THE
WARTS	) 1 = < 47962 = 4396 - 59963 = 6076 - 77964 = 7896 - 89965 = 90764-	1 = < 42%2 = 43% - 59%3 = 60% - 77%4 = 78% - 89%5 = 90%+				PROMICE/CUSTER/UNIT: FIVANCIAL YEAR:	BEBSONAL CODE.
Proof of submission to Technical Services (Roads and Scomwater Managariby the Departmental Cu-ordinator signed off by the Director (Email)	Reports on poor / indigent households funded	Basic Service Delivery Financing Reports	CFO Sign Off on Plans	Enail with attachments of strategies sent to MM for approval.	MEANS OF EVIDENCE VERIFICATION	Weighting: 80.00%	locase

Printed On Monday, August 4, 2025			Francis Vublify and Management	Local Economic Development	
gust 4, 2025	Supply Management (Memberston)	Budget & Monitoring	Budget & Monkoring	LED Strategy implementation	Service Delivery
	operational efficiency	Budget Expending	Budget Expending	job a exión	(improved Service Delivery
	20 Stoft Whithin Choising Johns 2	9 Compt 2026 W 78	2) Comple Annua 2006/ 2026	Thought though the Economic teconomic tec	6 Respo
	5 Ker Bids adjustanced 2,00% within 90 days after Unbids of the Ma adventisement by 30 June 2026	Oconspiration of the 2023- 2009s 2025 Adjustment budges by 28 February 2026	8(camplation of the 200% Annual budger for 2006/2017 by 31 Mer 2006	Nounber of Jobs created 200%. Whomeh of Jobs created 200% through the Local Economic Development (LED) relatives including capital projects by 400 tune 2006 by senuring 2 % of the OPEX Budget 5 withbused to LED Related Activities	6 Respond to department specific complaints within 48 hours of its occurrance.
Powered By Orner)	90% Buds Adjudicated within 90 days after closing date of the bud	2025/2016 Adjustment budget submitted to Council for approval by 26 February 2026	20.6/2027 Budget submitted to Council for approval by	2% of OPEX Budget Attributed to LEO net univers	77% of feedback on the escalated departmental related matters responded to in 48 hours
Grnecy	sing date of the Black A. bud				73 1 • 42%
	90% 90% Adjudicated within 90 days after closing date of the bod	2073/2028 Adjustment budget submitted to Council for approval by 28 February 2026	2006/2007 Budget submitted to Council for approval by 31 May 2026	Budgering (or LED invitables by proritiong 2% of OPEX Budger for LED Activities and related Projects	224
	(Q4) - Note a tanget in Q4. (Q1) - Note a tanget in Q4. (Q1) - SON Adjusticated within 90 days after closing date of the bid  for the bid (Q1) - SON Adjusticated within 90 days after closing date of the bid (Q1) - SON Adjusticated within 90 days after closing date of the bid (Q1) - SON Adjusticated within 90 days after closing date of the bid	(CA) - 1. Draft Annual Budger submitted for Council approval.  (C1) - Not a Larget  (C2) - Not a target  (C3) - Autrantin of the budget.	by (CQ1) - Not a Larged (CQ1) - Not a Larged (CQ3) - The Council Counc	X (Q1) - Not a Target (Q2) - Not a Target (Q3) - Not a Target (Q3) - Not a Target (Q4) - 2% LED Related Projects of DPEX BUDGET Approved	OT-M/W
2	Size 4 Clustrary Reports on Proof of Size of Clustrary Reports on Proof of Size of the Siz	L Council Resolution Considering the Adjusted budget	I. Council Resolution Convidering the Dreft and final budger	1. Councel Resolutions Considering the Death and Shau budges with 3 % of OPEX allocated to LED resided Projects	Proof of submission to Customer Cure of feedback on departmental related marters as received from Customer Cure (viva emiss).

