

### PERFORMANCE AGREEMENT

### MADE AND ENTERED INTO BY AND BETWEEN:

### **SENQU MUNICIPALITY**

"(Hereinafter referred to as the employer)"
Represented by

The Municipal Manager Mr Thembinkosi Mawonga

and

Ms Z Koyana
Corporate Services Director
"(Hereinafter referred to as the employee)"

2025/2026

PERFORMANCE AGREEMENT: PART A

### 1. INTRODUCTION

- 1.1 The Employer has entered into a contract of employment with the Employee in terms of section 57(1) (a) of the Local Government: Municipal Systems Act 32 of 2000 ("the Systems Act"). The Employer and the Employee are hereinafter referred to as "the Parties".
- 1.2 Section 57(1) (b) (ii) of the Systems Act, read with the Contract of Employment concluded between the parties, requires the parties to conclude an annual performance agreement within one (1) month after the beginning of each financial year of the Municipality.
- 1.3 The parties wish to ensure that they are clear about the goals to be achieved and secure the commitment of both the Employer the Employee to a set of outcomes that will secure Local Government policy goals.
- 1.4 Section 57(1) (a) (b), (4A), (4B), (4C) and (5) of the Systems Act; No 32 of 2000 and subsequent amendments (the Systems Act, No Act 7 of 2011), read with the Contract of Employment concluded between the parties, requires the parties to conclude an annual performance agreement within 60 days after the beginning of the financial year. The updated Performance review of the previous year will occur no later than July each year.
- 1.5 In its comprehensive version, this Performance Agreement is made up of five components, namely.
  - 1.5.1 PART A: The generic contextualizing agreement, which is not to be measured / assessed for performance but rather sets the legal and relationship context and stage for all other subsequent assessable sections / parts of this performance agreement.
  - 1.5.2 PART B (Approximate weighting = 80%): Employee's Core Contributory Performance Top Layer SDBIP-Based Scorecard Issues. It is the Performance Plan for which this executive employee alone leads and is accountable for achieving the specific and unique outcomes set out for his / her department across the whole municipality in the Top Layer SDBIP. Part B does not focus on outcomes that a generic for all executives performed within and for their departments. It is the first measurable Part, wherein measurable targets in all unique Strategic (from the TL SDBIP) and Functional (From Job Profiles) outcomes and objectives have been set. For brevity, these outcomes and objectives must come from all only those KPAs wherein the executive employee's work cannot be generalized with that of her / his other executive peers (in Part C below). The individual executive employee targets are based on the (i) Top Layer (Institutional) and (ii) Departmental /Directorate SDBIPs objectives and targets wherein the

- section 57 Manager plays a unique significant leadership contribution (iii) whatever strategic targets set in the Executive's Employment Contract Performance Clause.
- PART C (approximate weighting = 0%): This is a crucial part of the executive employee's 1.5.3 scorecard. It measures those aspects of work that all executives at this level are expected to perform on and achieve working as Heads for their departments. Since all executive directors do most of their work through other employees below them (subordinate / divisional managers), at this executive level, this Part C carries the greatest weighting of all the other scorecards in the Performance Agreement. It plans out and measures all departmental level strategic (in the departmental SDBIP) and generic / core managerial competence areas largely found in the functional list of the executive's COGTA Job Profile list of activities and outputs. Though most important, these core managerial competences (CMC and Critical Competence Requirements (CCRs), these are not unique. They are generic competences and performances that every section 57 executive employee must perform and be assessed on. These cover all 6 KPAs though mostly weighted heaviest on KPAs 3 (Financial Management & Viability); KPA 4: Institutional Transformation and Municipal Development) and KPA 5: Good Governance & Public These come from annually selected and prioritized Core Managerial Participation). Competences (CMCs) and Core Competency Requirements (CCRs). This selection process in this year has been streamlined to pick and use only those aspects which are already listed in the various Directors & MM's Job Profiles combined with those leadership roles implied in achieving each of the Top Layer SDBIP objectives and targets unique to the department that the executive leads.
- 1.5.4 PART D (0%): Antecedent Input Behaviours. These are strategically winning behaviours that define what an individual must do in order that they succeed in leading strategic performance in the municipality and in their department. These have been given a weighting of zero - meaning they will be understood to be applicable in future but are not measured this Financial Year as a way of not slowing down the overwhelming parts of change management. In the years when these will be measured, their weighting will be borrowed from Parts B and C above so that this Part D weighs a maximum of 15% and still retain the overall weighting of Parts B, C & D at 80. The logic of including Part D Scorecard in the Performance Agreement is that, any manager or any employee's performance is enhanced or reduced by certain behavioral habits / practices that they adopt and display, for example, how they manage time, how the make decisions, how they build, lead or work in teams to mention a few. To ensure that these winning antecedent behaviours are always at their most positive to impact performance, KPIs and Targets are set in this Part to measure the institutionally selected behaviours. The selection of the top five or so can be agreed at executive management level or assigned to the MM and her / his delegated director (like Corporate Services Director where Individual Performance resides).

- 1.5.5 PART E (20%): Competence based Individual Development or Learning Plan (CBIDP). These are commonly called Personal Development Plans (PDPs) or Individual Development Plans (IDPs). Different from previous practices in many organisations, in this Part E, these competence development areas will be planned and measured against (i) competence gaps in fulfilling current job requirements and performances (roughly 40% of this Part E) (ii) generic individual managerial or developmental competence / performance gaps (roughly 40% weighting of this Part E) (iii) life development goals (at 20% weighting at own cost but leave time allowed for examinations). Unlike in Senqu's previous practices on the PDPs, and unlike what many other municipalities where PDPs are just a "wish list", whose actual achievement does not impact the employee's performance, in this case these Competence-Based Individual Development Plans CBIDPs have set targets and will constitute 20% of the overall performance of that employee in line with the provisions of the Skills Dev Act.
- 1.5.6 This Performance Agreement cannot be interpreted as if it is replaceable by the Performance Clause in the Executive's 5 Year Contract of Employment as some do at times. This agreement is an annualized sub-set through whose cumulative performance measurement and tracking, whatever the executive would have committed to deliver in 5 years gets achieved.

### 2. PURPOSE OF THIS AGREEMENT

The purpose of this Agreement, as agreed by both parties, is to, inter alia.

- 2.1 comply with the provisions of Section 57(1)(b), (4A),(4B) and (5) of the Act as well as the employment contract entered into between the parties;
- 2.2 specify objectives and targets defined and agreed with the employee and to communicate to the employer's expectations of the employee's performance and accountabilities in alignment with the Integrated Development Plan, Service Delivery and Budget Implementation Plan (SDBIP) and the Budget of the Municipality.
- 2.3 specify accountabilities as set out in a performance plan, which forms an annexure to the performance agreement.
- 2.4 monitor and measure performance against set targeted outputs.
- 2.5 use the performance agreement as the basis for assessing whether the employee has met the performance expectations applicable to his or her job.

### Performance Agreement – Part A: Corporate Services Director, 2025/2026

- 2.6 in the event of outstanding performance, to appropriately reward the employee; and
- 2.7 give effect to the employer's commitment to a performance-orientated relationship with its employee in attaining equitable and improved service delivery.