	AT LITERATE MARKET NAPOR							
	(04)							
Standing Committee.						***		
implementation of the Institutional Procurement Plan to the	(O2) - O2 Implementation Report (O3) -				June 2026			
signed off  by the Director Financial Sprvices on the	Q1 Implementation Report		PORTAL PROCUESTED PRO		Processor Plan by	implementation of services and troducts		
4 Reports Quarterly Reports		4 Quarterly Reports submitted	4 Quarterly Reports on the implementation of the	2,00%	18 Report on the % of the	Cost-effective procurement and	(Administration)	
	(Q4). Q4 Involementation Report							
Standing Committee.		***************************************						
implementation of the institutional Procurement Plan	(Q2)- Q2 Implementation Report (Q3) .				June 2025	and the same of the same of		
signed off by the Director Financial Services on the	plementation Report		institutional procurement plan		Implementation of the institutional	implementation of	(Administration)	
& Reports Classifeth & charts	end of the month	4 Quarterly Reports submitted	4 Querterly Reports on the Implementation of the	2.00%	17 Report on the % of the	Cost-effective	Supply Management	
	(04) - 3 Section 71 Reports, Submission to the Mayor and National Treasury within 10 working days after the							
	(03) - 3 Section 71 Reports. Submission to the Mayor and National Treasury within 10 working days after the end of the month							
					end of each month.			
Proof of submissions to the Mayor and Provincial and National Transcribe	(C2) - 3 Section 71 Reports, Submission to the Mayor				working days after the	•••••		
12 Section 71 Reports	(Q1) - 3 Section 71 Reports. Submission to the Mayor and National Treasury within 10 working days after the end of the month	12. Section 71 (1) developed and submitted to the Mayot, Provincial & National Treasury within 10 working days after the end of each month.	12 Section 71 (1) developed and submitted to the Mayor, Fromitish 8, National Treasury within 10 working days after the end of each month.	2.00%	b) Number of Sections 7] (1) reports developed, Submitted to the Mayor, Provincial & National	enedwe vebourms	Reporting	
	(Q4) - 1 Yearly Report on the Asset Count Conducted submitted to the Chief Financial Officer							
	(Q3) - Not a Target					•		
	(Q2) - Not a Target					******		
Summary of the Tixed asset count signed by the Chief Financial Officer.	Sallies o vans. (Try)	A PRINCIPAL PRIN			Asset Count by 30 June 2026	municipality		
Annual Report on Financial Ratios 2024/25 (unaudited) submitted with the S46 Report			1 Appropriate Count Darks man	7.00%	15 Perform the Aponal	Financially sustainable	Asset Management	
2.1				***************************************				
	(Q4) - Not a Target			. • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		-	•••	
	(Q3) - 1 Annual Report on Financial Ratios 2024/25 (Audited).						************	
	(Q2) - Not a Target				AZAZ BIWLOC ÁO	*********		
1 Annual Report on Financial Ratios 2024/25 submitted on FMCMM Module.		A survey separt on Experies viability as expressed by the ratios in the gazette.	the ratios in the gazette.	# 2	the ratios can integrated by			
	implemented by June 30, 2026	1	1 Appendix of the second secon	2000	14 Ranna An Singaporal	Pinancially costainable	Financial Management	1
	(Q3) - Not a Target			8	service delivery by 30 June 2026			
	(CIZ) - Not a Target		***************************************		Valuation (2025-2026) for effective municipal			
Certification of the Valuation Roll signed by the Municipal Manager.	(Q1) - Noc a Target	100% of the Supplementary General Valuation roll Completed by June 30, 2026	100% of the Supplementary General Valuation roll completed by June 30, 2026	100%	13% of Completion of the implementation of the Supplimentary General	increased revenue collection	Revenue generation	
	(C <sub>M</sub> )- 90% Correct billing of consumers							
	(Q3) - 90% Correct billing of contumers							
	(Q2) - 90% Correct billing of consumers						rendered	
A wasterly reports approved by the Loter Fillandial Officer (CFO)	90% Correct billing of consumers		í		consumers by 30 June 2026	3	the municipal revenue base by providing accurate bills for services	
d Orange Language Lan	2026.	90% Correct billing of consumers	90% Correct billing of consumers	2.00%	12 % Correct billing of	t Accurate Billing	To expand and protec	1
	(Q4) - 80% of service charges revenue collected by 30 June							
	(Q3)- Not a Target						***************************************	
	(QZ) - Not a Target					-		
4 Quarterly Reports Approved by the Chief Financial Officer (CFO)	(Q1) - Not a Target	80% of service charges revenue collected by 30 June	80% of service charges revenue collected by 30 June 3006	30 2.00%	11 % of service charges revenue collected by 30 june 2026.	collection	Hevenue generation	
				•	-			

Wonkering and Evaluation, Financial Reporting	Supery Management (Administration)	Monitoring and Evaluation Financial Reporting	Mositoring and Evaluation, Financial Reporting	MIG Expenditure	Supply Management (Administration)	Supply Management (Administration)
Con-effective Drocument and implementation of services and products	Code-effective procure and an application of services and products.	Effective Reporting	Effective Reporting	Cost-effective procurement and implementation of services and products	Cost-effective procurement and Implementation of services and products	Cost-effective procurement and supplementation of services and products
25 C	₩ 4 2 >	23 W	22.89	21 % 0 & & %	20 S * 3	19. A
25 Complete evaluation of performance of service provides per involce.	Agenove departmental specifications		ting all finance onditions	T THE ST	to	19 Availability of Approved 2.00% Sourcing/Procurement strategy
300%	3.00%	2.00%	3,000%	1.00%	2.00%	2.00%
Evaluate 77% of performance all service providers ser invoice by June 2018 (invalica above 1/57 (00))	Approve 77% of departmental specifications as per the procurement blan.	1 = < 42%2 = 43% - 59%3 = 50% - 77%4 = 78% - 89%5 n 50%-	1 = < 42%2 = 43% - 59%3 = 60% - 77%4 = 78% - 89%5 = 90%+	MIG Projects Adjudicated	1 = <7%2 = 7% - 9,9%3 = 10% - 12,9%4= 13% - 14,9% 5 = 25%	1 = < 42%2 = 43% - 59%3 = 60% - 77%4 = 76% - 89%5 = 90%-
1.0	1= -42%	1.New2.3.4.5.	Lifinance Grant Funding spent 2024_2025FY2.3.4.5.	1.MIG Projects Awarded 2024_2025 Ft2 3.4.5.	1.Procurement Plan 2024_2025 FY Collapsed Projects 2.3.4.5.	1.Procurment Plan 2024_2025 FY2 3.4.5.
G1AV/A	Q2.4//A	1 = < 42%2 = 43% - 59%3 = 60% - 77%4 = 78% - 89%5 = 90%+	1 = < 42%2 = 43% - 59%3 = 60% - 77%4 = 78% - 89%5 = 90%+	01 - 1 = < 47%2 = 47% - 59%3 = 60% - 77%4 = 76% - 89%5 = 90%402 - 1 = < 47%2 = 47% - 59%3 = 60% - 80% - 80% - 80% - 80% - 80% - 80% - 80% - 80% - 80% - 80% - 80% - 80% - 80% - 1 = < 47%2 = 47% - 47% - 47% - 87% - 89%5 = 80% - 1 = < 47%2 = 47% - 89%5 = 80% - 17%4 = 78% - 89%5 = 80%	1 = < 7%2 = 7% - 9.9%3 = 10% - 12.9%4= 13% - 14.9% 5 = 15%	1 = < 42%2 = 43% - 59%3 = 60% - 77%4 = 78% - 89%5 = SCM Project Management Reports 50%+
3 Signed off evaluation forms  2. Proof of submission to Finance (register).	1. Signed off spices 2. Proof of Approval to Manager (email).	Reports signed off by CFO	Section 71 Report Noted	MIG Projects Adjude and	SCM Project Management Reports	SCM Project Management Reports