### 3 COMMENCEMENT AND DURATION

- 3.1 Notwithstanding the date of signature hereto, this Agreement will commence on the 1st July 2025 and will remain in force until 28th February 2026. Thereafter, a new Performance Agreement, made up of the Performance Plan (the four parts B, C, & D Scorecards) and Personal Development Plan (CBIDP) shall be concluded between the parties for the next financial year or any portion thereof along the lines explained above.
- 3.2 The parties will review all the provisions of this Performance Agreement against its own efficaciousness as well as against existing and / or new local government policy changes in June of each year as part of the Performance Management System Annual Review. The parties will conclude a new Performance Agreement and Performance Plan that replaces this Agreement at least once a year by not later than 31st July of each successive financial year.
- 3.3 This Agreement will terminate on the termination of the Employee's contract of employment for any reason.
- 3.4 The content of this Agreement may be revised at any time during the above-mentioned period to redetermine the applicability of the matters agreed upon.
- 3.5 If at any time during the validity of this Agreement, the work environment alters (whether as a result of Government or Council decisions or otherwise) to the extent that the contents of this Agreement are no longer appropriate, the contents shall immediately be revised.
- 3.6 All revisions and amendments of this Agreement must be immediately noted and immediately counter-signed by the two agreeing parties, namely, the Employer and the Employee.

- 4 PERFORMANCE OBJECTIVES, KEY PERFORMANCE INDICATORS (KPIs), BASELINES AND TARGETS
  - 4.1 Mutually aligned performance objectives, related KPIs, their KPI related baselines and targets form the basis and value chain of any strategic – performance management system of any organisation like the municipality.
  - 4.2 They are kept in proper alignment through a Performance Plan as described below.
  - 4.3 The full Performance Plan or Scorecard (Parts B, C, D & E) sets out-
    - 4.1.1 the performance objectives and targets that must be met by the Employee; and
    - 4.1.2 the time frames within which those performance objectives and targets must be met.
  - 4.4 The performance objectives and targets reflected in (Parts B, C and D) as well as the Part E: (Competence Based Individual Development Plan (CBIDP) are set by the Employer in consultative agreement (hence the term Performance Agreement) with the Employee and are all based on or clearly aligned to the approved Integrated Development Plan, Top Layer Service Delivery and Budget Implementation Plan (TL SDBIP) and the Budget of the Employer and shall include key strategic and functional objectives; key performance indicators; target dates, weightings to reflect urgency and prioritisation, resource requirements, Means of Evidence Verification (MOVs).
    - 4.2.1 The key objectives describe the main intended achievements that need to be accomplished as derived from the Institutional (Top Layer) and Departmental SDBIPs wherein the Director makes their contribution in the Municipality.
    - 4.2.2 The key performance indicators provide the details of the evidence that must be provided to show that a key objective has been achieved. Key here means that there are many indicators from where one can chose, so the choice must prioritise only the few that will move forward the strategic objectives the most.
    - 4.2.3 The baselines are very important and must be decided consistent with language and units implied in the performance indicator when measurement of the indicator starts at the beginning of the year.

### Performance Agreement - Part A: Corporate Services Director, 2025/2026

- 4.2.4 The targets describe the quantity or quality of the performance achieved in that objective and key performance indicator. In most cases, because the timeframe for achieving such a target is understood to be quarterly, semi-annually or annually, timeframes are not mentioned beyond these terms or columns.
- 4.2.5 The **weightings in** % show the importance of the key performance indicators and their targets relative to each other. They are crucial in computing the weighted average ratings at the end of period (quarterly, semi-annually, or annually). It is prudent to always work with an internal total weighting of 100% for each Scorecard (be it Part B, C, D or E).
- 4.5 The Employee's performance will, always, be measured in terms of contributions to the goals and strategies set out in the Employer's Integrated Development Plan and other sub-ordinate plans and projects that support the achievement of this IDP.

### 5 THE MUNICIPALITY'S PERFORMANCE MANAGEMENT SYSTEM

- 5.2 The Employee accepts that the purpose of the performance management system is to provide a comprehensive system with specific performance standards and targets to assist the Employer, Management and Municipal staff to perform to reach the standards required for each local government KPA.
- 5.3 The Employer will consult and support the Employee about all aspects required to achieve the specific performance standards that will be included in the performance management system as applicable to the Employee.
- The Employee undertakes to actively focus towards the promotion and implementation of all the KPAs, objectives and KPIs (including special projects relevant to the employee's responsibilities) within the Local Government framework.
- 5.5 The criteria upon which the performance of the Employee shall be assessed shall consist of two components, both of which shall be contained in the Performance Agreement.

- 5.5.1 The Employee must be assessed against both components, with a weighting of 80:20 allocated to the Key Performance Areas, Objectives and Targets set in PARTS B, C, & D (when Part D is being measured) and the Competence Based Individual Development Plans (CBIDP in lieu of the usual PDP) based on prioritized Learning & Development Requirements (CCRs) respectively.
- 5.5.2 Each area of assessment (as per the four Parts described above) will be weighted and will contribute a specific part to the total score accordingly.
- 5.5.3 KPAs covering the main areas of work (PARTS B, C & D) will account for 80% and selected Developmental CRs (in the PART E CBIDP) will account for 20% of the final assessment.
- 5.5.4 The total score must be determined using the rating calculator which will always be appropriately calibrated to accommodate all the three or four measurable scorecards and the weighting given to each KPI and target within each KPA as found in the four measurable scorecards, namely, Parts B, C, D and E. (This year Part D has been left out for introduction next year).
- 5.6 The Employee's assessment will be based on his / her performance in terms of the outputs / outcomes (measured through the performance indicators and their related targets) identified as per attached Performance Plan (PARTS B, C & D), which are collectively and distributively linked to all the 6 KPA's, and will constitute 80% of the overall assessment result as per the weightings agreed to between the Employer and Employee. The weightings are set based, firstly, on this director's key job profiles which specify key activities / roles assigned to the incumbent as the director (HOD) of the department in their pursuit of each their own core KPAs, notwithstanding that this director, like all others must play supportive roles in the work done in other KPAs (signified by varying weighting each year).
- 5.7 The weightings shown below, while changeable to align with both the prevailing strategic and functional thrusts that this director must pursue, they must align with the average weighting per KPA assigned to each of the 80% Scorecards (Parts B and C combined). The Table below shows the weightings agreed for this current year for this director.

Key Performance Areas (KPA's)	Weighting		
Basic Service Delivery	18%		
Local Economic Development	9%		
Municipal Financial Viability and Management	18%		

Key Performance Areas (KPA's)	Weighting
Institutional Transformation and Organisational Development	35%
Good Governance and Public Participation	20%
Total	100%

- 5.7 In the case of managers / directors directly accountable to the Municipal Manager, the weighting of the key performance areas related to the strategic and functional area of the relevant Manager, will always be subject to negotiation between the Municipal Manager and the relevant manager / director. These agreed weightings must be translated to the top of each KPA in the actual Plan templates (PARTS B, C & D).
- The developmental Competency Requirements (CR) (learning requirements in the CBIDP) will make up part of the other 20% of the Employee's assessment score. CRs that are deemed to be most critical for the Employee's specific job should be selected (√) from the list below as agreed to between the Employer and Employee. Three of the CRs are compulsory for Municipal Managers. These Leading CRs make PART C Generic / Core Managerial Competences (which are common to every Director / Senior Manager as agreed. A selection of Optional Core Competencies is selected each year and will form part of the Antecedent Input Behaviours (as explained earlier).
- 5.9 In this year's Performance Agreement, all of the critical and desired competency requirements have mostly been taken care of through Part C built largely on the directors' Job Profiles, which, coming from COGTA have already selected which of the CRs are important. So the table below is only a guide not a prescription for the KPIs and targets set out in Part C.