	Budger Expenditure Deckstons	Deviations	Budge Francisco	Supply Hanagement (Administration)
`	Dudget Spending	J. Deposition of the control of the	R Maria di Caranda di	Cost-effective procurement and implementation of services and products
Department Department	2.28 Expensions of the 2023- 3.00%	2006 Operational Department of Thance Department or Finance Department		25 Jazhere to Bid Committee meetings schedule
	77% Expenditure of the 2025-2026 Capital Budget by 30 line 2026	by 30 tune 2025		Attend 77% Bid Committee meetings reflected in the approved schedule.
	D 1 a d2%	T 442%		1= <42%
02-H/A	02-W/A	01-M/A	02-H/A	GLW/A
TELECTINE DESIRETATION OF SPECIAL SPEC	Capamedium or report of the 2023-2026 Capital Budger.	Expanditure report of the 2015-2026 Operational dought refrecing departmental spending for Xenn general accil.		Artendance registers for each meeting attended

IT Resolution Register signed off by the ICT Steering Committee Chair	1 = < 42%2 = 43% - 59%3 = 60% - 77%4 = 78% - 89%5 = 90%+	1.ICI Steering Committee Resolution Register 2024_2025 FY2.3.4.5.	90%+ 1 = < 47% = 43% - 59% = 60% - 77% = 78% - 85% = 1	1000	Committee Resolutions	municipal if operating	ICT Security Audit municipal if operating	
ICT Report Submitted by ICT Steercom	1 = < 4,7%2 = 4.3% - 5.9%3 = 6.0% - 7,7%4 = 78% - 8.9%5 = 9.0%+	L.KT Systems Downtime Reports 2024_20252.3.4.5.	90%+ 90%+	1.00%	34 % of system downtime resolved within the stipulated time in any given quarter	A safer / more secure municipal IT operating environment	CT implementation and A safer / more secure ICT Security Audit municipal IT operating anvironment	
ICT Reports approved by ICT Steering Committee	1 = < 4290; = 4376 5993; = 6696: - 17964 = 7996 8990; = 9076;-	13Cl Projects Implemented 2024_2035572.3.4.5.	1 = 42%2 = 43% - 59%3 = 45% - 77%4 = 78% - 89%5 = 500%	1.00%	33 % of ICT projects and planned milestones achieved across the municipality (ICT Sysyems and Applications Monitoring)	A safer / more secure municipal IT operating environment	ICT Implementation and A safer / more secure ICT Security Audit Implicited it operating environment	
KT Reports Noted and Approved	13 = 50% - 77%4 = 78% - 83%5 =	3.1CT Reports 2024_2025 FY2.3.4.5.	1 = < 42%2 = 43% - 59%3 = 60% - 77%4 = 78% - 89%5 = 90%+	1.00%	32 comulative % of maintenance, monitoring and management of IT systems	A safer / more secure municipal II operating environment	ICT Security Audit	mananama anna anna anna anna anna anna
	(Q3) - Establishment and Configuration of Super Users on the systems (Q4) - Development of the VPN and Cloud Based Secting Technologies							
Multi Yost Appointment steerful, Screenbod(s) strouding the Implementation, Proof of grounest. ICT engingment, Ambieus, proof or established Super User System, proof of a developed VPNI	(01) - Proturement of ICT Equipment and Refreshment Cycle (Q2) - Proturement of Anthrins Software Licentes (Replace and Increase Anthrins Converge)	4 iCT Strategic Primities Implemented by June 2008	4 (cT Streegs: Procites implemented by June 2028	2.00%	31 Number of K.T Strategic priorites implemented (out of 28 Priorities) by 30 June 2026	Communication and sharing information	ICT Security Audit	hstRufbnał Transformation and Development
Audit Report 2024_2025	Q1 = 02 = 1 = Osciamer2 = Qualified3 = Unqualfied by with matters of emphasisd = Unqualfied5 = CleanQ3 = Q4	1.2023_2024 Pf Audit Opinion2.5.4.5.	1 = Disclaimer 2 = Qualified3 = Unqualified by with matters of emphassed = Unqualified5 = Clean	2.00%	30 Level of Audit Opinion given by AG for finat audit Annual financial statement	improved Audit Outcome	Audit Opinions	
	A/N-3D							
Report generated by Fhance on Unauthorised, irregular, Fruithes and Wasterlif expenditure.	V/N-1D	1: - d/8	Reduce by 50% creathorheed, fregular, Fulless and wastrful expenditure by 30 line 2026 (Departmental)	3,00%	29 Reduce unauthorised ir regular, fruitless and wasteful expenditure	Accountable and deen municipal administration	Adhere to Good Governance	

Powered By Ornecy

Adhret to the side and I'v ensure that all Sengu regulations of lessific to sublings and said and side yet as laid down addres to and implement ONS legislation	employee organization	Development, improved capacity of improved capacity of implementation of skills employees to cary out plan nat unamitation of their datase more explained alaring report as efficiently explained.
To ensure that all Senou Ruddings and seath subdiver to and district on the second sec	imanovet anakly of emblywes to early out their duties more efficiently	Improved sapacity of employees to surry out. their distant more efficiently
OHEALT resolutions of the property of the prop	3) Out departmental ob 1 Description (only where new position and position (only where series) unestable positions) submit descriptions) submit registations and requests for adverts submitted to corporate submitted to the position and services timeously services timeously	36 Approve identified departmental training needs:
3.00%	1,00%	3.00%
TYP Reeducks or received, reported alleged misconduct and responding Unitalism legal matters within the prescribed frineframes	Treignations and recommend for the plot descriptions, resignations and recommend within the stipulated fined emes	ASP ovel of T7% identified departmental training needs by 30 December 2025.
1 = d12%	1= 402%	1 × 4G%
(A = Annual report on the geoloddens implemented alleged miserade reported and inhared regal mattery	Ω±-N/A	Q1-N/A
Report submitted to the MM on Resolutions resolved	submitted to Director Carporate Services.	1. Submiksion register

Public Participation		
Fraction Management management grantics	Policy Formulation	
management eracides	Enure that Departmental policies are reviewed annually	improve hobridual performance
	<u>8</u>	¥2
nassude implemented by June 2026	A) Devetop Departmental 3 Policies (By-lenn/50P's	
222		
2026	Overdop/review Policies/By Lawn/SOP's by 30th May 20th May 20th May (20th List the Politice/By Lawn/SOP's)	77% Compliance of contracting with immediate subordinate by 31 July 2025.
its implemented by June	and/SOPs)	ng with immediate
	1 = 42%	
77% on rèt implementation as per the approved plan in submittad is the MM for RMC consideration all 4 quarters	QE-W/A	Q1-100% Signed Scancard:
Report on the mitigation measurest implemented in submitted to the MM for RMC consideration.	Enail with attachments of Policies/By Laws/SOP's sent to MM for approved.	Segred Scorecards

BEHAVIOU			
BEHAVIOURAL COMPETENCIES	Implementation of Implementation and Recolutions made by Mandaded Municipal Structures and ensuring proper delegations	Audit Action Plan	Prevention Management
1000	becklor-driven organisation at all reeks of the organisation of the organisation of the organisation oversity and oversity	Review of the Audiz Action Plan	Effective risk management practices
100.00% ментима ментим		ā.	42
INDIVIDUALKPI	as per the rectains on as per the rectains on as per the rectains or eighter of SEM/Top register of SEM/Top Meanagement MPAC (FECC) Council by 30 June 2025, Department of Related)	Bredback provided on the disseminated Audit Action Pian.	A2) Signed Declaration of Interest by all raiff members in the department.
100.00%	COO%	1,00%	,
ANNUAL TARGET	77% (implementation of SEAM/Top Management) NPAC (FSCO) Council Resolutions (Departmental Nadiced) by 30 June 2028.	77% of issues idensified in the audit action plan reported en/resolved	77% Compliance of declaration of interest by all departmental staff members by 30 August 2025.
TARGET STANDARDS	1 = 42%	1 = 422%	1 = 4/296
QUARTERLY TARGETS	Q4 = Annual report on the Resolutions implemented on MPAC/EXCO/Council Resolutions.	77% Audit Action Plan Issues resolved	77% of Signed declarations by 30 August 2025
Weighting: 20.00%	n Annual report on the Resolutions implemented on MFAC/EXCO/Council Resolutions submitted to MM (via enall).	Audit Action Plan, numerity propest Reports on Baues in the Audit Action Plan resolved	Declaration Register as proof.