LEADING COMPETENCIES	1	WEIGHT
Strategic Direction and Leadership		10%
People Management	1	10%
Program and Project Management	<b>V</b>	10%
Financial Management		10%
Change Leadership		10%
Governance Leadership	\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \	10%
CORE COMPETENCIES		
Moral Competence		10%
Planning and Organising	1	5%

executives and managers at the same level!		
LEADING COMPETENCIES	1	WEIGHT
Analysis and Innovation	1	5%
Knowledge and Information Management	<b>V</b>	5%
Communication	V	10%
Results and Quality Focus	V	5%
Total percentage	+	100%

### 6. EVALUATING PERFORMANCE

- 6.1 The Performance Plan Scorecards (Parts B, C and D) to this Agreement each set out -
  - 6.1.1 the standards and procedures for evaluating the Employee's performance; and
  - 6.1.2 the intervals for the evaluation of the Employee's performance.
- 6.2 Despite the establishment of agreed intervals for evaluation, the Employer may in addition, review the Employee's performance at any stage while the contract of employment remains in force, subject to sufficient notice and reasons for justifying the variation being given to the employee.
- 6.3 Personal growth and development needs identified during any performance review discussion must be documented in a Competence-Based Individual Development Personal Development Plan as well as the actions agreed to and implementation must take place within set time frames.
- 6.4 The Employee's performance will be measured in terms of contributions to the goals and strategies set out in the Employer's IDP and subordinate TL SDBIP and Departmental SDBIP and operational plans.
- 6.5 The annual performance appraisal will involve:

### 6.5.1 Assessment of the achievement of results as outlined in the performance plan:

(a) Each KPA should be assessed according to the extent to which the specified standards or performance targets set for each key performance indicator (KPI) have been met and with due regard to ad hoc tasks that had to be performed under the KPA.

### Performance Agreement - Part A: Corporate Services Director, 2025/2026

- (b) An indicative rating on the five-point scale should be provided for each target first and then aggregated for each KPA.
- (c) The applicable assessment rating calculator (refer to paragraph 6.5.3 below) must then be used to add the scores and calculate a final weighted average rating for each KPA score.

### 6.5.2 Assessment of the Competency Requirements

- (a) Each Competency Requirement should be assessed according to the extent to which the specified standards (based on the standard being treated as a KPI and calibrated targets set, agreed and subsequently met).
- (b) An indicative rating on the five-point scale should be provided for each selected CR based on set KPIs and related targets.
- (c) This rating should be multiplied by the weighting given to each selected CR during the contracting process, to provide a score.
- (d) The applicable assessment rating calculator (refer to paragraph 6.5.1) must then be used to add the scores and calculate a final CR score.

### 6.5.3 Overall rating

An overall rating is calculated by using the applicable assessment-rating calculator. Such overall rating represents the outcome of the performance appraisal.

- 6.6 The calibration of all set targets in each section / PART of the Performance agreement as well as the subsequent assessment of the performance attained by the Employee for each target will be based on the following rating scale for KPA's and the selected Competence Requirements (CRs) as reflected Part C taking from the Directors' Job Profiles which in turn have drawn them from the following CRs.
- 6.7 Below is the local government municipal regulations rating scale that each municipality has adopted.

# Performance Agreement - Part A: Corporate Services Director, 2025/2026

Level	Terminology	Description		Rating				
Level	reminology	Description	1	2	3	4	5	
5	Outstanding performance	Performance far exceeds the standard expected of an employee at this level. The appraisal indicates that the Employee has achieved above fully effective results against all performance criteria and indicators as specified in the PA and Performance plan and maintained this in all areas of responsibility throughout the year.						
4	Performance significantly above expectations	Performance is significantly higher than the standard expected in the job. The appraisal indicates that the Employee has achieved above fully effective results against more than half of the performance criteria and indicators and fully achieved all others throughout the year.						
3	Fully effective	Performance fully meets the standards expected in all areas of the job. The appraisal indicates that the Employee has fully achieved effective results against all significant performance criteria and indicators as specified in the PA and Performance Plan.						
2	Not fully effective	Performance is below the standard required for the job in key areas. Performance meets some of the standards expected for the job. The review/assessment indicates that the employee has achieved below fully effective results against more than half the key performance criteria and indicators as specified in the PA and Performance Plan.						

Laval	Torminology	Description		ting			
Level	Terminology			2	3	4	5
		Performance does not meet the standard expected		•			
		for the job. The review/assessment indicates that					
		the employee has achieved below fully effective					
		results against almost all of the performance criteria					
	Unacceptable	and indicators as specified in the PA and					
1	performance	Performance Plan. The employee has failed to					
		demonstrate the commitment or ability to bring					
		performance up to the level expected in the job					
		despite management efforts to encourage					
		improvement.					

- 6.7 For purposes of evaluating the annual performance of the Municipal Manager, an evaluation panel constituted of the following persons must be established moderate the ratings first agreed between the Employee i.e. the MM and their supervisor (Executive Mayor)-
  - 6.7.1 Executive Mayor or Mayor.
  - 6.7.2 Chairperson of the performance audit Committee or the Audit Committee in the absence of a performance audit committee.
  - 6.7.3 Member of the Mayoral or Executive Committee or in respect of a plenary type of Municipality, another member of Council.
  - 6.7.4 Mayor and/or Municipal Manager from another Municipality; and
  - 6.7.5 Member of a Ward Committee as nominated by the Executive Mayor or Mayor.
- 6.8 For purposes of evaluating the annual performance of Managers directly accountable to the Municipal Managers, an evaluation panel constituted of the following persons must be established;
  - 6.8.1 The Municipal Manager.
  - 6.8.2 Chairperson of the performance Audit Committee or the Audit Committee in the absence of a performance Audit Committee.
  - 6.8.3 Member of the Mayoral or Executive Committee or in respect of a plenary type of Municipality, another member of Council; and
  - 6.8.4 another Municipal Manager from another Municipality.
- 6.9 The Manager responsible for Human Resources of the Municipality must provide secretariat services to the evaluation panels referred to in sub-regulations (6.7) and (6.8).

### 7. SCHEDULE FOR PERFORMANCE REVIEWS

- 7.1 Before the on-set of the Performance Management Cycle, all targets in PARTS B, C, and D must be calibrated against the rating scale of 1 5 in order to minimize common subjectivity that occurs when assessments are done during appraisal time.
- 7.2 The performance of each **Employee** in relation to his / her performance agreement shall be reviewed on the following dates with the understanding that reviews in the first and third quarter may be verbal if performance is satisfactory:

First quarter:	July - September	.Period Review Report Concluded on
Second quarter	: October – December	Period Review Report Concluded on
Third quarter: Ja	nuary – March	Period Review Report Concluded on
Fourth quarter:	April – June	Period Review Report Concluded on

- 7.2 During these review sessions, targets are scored or rated, the targets may also be renegotiated and adjusted in re-alignment to the available budgets and other prevailing environmental conditions as necessary. The Employer shall keep a record of the mid-year review and annual assessment meetings and scores for cumulative averaging at the end of each year as provided by the policy.
- 7.3 Performance feedback shall be based on the Employer's assessment of the Employee's performance done in mutual agreement with the employee.
- 7.4 The Employer will be entitled to review and make reasonable changes to the provisions of all PARTS "B, C & D & E" from time to time for operational reasons. The Employee will be fully consulted before any such change is made.
- 7.5 The Employer may amend the provisions of PARTS B, C, and D & E whenever the performance management system is adopted, implemented and / or amended as the case may be. In that case the Employee will be fully consulted and ideally be in agreement before any such change is made. Both parties will sign-off any amendments made as such agreements are legally binding on both parties.

### 8. DEVELOPMENTAL REQUIREMENTS

The Competence-Based Individual Development Plan (CBIDP) in lieu of the normal Personal Development Plan (PDP) for addressing developmental gaps is attached as Part E as annexed.

### 9. OBLIGATIONS OF THE EMPLOYER

- 9.1 The Employer shall -
  - 9.1.1 create an enabling environment to facilitate effective performance by the employee.
  - 9.1.2 provide access to skills development and capacity building opportunities.
  - 9.1.3 work collaboratively with the Employee to solve problems and generate solutions to common problems that may impact on the performance of the Employee.
  - 9.1.4 on the request of the Employee delegate such powers reasonably required by the Employee to enable him / her to meet the performance objectives and targets established in terms of this Agreement; and
  - 9.1.5 make available to the Employee such resources as the Employee may reasonably require from time to time to assist him / her to meet the performance objectives and targets established in terms of this Agreement.

### 10. CONSULTATION

- 10.1 The Employer agrees to consult the Employee timeously where the exercising of the Employer and / or Employee's delegated powers will have amongst others, a direct effect on the performance of any of the Employee's key functions, in particular;
  - 10.1.1 at the initial agreement of this document called the Performance Agreement (Parts A, B, C, D and E), it is envisaged that consultative discussions will be held and that the final signed off agreement will be signed for each part to signify that there was discussion and consensus for each part of this agreement. It is envisaged that the employee will prepare and present to the Employer a draft Performance Agreement (in all its agreed Parts showing calibrated targets for each scorecard) for discussion at a timely agreed date and time. Once agreed, dated and signed by both parties, the Performance Agreement is deemed to be legally binding to both parties, such that no party shall vary any part of it without consultation and agreement with the other Party.
  - 10.1.2 at every performance appraisal / review session (quarterly in line with the municipal performance regulations, unless agreed otherwise within the municipality's Performance Management policy),

the Employee shall present their own self rating scores and reasons for discussion and comparing notes with the Employer (who will also have already scored the employee independently prior to the meeting). In this meeting notes are being compared, agreements on performance levels to be maintained, or improved agreed with clear additional support for the employee being agreed. Such support will include necessary coaching and mentoring, training and development arrangement. It is at these formal review / appraisal sessions that any targets may be adjusted, in writing and all changes co-signed against this initial agreement by both parties indicating reasons for such a change.

- 10.1.3 continuously commit the Employee to implement or to give effect to a decision made by the Employer that affect and are affected by this agreement;
- 10.1.4 continuously commit the Employer to render all necessary support (resources, emotional, intellectual and physical) as may be reasonably needed by the employee to optimally perform what has been agreed in this Performance Agreement; and
- 10.1.5 that has a substantial financial effect on the Employer.
- 10.2 The Employer agrees to inform the Employee of any outcome of any decisions taken outside the consultation with the employee, with regards to the implementation of this Performance Agreement pursuant to the exercise of powers contemplated in 10.1 above as soon as is practicable, as part of the Employer's coaching roles in order to enable the Employee to take any necessary action without delay. It is envisaged that such decisions will be rare and mostly coming from outside the municipal administration sphere like council, COGTA, National Treasury, SALGA etc.

### 11. MANAGEMENT OF EVALUATION OUTCOMES

- 11.1 The final evaluation of the Employee's performance will be conclusively pronounced by the selected panel as envisaged in section 27 (d) of the Performance Regulation, also recapped in sub-sections 6.7 and 6.8 in this document above. The panel will perform a moderating role on all signed performance appraisal reports of each review session held and agreed between the Employer and Employee in the course of the year. For administrative fairness, both the Employer and the Employee should always be present or at least represented to clarify any issues that the panel may wish to have clarified.
- 11.2 Based on the final scores / ratings presented to and confirmed by the panel, a performance bonus of between 5% to 14% of the total remuneration package may be paid to the Employee (subject to the policy and the resources available to the municipality as stated in the Systems Act) in recognition

- of outstanding performance that the municipality wishes to sustain and also in line with the provisions of the municipal performance management regulations. Such reward will be constituted as follows:
- 11.2.1 a rating of 4 out of the 5 point rating scale is given a score of between 130% to 149% is awarded a performance bonus ranging from 5% to 9%; and
- 11.2.2 a rating of 5 out of the 5 point rating scale is given a score of between 150% and above is awarded a performance bonus ranging from 10% to 14%.
- N.B.: It should be noted that the rating process (against the 5 point scale) must always happen first before the use of the percentage equivalents ranging from 70% - 166%+ because not all targets can be calibrated up to 166%+, so it is better to rate first on the numbers and use the % as qualifiers as shown below.
- 11.3 In the case of unacceptable performance, the Employer shall -
  - 11.3.1 On confirming performance shortfalls, immediately provide systematic remedial or developmental support to assist the Employee to improve his or her performance; and
  - 11.3.2 After appropriate performance counselling and having provided the necessary guidance and/ or support as well as reasonable time for improvement in performance, the Employer may consider steps to terminate the contract of employment of the Employee on grounds of unfitness or incapacity to carry out his or her duties.

### 12.0 MANAGEMENT OF EVALUATION OUTCOMES

12.1 The evaluation of the Employee's performance shall form the basis for rewarding outstanding and excellent performance only (ratings 4 and 5) or correcting unacceptable performance (Ratings 2 and below) as reflected in the table below –

Rating (out of the 5 point scale)	% Score (for those instances where performance is meaningfully stretchable beyond 100%)	Equivalent on a Usual 100%  Maximum (where performance can never be meaningfully calibrated beyond 100%)	% of annual package payable as a performance Bonus
4.00 - 4.19	130.0% - 133.8%	(65.0% - 66.9%)	5%
4.20 – 4.39	134.0% 137.8%	(67.0% - 68.9%)	6%

4.40 - 4.59	138.0% - 141.8%	(69.0% - 70.9%)	7%
4.60 – 4.79	142.0% - 145.8%	(71.0% - 72.9%)	8%
4.80 – 4.99	146.0% - 149.8%	(73.0% - 74.9%)	9%
5.00 - 5.19	150.0% - 152.8%	(75.0% - 76.4%)	10%
5.20 - 5.39	153.0% - 155.8%	(76.5% - 77.9%)	11%
5.40 - 5.59	156.0% - 158.8%	(78.0% - 79.4%)	12%
5.60 - 5.79	159.0% - 161.8%	(79.5% - 80.9%)	13%
5.80 - 5.99	162% - 164.8% +	(81.0% - 82.4%) +	14%

- 12.1.1 At the end of the 4th quarter, the Executive Authority will determine, based on the final panel ratings based on the rating / scoring table above, if the s56 / s57 Director / manager is eligible for a performance bonus as envisaged in his/her contract of employment based on the bonus allocations shown in the table above.
- 12.2 In the case of unacceptable performance, the Employer shall
  - 12.2.1 Provide systematic remedial or developmental support to assist with **Employee** to improve his or her performance; and
  - 12.2.2 After appropriate performance counseling and having provided the necessary guidance and/or support as well as reasonable time for improvement in performance, the **Employer** may consider steps to firstly shift the employee to another position within the municipality (if possible). If that does not help, then and only then will the Employer invoke procedures to terminate the contract of employment of the **Employee** on grounds of unfitness or incapacity to competently perform out his or her duties.
  - 12.2.3 Nothing contained in this Agreement in any way limits the right of the Municipality to terminate the Director's contract of employment with or without notice for any other breach by the Director of his obligations to the Municipality or for any other valid reason in line with fair labour practice and law.