		Not Applicable
Nor Applicable	for Applicable	Mot Asplicable
Not Applicable	Not Applicable	Not Applicable
	<u> </u>	K W
Management Modert 1000%	2 People management 5.1	-
	·	
Alle to understand program and project management. I is lied applicable methodology bein, manager, monther and evaluate a specific activities in order to deliver on the set.  Objectives	Effectively manage, inspire and exocutage people, respect deventing optimize taken and build nutritive relationships on the continue institutional objectives in order to achieve institutional objectives	
1.n. Not applicable	In Mos applicable	1= Nor applicable
Annui Tirget	CII-I N/A	Q3-R/A  Q2-Strategic Senitori Q3-Strategic Senitori Q4-R/A
Approved dians	Shills Audill Register	Strategic Sessions attendance registers

2
ş
ŝ
9
ŏ
3
9

Hor Applicable	NOT REPORTED	Not Applicable
Hist Applicable	NOT ADDRESSES	Not Applicable
o,		
6:Governance Landership 10.00%	S Change Leadersmp	3
Able to promote, direct and apply professionalism in In- managing this and compliance requirements and apply at through understanding of governance practices and  cobligations. Further, able to direct the conceptualisation  of reperson positions and enhance cooperative  governance relationships.	Abe to direct and initiate instructional transformation on all levels in order to successible free and implement new initiatives and deliver professional and quality services to the community	Cable to compile this and manage tudgets, control cable from the time framed it is the management and cabminute procurement processes in excudance with congruent framed in aractes. Further to ensure that financial transactions are managed in an ethical manner.
Not applicable	1= Not applicable	In Wot applicable
Q1.2 RMC Attended Q2.2 RMC Attended Q3.1 RMC Attended Q4.1 RMC Attended	Q2- Q2- Q4-Attended policy workshop	Q1-H/A  Q2- Summit inputs (Adjustment)  Q2-submit inputs (Adjustment)  Q4-submit inputs (Arafits)  Q4-submit inputs(Maile)
4 Attendance Registers of RMC	Postory Workshop attendance ragister	Proof of submission of Department al Budget inputsifmal to Finance)

о дорисане	Appliable	Not Asplicable
чог Аррикавы	or a posicione	eor Applicable
Analysis and finovestion	s Planning and Crizing and	7 Marabe Competence
5.00%		
Able to critically analyse information; challenges and freeds to estables had employment fact chased solutions that are monature to improve instructional processes in order to achieve key strategic objectives.	Actor to pith, priorities and organize micronation and features effectively for service desires effectively of service distingent and build effective contingently plans to manager ink.	·
Is Not applicable	1. Not applicable	'r- Hot applicable
C1: 1 SEM Meeting Attended  C2: 1 SEM Meeting Attended  C4: 1 SEM Meeting Attended  C4: 1 SEM Meeting Attended	G3: I.AC Meeting Attended  Q2: I.AC Meeting Attended  Q3: I.AC Meeting Attended  Q4: I.AC Meeting attended	Annual Target
4 Attendance Regulers of SEM	A Attendance Hegisters of AC	Report generated by France on Unauthorised, Irregular, Fruiters and Wasteful expenditure.