### 13 MERITS AWARDS

13.1.1 Merit awards for Section 56 employees are determined by performance against targets. Once performance criteria have been established, performance targets are reviewed regularly. At the end of the financial year, actual performance is compared against the agreed performance targets to determine the magnitude of the merit increase. The merit increase is calculated as a percentage of the total annual package of the employee, as indicated in the table hereunder.

Merit
1% of total package
2% of total package
3% of total package

162 to 165 (81 – 82%)	4% of total package
166+ (83% +)	5% of total package

13.1.2 Merit awards are subject to policy and Budgetary provisions made on an annual basis the merit bonuses may be paid as a 'once off' payment or at agreed quarterly intervals i.e. over a number of months.

### 14 DISPUTE RESOLUTION

- 14.1 Any disputes about the nature of the Municipal Manager's performance agreement, whether it relates to key responsibilities, priorities, methods of assessment and/ or any other matter provided for, shall be mediated by –
  - 14.1.1 The MEC for Local Government in the province within thirty (30) days of receipt of a formal dispute from the Employee; or
  - 14.1.2 Any other person appointed by the MEC.
  - 14.1.3 In the case of Managers directly accountable to the Municipal Manager, a member of the Municipal Council, provided that such member was not part of the evaluation panel provided for in sub-regulation 27(4)(e) of the Municipal Performance Regulations, 2006, within thirty (30) days of receipt of a formal dispute from the employee.
- 14.2.1 In the event that the mediation process contemplated above fails, clause 20.3 of the Contract of Employment shall apply.

### 15. GENERAL

- 15.1 The contents of this agreement and the outcome of any review conducted in terms of Annexure A may be made available to the public by the Employer.
- 15.2 Nothing in this agreement diminishes the obligations, duties, or accountabilities of the Employee in terms of his/ her contract of employment, or the effects of existing or new regulations, circulars, policies, directives or other instruments.
- 15.3 The performance assessment results of the Municipal Manager must be submitted to the MEC responsible for Local Government in the relevant province as well as the National Minister responsible for Local Government, within fourteen (14) days after the conclusion of the assessment.

# Performance Agreement – Part A: Corporate Services Director, 2025/2026

16.	•	r and the Employee have discussed and agree on every detail formance Agreement.
Thus	done and signed aton t	his the day of 2025.
AS V	VITNESSES:	
1	<del></del>	EMPLOYEE (DIRECTOR)
2		
AS V	VITNESSES:	
1		MUNICIPAL MANAGER

Senda Lacal Intunicipanty

rmance management Prome

PC172	FY25/26	Weighting: 80.00%	TOTAL STATE OF TAXABLE STATE STATE STATE STATE OF TAXABLE STATE STA	Email with attachments of strategies sent to MMM for approved.	Approved plans
PERSONAL CODE: PROVINCE/CLUSTER/UNIT:	FINANCIAL YEAR:		Paragraph Constitution	Q1-M/A	O1.N/A
		AND THE PERSON NAMED IN	INDIVIDUAL PERFORMANCE PLAN	13- ed2%	11- 647%
		一大学 かった		Processor (Tribent Navagement 77% strategies by 30th May 2006. It filtent that Analgement Strategy. Refuting (MV/ADS) Special Programment Strategy including MV/ADS)	Approve 77% of departments plans by 30th June 2006. I Hill Plan, Talent Management Plan, Countil Calender of centrit, OHS Plan, Corporate facilities Management Plan
				3,000%	у у у у у у у у у у у у у у у у у у у
			INDIVIDUAL CITYCHIA INDIVIDUAL KOL	of the strategies.	Aktoroval of departmental plans.
RVICES		ICIES			Forward planning
EMPLOYEE NAME: ZIMASA KOYANA JOB TITLE: DIRECTOR CORPORATE SERVICES	MUNICIPAL MANAGER	TECHNICAL COMPETENCIES	FY25/26 ANNUAL OPERATIONAL PLAN	Service Delinery	Service Delivery
MPLOYEE NAME: 2	ne Manager's Title	<b>TECHNICAL</b>	ONGANISATIONAL	BSIC Service Delivery	

Proof of submission to Technical Services (Roads and Sammaster Manager) by the Destruction (or ordinator Signed off by the Director (Email)	Priced of summission to Technical Services (Reads and Stormworker Neasagefor the Exparimental Coordeador Signed of by the Director (Email)	Municipal Completing Legister, Report Albinited to the Sanding Committee Aubmitted to the Sanding Committee
VN-50	All four Quarters	All 4 quarters
as per the schedule.	77% of Full warning Breakdown and Accidents must 1 * be reported within 24 hours of occurance.  407%	1.  **This of scues discominated and the working and adaption to the working a days from the Municipal Customer Care and adaption and obstaced after 8 working the select comparisette register and obstaced after 8 working days discomination by \$0 June 2025
3) Reduce the stand down   3.00% as per the sch period of all service Deherwywhitespance)	A fireduce the stand down 3,00% by response Designation of all Service Designation of all Service Services (See Augustices Services) (See Augustices) Services (See Augustices	S (plesentings enrithstone) 300% 77 % of within 5 considered to that here been referred to Customer Care within 5 cas and othered after 6 cas and othered after 6 cas working days by 30 lune 2005
Service Delivery To ensure maintenance	Service Dokwery To ensure maintenance on municipal Peet	Complaints or Attend to customed Complaints quarifies.
formance Management Profile		

Arroual resort on the fibralisation of contracts schemitted to the MMM/se email).	Arread report on 1 terrmeations submitted to the MAKea enail).	1. Spired of specs.  2. Proof of Aspoovel to Manager (errall)
Q1-Contracts concluded	Q1-Ternibations finalised	Q1-Procurement intilited
11- 6478	12-02-02-02-02-02-02-02-02-02-02-02-02-02	-138 -4738
77% of finalsed contracts within the sipuleted timefrants per intake	77% of usbreisters to Do.	77% of one EPNF procurement inflamed as per stripulated timeframes
6 Respond to department seering constraints are fit companies within 48 hours of its occurrance.	777% submission of termination of termination of termination of sizes to Oct. Within 14 days per Install termination of termin	8 17% of grad point of processing and processing an
5		ration took creation
	Load Connonic IEO Strategy Develorment Implementation	LED Strategy implementation