Not Applicable	Not Applicable	Not Applicable
Wot Applicable	wa Appicable	No Applicable
	-	
12 Communication	in Konoulogiga and in Konoulogig	30 Knowledge and information management.
10.00%	5.00%	10.00%
Able to share information, however, and tidea in a clear, focued and occurse manner supporprise (or the paulience in older to effectively convey, prevaide and influence stateholders to achieve the dediced outcome.	Able to promote the generation and sharing of knowledge and information through various processes and media, in older to schaince the collective knowledge bused on local government.	Able to promote the generation and sharing of knowledge and information through various processes and media, in order to enhance the collective throwledge lossed on local government.
1- Not applicable	1 Hot applicable	
QL-1 DEPARTMENTAL Meeting Attended QL-1 DEPARTMENTAL Meeting Attended QL-1 DEPARTMENTAL Meeting Attended QL-1 DEPARTMENTAL Meeting attended	Q1-1 SEM Meeting Attended Q2-1 SEM Meeting Attended Q3-1 SEM Meeting Attended Q3-1 SEM Meeting attended Q4-1 SEM Meeting attended	Q1-1 SEM Meeting Attended Q2-1 SEM Meeting Attended Q3-1 SEM Meeting Attended Q4-1 SEM Meeting Attended Q4-1 SEM Meeting Attended
A Departmental meetings attendence registers	4 Attendance Registers of SEM	4 Attendance Registers of SEM

TOTAL Not Applicable Not Applicable 13 Results and quality focus 5.00% Util understanding of, and agreement with the contents of the scorecard. The manager and the employee both acknowledge that this is in full compliance with Sengu Local Municipality's Performance Management Policy.

THEMBINKOSI MAWONIGA.

Signate by:

THEMBINKOSI MAWONIGA

Date:

7/31/2025 100.00% Able to maintain high quality xiendards, focus on achieving results and obligations white consistently striving to exceed expectations and courage others to meet easily standards, further, to actively monitor and measure results and quality against identified objectives. 1= Not applicable Q3-3 Meetings Attended Q4-3 Meetings attended Q2-2 Meetings attended 11 Attendance Registers of EXCO

PART E: COMPETENCE - BASED INDIVIDUAL DEVELOPMENT PLAN - WEIGHTING OF 20%

This Part of the Parliaments Agreement is about the development of the employee. Development here has been divided into three appects in order to meet all the employee work and personal development needs as provided for by the Shilts development legislation of South Africa. The bounds on estuding every employee develops and grows every year since job demands and the broader environment is constantly changing.

The compatence development in this Part E has been made measurable to stoy the habt of employees always listing competence areas which they have no intention of paratile for the accomplishment. Further, because intensequential performance of moving and the regional benefacions of this accomplishment. Further, because intensequential performance of moving the regional Development (Part E and Other Habt E and C the regional permit to classify agreed and co-signed by both perfect as well. This Part E at meant to change that culture, although the acquaistion to be an important an performance achievement as achieving any other targets.

A previous skills audit against competence area agreed between the employee and higher manager is assumed. If there has been no formal skills audit done, anecoloid self and manager performance-based audits will have to be used to inhabe the process of finding meaningful beseived.)

The following assumptions are important to fulfil, namely,

1. For the employee's Manager to;

o Prouch all resources (time, mores), temporal set, it is officed the employee the desired development
of a republishment flow of the vert excluditations that all uses more that the employees the set of the employees of the process and corrective action takes and loss all class reactions of the Professions Scorecard Scriptsh that it is a creat in due wendring in calculating the owned profession to exempt of the employees
of or ensure that the ampropriately record and corrective action takes that the articles of the Professions Scorecard Scriptsh that it is owned to due to calculate the owned formation or the employees
of or ensure that the profession of the Professions of the Professions Scorecard Scriptsh that it is owned to calculate the owned formation or the employees.

suffer the pain of being rated lowly in this area. 2. For the employee by:

The registration where the most natural for Exemple Nyphemic Assessment which corry coreba.

The registration where the where the most natural for Exemple Nyphemic Assessment which corry coreba.

C. - Comment of the Comment where the Natural State of the State of the State of the State of Comment where the State of the State of the State of Comment where the State of the State of

Mans of Employee; Kenside Fourie Corent Lies (Fri Tills; CF) Corent Lies (Tri Tills; CF) Feeted Corested Sy; Nies Fort B of the Employee'; Feeticessnoor Flux: (\*\* July, 2221 to 38\*\* June 2004)