Annual report on the fuellistation of contracts. submitted to the MM/Vs amail.	1 Signed off tpeco	2. Proof of Approval to Manager (email)	1. Signed off malustran forms	2. Proof of submission to Finance (*gater).
O3. Contracts concluded	Q1-4/ <b>A</b>	40-404	<b>4</b> /4-17	00-N/A
# ** ** ** ** ** ** ** ** ** ** ** ** **	7. T.		78 C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C	
T7% of finalised contracts within the stipulated timeframes per intake	Attend 17% Bid Committee meetings reflected in the autrowed schedule.		Evaluar 77% of performance all-terrises providers per- tenoriee by June 2016 (invoices alove R.50 00)	
9 77% of contracts conditioned within the frost 34 working days per frost 34 working days per frost 34 working days per frost 94 working days per frost 94 working days 95 working projects)	10 Approve departmental 3.00% specifications		Complete revisation of 3,00% performance of service providers per invol.	
lub creation implementation	Conglaince to financial Cost-effective legislation and Controls procurement and services and products tenvices and products		Compliance to financial Cost-effective Legislation and Controls procurement and implementation of services and products	
	Financial Vasility and Comp		E 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20	

Printed On Monday, August 4, 2025

Antendance regulars for each meeting sitended	Expenditure report of the 2023-2026 Operational Budget reflecting departmental specialing System generated.	Exponditure report of the 2015-2026 Capital Buolger reflecting departmental spending (system generated).
V/N-Z0.	D-WA	Q: W/A
423% 423%	** <del>**</del> **	ASS.
Attend 17% Bis Committee meetings, reflected in the approved schedule.	77% Expensions of the 2015-1016 Operational Budget. 1.a. (47%)	77% Expenditure of the 2025-2036 Cripital Budget by 30   1 v
12 Adhere to Bid Committee meetings Stheolie	13 Cuseriables of the 2015- 3.00% 2036 Operational 2036 eugget by 30.1une 2026	14 Expenditure of the 2005-3,5004. 2005 Superal Budget by 50 June 2005
Cost-effective procurement and inflormentation of dervices and products	Account belts and deen muscipes administration	Improved exponditure and accelerated sources definery
Supply Administration) (Administration)	Montkoing and E-aduation, Francial Reporting	financial Management

Report generated by France on Unturborbed, tregular, Fruitess and Wasteful expenditure.	Change management report and placements completed with and the Standing Cononitive	Recrutiment and selection respont submitted to MMA and the Standing Committees
Q1+N/A	Ω÷4/A	Quarterly reports on recruitment and selection
· 1 * 2 * 2 * 2 * 2 * 2 * 2 * 2 * 2 * 2 *	-1. %295	942P2
	77% of Bacement processes finalised by 3D lane 2026	Tyrk of vestal related with progress of selection and position below. Scient Managers are fleety which 12 months of being vestal, and Senior managers within 12 months with a vestance of 22%.
ž	<b>80</b>	To Figure exact Unded 3.00% PV with agroved JD Sover Semants see Received American Provided Policy P
		Arabigeness capital Different management while the works of the capitally Creation of Senior are environment that servicement that are optimal level management of the capital
Audt Action Plan	Institutional Human Renounce Inspira	Human Resource Sharing as logs a selection of the selecti

Standing Committee Report	Signed off Memo Maximilling the process. Approved of WYP by Training Committee, LOSETA Acknowledgement Lefter	4 Training Contumittee Reports
d quarent fesons on the 3 mgnesi appomment submitted for Sandeag Committee consideration	Q1-N/A	Training implementation and reporting quarterly to the training committee
- 4.27%	42%	1, 4, 4, 5, 5, 5, 5, 5, 5, 5, 5, 5, 5, 5, 5, 5,
77% & of people from semblover equity varget groups employeed in the 3 highest levels of Organogram	77 % of instituctional training needs correctly reflected in 1 = 425% in the WSP	approved plan
Microre that there is adjusted to a distance to the E. E. Enhand the Appointment's appointment's	13) Ensure that WRP 6 selected to strange, and opporational needs of the instruction	Ab Agerove and monitor 3,50% Training implementation Plan
ince Improved human capital management which the municipality Corelino of municipality Corelino of advovancement that allowed for performance at optimal level		Graufing Staff Cappacity
Human Resource	Human Resource	Human Resource

Submission register	tob descriptions and proof of submission	Duarterly reports submitted to the MM, OHS Committee (OHS matters only), Lif and Sanding Committee	
Ol-147.  OlSadmit training nests	01.N/A	all à Querrers	
Approved 77% identified department at training needs 1 = 47% 4 30 December 2025.	77% of of triathoulous (2.)  1.   1.   1.   1.   1.   1.   2.   2.	1 s of inchtutional DNS and LV resolutions disseminance.  Tacked and provided feedback on by the naxt meeting.	Powered By Ornecy
21 Approve identified departments if railing needs.	22 Submission of 3.00% Descriptions of best-proposed to the posperoving service forms of the posperoving services timeously territories timeously territor	23 Institutional OHS and ILE 3.00%. repolations sent to Directorace within 7 days. Underst with 1 subsequent receitant in the next meeting	
Aleman Resource Enturing Staff capacity	Numan Resource Ensuring Staff Lapackty	Human Restource To errure that all Sengue buildings and staff address to and implement this begulation	Finred On Mundin, Jugust 4, 2025

Senqu Local Municipality	

erformance Management Prof	

Standing Committee Report	Standing Committee Report	Sérred Scoresischs
Ail à Quarters	All 4 oparters	Q1-107% Signed Scorecards
1 v 423%	442%	13-8 423-8
17% for francial meconduct for francial meconduct finitesed with the S4LGBC/ Regulations for Francial finitesed with the S4LGBC/ Regulations for Francial ficological timeframes.	Investigations on alleged on fleavisi miscordace on fleavisi miscordace influence schila first SALGBC/ Regulations for Flaanstal Machineter of the fleavisis	774-Compliance of course, from edule subordinate by 31, lay 2025.
	3,000%	76 Seprest scores such of 3.00% your timmedate subordinare
Frasse that decoplinary impropriate are investigations as a completed within the prescribed timeframe prescribed timeframe.	Ferner that disciplinary Ferner signature are complesed within the prescribed tendrame	Improve fide/dougl
Hunan Resource	Human Racource	Human Recource

Printed On Monday, August 4, 2025

	1. Attendance registers. 2 Notices convening the meetings. 3. Bit of Ward Committee Mentions per Ward 4. Minutes of Ward Committee meetings.	Froof of dissemination within 7 tracking of tendutions regard.  **Agarety Reports on tracked resolution of Public.  Participation Forum	Sonding Committee Reports
	Meering bed in all d quarters	Duarterly reports on status of 77% implementation	Custrerly reports on status of implementation
>	- 1 - 64279.	1, 1 4,75% (47%)	4234
Sengu Local Municipality	77% meeting held per quarter per ward	1'77b Public Participation Forum resolution disseminated, tracked and reported in the next meeting, 447%	77 % SPU frementions implement as per the approved
	27) Constrets Ward Constrets meetings Constree meetings Heid per Ward I (TWurds) 30 June 2026 In each ward	1	2) SPU interventions 3,00% emplorment as per the approved plan
	Despend democracy in municipality and its commarkities	Transparency and secountable governance	improved totalshable access to services
j. Profile	TO INCREASE PUBLIC INVOLVEMENT IN MAINICPA, AFAIRS	implementation of Resolution made by Resolution made by Mandates Multiple Structures and ensuring proper delegations	Demoracy
Performance Management Profile	Good Governance and Pashic Participation		

Printed On Monday, August 4, 2025

Fector on risk mitgation measures implemented inshinker ation for MMC consideration	Of a Admust report on the Revolutions Implemented on Ammust resort on the Recolutions Implemented on MALIFECTO/Council Resolutions.  MALIFECTO/Council Resolutions.  ermali.	Audit Action Plan, quarterly progress Resorts on assuss in the Audit Action Plan resolved
isk implementation as per the approved gion in all a quarters	Q.1 • Amusi report on the Revolutions implemented of MIALE SCOLLOURS Resolutions.	Audi Acton Plan brues resolved
- 1. - 2. 2. 3. 4. 4. 4. 4. 4. 4. 4. 4. 4. 4. 4. 4. 4.	1. orga	11. AAA
77% of RBA: Mitigation measures implemented by June 1.5-2026	774. The implementation of SDAT to Management! MPAC FREO Council Resolution (Departments Related) by 30 June 2026.	77% of issues identified in the autit action plan reported on/fests/bed
30 feb. wiggraforn measures instituented by June 2026	3. Implement Resolution 3.0% The resolution Supplies of \$50,000 Management Mana, (Exco / Council a) June 2026 (Debarmenta) Restrus)	32 Feedback provided on 2,00%. The desermentand Audit Action Plan.
Nata san Fraud Prevention Management municipal administration	Insidementation of Despend democracy in Insidementation and the municipality and its Mandedeer Numicipal communities.	internal Audit Activity  ***********************************

Proof of desermentan within 7 tracking of Council and Exco realistims register, A Council of A Council A Council and EVCO.		Weighting: 20 00%	Weignting: 20.00%	Strategic Sessions attendance registers	swon register	
4 Quarterly reports			OUARTERIY TARGETS	Q1-N/A Q2-Strategic Session Q3-Strategic Session		Q2-13AII Audit Register Q3-N/A G6-N/A
2426.		The state of the s	TARGET STANDARDS	1. Not applied P. Barki Competent 4. Advanced 5. Superior	2. Braid 2. Competent 4. Advanced 5. Superior	
77% OF EXCO and Council Agendas circulated within the 1 = strpulated timeframes			ANNUAL TARGET	Provide and electrivition of the leastfution and finspire and desidoy other to delive on the strategic institutional mandate.	content of the conten	e
3.00%	100.00%		WEIGHTING	10 00%	a control	
3) Circulation and tracking of EXCO and Council Agendas within the stipulated time frames		100000	NDIVIDUAL KPI	1 Stategie direction and 10.00%   Isaderatip		
			INDIVIDUAL OLYKPI N		- April	
Improved & enhanced Coversight and functioning of municipality		TENICIES	OUTCOME	which and direct a which of the helpful on and deplot and deplot of the helpful on and deplot of the helpful on the virtuels in the virtuels in the mandate mandate.	Culture	*
Implementation of Recoultions made by (Recoultions made by (Shandzed Municipal Mandach Municipal proper delegations proper delegations		DAI COMB	FOCUS AREA	Strategic Direction and Leadership	employee organisation	
	TOTAL	REHAVIOLIPAL COMPETENCIES	KPA	Institucional S Transformation and L Development		

Policy Workshop altendance register	A Attendance Registers of SEM	à Artenciaence Registers of SSM	Asproved plens
02. 02. 03- Attended policy workshop	O1: 1 SEM Meeting Attended O2: 1 SEM Meeting Attended O3: 1 SEM Meeting Attended O3: 1 SEM Meeting Attended O4: 1 SEM Meeting Attended	Q2.1.SEM Meeting Attended Q2.1.SEM Meeting Attended Q3.1.SEM Meeting Attended Q3.1.SEM Meeting Attended Q4.1.SEM Meeting Attended	Annual Target
1. Not applicable 2. Back 2. Back 2. Superior 5. Superior	1. Not resplicable B. Comparent A. Advanced S. Superor	1 Mot septicable 2 Bask 2 Bask Completent 4 Advanced 5 Superior	Not applicable     Basic     Sompetent     Advanced     Superior     Superior     Superior     Superior
Able to direct and initiate destitutional transformation or as levels in order to successfully drive and carefully drive and quality services to the community quality services to the community.	Able to critically analyse information, challenges and 1. Not speciable that the critical to establish and implement fact-shared control 1. Basil that are immorate to mistore institutional processes in 8. Longetter order to deep to the control of	Able to promote the generation and Judving of Acondage Acondage and media, and information through various processes and media, in order to enhance the collective knowledge based on local government.	Able to understand program and project management methodology plan, manage, monitor and evaluate specific activities in order to deliver on the set objectives
	A Analysis and innovation 5.00%	S (Krowiedge and Information	6 Programme and Project 10.00%. Management
Errure that Department policies over erefered amounts and without and without and without and without with and amounts with as coordinators	Well planned service delivery provisions		Frogramme and Project. Well planned service G Management defetry provisions
Improved Berlomance Management	Improved Performance Management		Good Governance and Programme and Pr Public Participation Management

4 Attendance Reguters of RMC	Report generated by Finance on Unsultocheck, tringular, Frühless and Wastelul expenditure.	4 Departmental meetings attendance registers	Proof of subministen of Departmental Budget Inputs (Email to France)
(Q. ) RMC Attended (Q. ) RMC Attended (Q. ) RMC Attended (A. ) RMC Attended	Annui target	Q1-155M Meeting Attended Q2-155M Meeting Attended Q2-155M Meeting Attended Q2-155M Meeting Attended Q2-155M Meeting Attended Q4-155M Meeting attended	Q1-N/A Q2- Q2- Q3- Q3- Q4- Q4- Q4- Q4- Q4- Q4- Q4- Q4- Q4- Q4
1. Not supkable y 2. 8-str. y 2. 8-str. y 3. 8-str. on 4. Advanced 5. Superior	1. Not applicable 2. Besic 3. Competent 4. Advanced 5. Superior 5. Superior		1. Not selektable 2. Bellektable 3. Soonsteed all 4. Advanced 5. Superior
Able to promote, direct and apply professionalism in 1. Not applicable managing the act compliance requirements and apply 13. Self-through understanding the professions restricted and 3. Completed holdsprings, the sale to direct the conceptualisation 1. Advanced of referent policies and enhance cooperative 5. Supplier governance relationships.	Able to identify moral triggers, apply reasoning that promotes honesty and integrity and consistently display behaviour that reflects moral competence.	Able to have a identation. Inconsisting and lates in a clear, focacied and concise memore appropriate for the authorise in order to effectively-convey pressuite and influence statishfunders to achieve the desired outcome.	Aghe to complete gave and manage tudgers, control cashfrow, institute frevented the anagement extension and administer procurement processes in accordance with administer processes fraction, further to ensure that all heavylesses frauncial grantees, further to ensure that all heavylesses are managed in an ethical manner.
	%00'01	10.00%	10.00%
Gevernance Leadership 10,000%	8 Morale Competence	9 Communication	1D Shancia Management
fransparency and accountable governance	Disciplined employees with ethics	communication description of communication describes information marking information of communication describes information of communication describes information describes inf	Accontable and delumination
Adhere to Good Governanco	Fraud Prevention	MANANINATIONS, MANASTING CUSTOMES CARE & PUBLC PASTICIPATION	Complianer to francial (agalation and Control)
			Financia V Qbility and Management

			r	ı"
4 Attendance Registers of AC			11 Attendance Registers of EXCO	
Q1-1 AC Meeting Attended	Q2-1. AC Meeting Attended	Q3-1 AC Meeting Attended Q4-1 AC Meeting attended	Q1-3 meetings attended Q2-2 Meetings attended G2-3 Meetings Attended G3-3 Meetings Attended G4-3 Meetings Attended	
1. Not applicable 2. Balls 2. Salorite 4. Advanced 5. Superfor			1. Not aspitezbie 2. Competent d. 4. Advanced 5. Superior	
Able to plan, prioribus and organise information and recources effectively to enrine the quality of service delivery and build effective contrigency plans to manage risk.			Able to maintain high quality standards, fronts on 1. Not applied achieves the control of persons of the control of the contro	
	•			100.00%
13 Planning and Organishing   5.00%			12 Recults and quality focus 5 000%	
Forward planning.			High Municipal Service Delivery Excellence Culture	
Plenning and Organising Forward planning			operational efficiency	
Spatial Planning and Erwicormontal Development			Basic Service Delivery	TOTAL

r and employee hereby indicate their full understanding of, and agreement with the con Mannager, THEADBURGOS MANN Sürved by: THEADBURGOS MANN Dates: 7/31/2025	d, the manage	100.00%	nding of, and ag
By signing this performance scored ZIMASA KOYANA ZIMASA KOYANA 7/29/2025			Name of employee: Signed by: Date:

# PART E: COMPETENCE - BASED INDIVIDUAL DEVELOPMENT PLAN - WEIGHTING OF 20 %

This Part of the Portement is about the development of the amployee. Development have has been divided into three aspects in order to meet all the employee work and personal development meets have been adverted for the proper and grove overly year since job demands and the broader removement as contained beinging.

The competence development in this Part E has been made measurable to stop the half of orplicyees always faiting competence areas which they have no intention of paramy and a described by the part of the accomplishment. Further, because inconsequential price has fait as expected of the subject of the subject of the part of the subject of the subject

A previous skills auch againnt competence area agreed between the employee and higher monagor is assumed. If there has been no formal skills auch done, envectded set and manager performence-based suchs will have to be used to initiate the process of finding meaningful baselines).

The following assumptions are important to fulfit, namely; 1. For the employes's Manager to;

o Pravio all resource (Inn. moren transcot et.) to afford the emblores the demand develocment
or Contacter was worth deligned, and we des minimates the demander accorded with the Remarks of Contacter and the Contacter of Contacter and the Contacter of Contacter of

# 2. For the employee to:

3. Below is the rating scale used to determine and calibrate competence targets

Name of Employee: 2 Keypnia Current, do Bede 11 High Chapter Copporate Scarlice Name of Chapter Department Where Employee: Period Covered by this Part B of the Employee's Performance Plan; f<sup>at</sup>. July, 2028 to 30° June 2028 period Covered by this Part B of the Employee's Performance Plan; f<sup>at</sup>. July, 2028 to 30° June 2028 period: Los pecific Persons Coverients—70 of Part I for the Standel part prices a spred to year it's demond search to be an it's demond search to be a specific to the stander of the period of the person of the

section 1. Yes specime Person	The Control of the Co		THE COLUMN THE PARTY OF THE PAR	200 000 000 000 000	The same of the sa	de l'une second model de	TOTAL PLANTAGE AND ADDRESS OF THE PARTY OF T	The second companies gap, to not the second						
Number sod	5	Weigh Brig of the KPI (	_		ergeled Competence Level (Tick one of these two ossible C levels)	tone of these two	Budget to achieve this	Method to be used to exquire Pris	Means of Evidence	Assessment of Con	kesesment of Competence Achievament Individual Der Levels Attained	Der Levels Attained		
Name of Identified Competence		Competence	Jerd e.g. Sprich	Competent		Advanced	compelence	compelance	Verification (NoVs)	Planned Target	Achail	Deviation	Rating score for Performance Purposes	ince Purposes
1 MA	0.0000000000000000000000000000000000000													
1 11 2	% level of competence on the 5 level scale used to the	the Slevel												
2 WA														
Sub-Total			(No. Average of Competence level in selected		Average I argeted Computer trains of in adected = 77	vet in selected = 77	44	Most Prefected Methods #	Most prefetred MOVs =	Average Planned Target = C7	Average for Actuals in this Section a.C?	Average for this Section *	Average Rading Score for this Section of Part E.	this Section of
Section 2: General Managerial.	7 Employee Personal D.	ecton 2: General Managerial Employee Personal Development - 100% of Part E. for Year 2024/25	2024/25 (there are related to Din	storele's Core Functions	In Part 8 of the Sporecar	d . Taken from the Per	These are related to Directorale's Core Functions to Part B of the Scokecard - Taken from the Psychometric Assessment Report	bot						
Sumber and			ľ	г	Level (Tick ons of these )	two possible Clevels)	Turpeted Competence Level (Tick ons of these two possible Clevels)	Market to be seen of the same day this	Manne of Coldman		Assessment of	Assessment of Combelency Achievement Findhidus Dev Levels Albined	fixidual Day Levels Attained	
Name of Identified NO Compalence	5	Weighting of the KPI i Compelence	Competence Profile (see 4.g. Competent Level.)		Advanced		competence	competence		Planned Actual		0 2	Deviation from target (reflected at financial year end)	Rating spare for Performence Purposes (at
2.1 Straingic Capability and Leadership for Public Sector Managers		140'001	Basic	Competent	32		ш	coaching, formal blanded self-adveadon with a service provider	* PJOS Dellapid 1908	Competent Basic				
<i>y</i> 4	% level of compelance on the Sievel scale		Competent		Advanced		11	coaching, formal blended self education with a service provider		Advanced Com	Comprisent			
		8.00%	Competent		Advanced		44			Advanced Com	Competent			
Sub-Toldi: Section 2			Average of Schedad 1905 Managerial Competences sevils » Competent		Abunga of Selected Manageria Terpsied Competences levels = Advented	patences levels =	ш	Noss Preferred Methods = culine or blended learning	Certified by the Accordited Psychamotric Service Provider	Average Flamed Terpet * Advanced?	Average for Actuals in this Section = Competent		Average deviation for this Section -	Average Rading Score for Section 2 of Part E -
GRAND TOTAL (Sections 1 & 2)	R		Average of Selected 190%, Managerial Competences tereta = Competent		ical of Selected Managerial Targeted Companions levels » Agranced	nices levels =	n	Word Protessed Methods = antine or blenefed learning	Most preferred MOVs = Re Planned test by Pyschemskilliciel Target = Advance	2	Average for Actuals in Section 2 = C?	*	iverage for Section 2 -	Arerage Bating Score for Dection 2 of Part E =
Employee's Name:		Emple	Employee Signature	14. 17.			Date of Signing:							
Supervising Manager's Name and Title:	s Name and Title:	Supe	Supervisors Signature				Date of Signing:							
Corporate Services Per	formance Mgt Uni	Corporate Services Performance Mgt Unit Witness's Name (Representing Performance Mgt Office);	ting Performance Mgt Of		Witness's Signature		Date of Signing							