SANTA CANADA TANDA	CA CO PART IN THE MESSAGE	Can you the territory age and		it a job competence gar	d. For men take R as	900. This section reads to	n related to Directoraters C.	by We I to deserted their a piet compositions and it for men take it as RM). This section reads in this extension to their sections to Part 8 or in a Societary.							ſ
Number and	*	760,46	Statution (Current Competence Profits		Company Count	Against Company Community sales inter the	Statement of the Statem	Redood to be used to socialis this	Ream of Eridence	Asserment of	Assessment of Competence Achievement indictions Inc. Large Albitrac	Anthone Des Ley	de Albéraci		T
Company	Co	Competence	Service G. danke)	Compelher		pasotapy	this appropries	competence	Vertication (Rove)	Paccad Target		Action Deviation	Lea .	Definition of the Control of the Con	I
5												I		and the same of the same of	- Control
2. NO. The second of the State Second	-				T					-		+			1
774	L			-								$\dagger$		-	Ī
Bub Tenk			Assessage of Competency level in unlested areas = 29	Kith swincfed Anscage To	Targetled Contratens	Anecage Taigabed Comparence level in salected v ??		Most Preferred Bacheds -	Nost prefetted BOYs =	Average Planned Tergal = C?		1 - S	Verege for Dis Section •	Average Refing Supre for this Section of Part E =	is Section of
Emergency Emergency Emergency Operationary - 1984 of Part E. De Van 351425 (Mass or retained to Dissolvanies Colon Personal in Parts of the Scorecut - Towns from the Personal Assessment Report	uli Djeraforjsteerd 1921s, e	Part E for Year 1914/21	S (Stone are referred to Direct).	STATE CON FORCERS	a Pert 3 of the Score	Card - Telesa from the Pay	charactic Aucosmoon By	301							-
futble and	Welching of the KRU Company		Bereikine (Current	Tergolog Competence L.	arrel (Tick one of the	ingeled Contentance Land (Tick one of these two possible C leader (Budge) is acolera live	Budget to accident this	The second is second in the second	Manual of Polishone		*	estated of Compa	fortenment of Computerna Ashforessand (Sadinjulad Day Lenda Attained	d Der Levels Attainsp	
				Competent Level	Advenced		competence	competence	YestEcation (RoYe)	Dammer of the last	LEbel		Done	Devietas Nota Intoe (refected st.	Referes scores for
andumbh tar Public	₽	,000 ap.	Congress		Advanced		\$	coacting, formal Newdark self-education with a territor provider		٦,	Competent			200 000 000000	Whomese full pass of
% lend of competence on the 3 tend ecole	s.	-	Сетризм	Contrated Level	Advanced		**	couching, farmed Mender) and education Continues by the carry with a certical provider	Cartification by the serve According Profession	1	Competent				
		tares.	Competent	Conspient Level	Achanced		#		Petritor Providers	No.	Consperient				
Sarb -Toths, Sweleyn 2		201	Leeruge of Selected Managerial Competences evils 4 Competent	Abraga at Vakesho Bengatal Taryand Companyoses lowds = Composed	nagerial Tergelad Co	mpablesse i <del>erds -</del>	24	Most Preferred Nathods - ordine or bisobed inenting	Cariford by the According Average Prophosoetic Berricos Target - Promitar Combone		Avenge to Actuals in this Section = Composes	den = Compotest		Aurys Geistos be thi Gestos =	Average Pating Stout the Section 2 of Part E =
SPANS TOTAL Gensons (A.D.			Iverage of Selected Bangeriel Competence avits + Competent	Foat of Seacht Bengarie Tarpest Compaints technic	arial Tergalad Comp	elonces ferrito s	z.	Most Protected Rethods - ordine or blended hersing	Arrange Sout profected 200% = Ris Phases test by Pyechenneinfold   Temper Compiler		Average for Astroda in this Section - Composins	ilor - Compodent		Average for Section 2 =	Aranga Atalng Score for Section 2 of Pari E *

Date of Signing:

Date of Signing: Date of Signing

Corporate Services Partonnance Mgt Unit Witness's Name Dispresenting Performance Mgt Office): Witness's Signature

Supervisors Signature

Supervieing Manager's Name and Title:

Employee Signature

Employee's Meme: