

PERFORMANCE AGREEMENT

MADE AND ENTERED INTO BY AND BETWEEN:

SENQU MUNICIPALITY

"(Hereinafter referred to as the employer)"
Represented by

The Municipal Manager Mr Thembinkosi Mawonga

and

Mr L.B.A LEKHU

Municipal Development and Town Planning Director "(Hereinafter referred to as the employee)"

2025/2026

PERFORMANCE AGREEMENT: PART A

1. INTRODUCTION

- 1.1 The **Employer** has entered into a contract of employment with the **Employee** in terms of section 57(1) (a) of the Local Government: Municipal Systems Act 32 of 2000 ("the Systems Act"). The **Employer** and the **Employee** are hereinafter referred to as "the Parties".
- 1.2 Section 57(1) (b) (ii) of the Systems Act, read with the Contract of Employment concluded between the parties, requires the parties to conclude an annual performance agreement within one (1) month after the beginning of each financial year of the Municipality.
- 1.3 The parties wish to ensure that they are clear about the goals to be achieved and secure the commitment of both the Employer the Employee to a set of outcomes that will secure Local Government policy goals.
- 1.4 Section 57(1) (a) (b), (4A), (4B), (4C) and (5) of the Systems Act; No 32 of 2000 and subsequent amendments (the Systems Act, No Act 7 of 2011), read with the Contract of Employment concluded between the parties, requires the parties to conclude an annual performance agreement within 60 days after the beginning of the financial year. The updated Performance review of the previous year will occur no later than July each year.
- 1.5 In its comprehensive version, this Performance Agreement is made up of five components, namely.
 - 1.5.1 PART A: The generic contextualizing agreement, which is not to be measured / assessed for performance but rather sets the legal and relationship context and stage for all other subsequent assessable sections / parts of this performance agreement.
 - 1.5.2 PART B (Approximate weighting = 80%): Employee's Core Contributory Performance Top Layer SDBIP-Based Scorecard Issues. It is the Performance Plan for which this executive employee alone leads and is accountable for achieving the specific and unique outcomes set out for his / her department across the whole municipality in the Top Layer SDBIP. Part B does not focus on outcomes that a generic for all executives performed within and for their departments. It is the first measurable Part, wherein measurable targets in all unique Strategic (from the TL SDBIP) and Functional (From Job Profiles) outcomes and objectives have been set. For brevity, these outcomes and objectives must come from all only those KPAs wherein the executive employee's work cannot be generalized with that of her / his other executive peers (in Part C below). The individual executive employee targets are based on the (i) Top Layer (Institutional) and (ii) Departmental /Directorate SDBIPs objectives and targets wherein the

- section 57 Manager plays a unique significant leadership contribution (iii) whatever strategic targets set in the Executive's Employment Contract Performance Clause.
- 1.5.3 PART C (approximate weighting = 0%): This is a crucial part of the executive employee's scorecard. It measures those aspects of work that all executives at this level are expected to perform on and achieve working as Heads for their departments. Since all executive directors do most of their work through other employees below them (subordinate / divisional managers), at this executive level, this Part C carries the greatest weighting of all the other scorecards in the Performance Agreement. It plans out and measures all departmental level strategic (in the departmental SDBIP) and generic / core managerial competence areas largely found in the functional list of the executive's COGTA Job Profile list of activities and outputs. Though most important, these core managerial competences (CMC and Critical Competence Requirements (CCRs), these are not unique. They are generic competences and performances that every section 57 executive employee must perform and be assessed on. These cover all 6 KPAs though mostly weighted heaviest on KPAs 3 (Financial Management & Viability); KPA 4: Institutional Transformation and Municipal Development) and KPA 5: Good Governance & Public These come from annually selected and prioritized Core Managerial Competences (CMCs) and Core Competency Requirements (CCRs). This selection process in this year has been streamlined to pick and use only those aspects which are already listed in the various Directors & MM's Job Profiles combined with those leadership roles implied in achieving each of the Top Layer SDBIP objectives and targets unique to the department that the executive leads.
- 1.5.4 PART D (0%): Antecedent Input Behaviours. These are strategically winning behaviours that define what an individual must do in order that they succeed in leading strategic performance in the municipality and in their department. These have been given a weighting of zero - meaning they will be understood to be applicable in future but are not measured this Financial Year as a way of not slowing down the overwhelming parts of change management. In the years when these will be measured, their weighting will be borrowed from Parts B and C above so that this Part D weighs a maximum of 15% and still retain the overall weighting of Parts B, C & D at 80. The logic of including Part D Scorecard in the Performance Agreement is that, any manager or any employee's performance is enhanced or reduced by certain behavioral habits / practices that they adopt and display, for example, how they manage time, how the make decisions, how they build, lead or work in teams to mention a few. To ensure that these winning antecedent behaviours are always at their most positive to impact performance, KPIs and Targets are set in this Part to measure the institutionally selected behaviours. The selection of the top five or so can be agreed at executive management level or assigned to the MM and her / his delegated director (like Corporate Services Director where Individual Performance resides).

- 1.5.5 PART E (20%): Competence based Individual Development or Learning Plan (CBIDP). These are commonly called Personal Development Plans (PDPs) or Individual Development Plans (IDPs). Different from previous practices in many organisations, in this Part E, these competence development areas will be planned and measured against (i) competence gaps in fulfilling current job requirements and performances (roughly 40% of this Part E) (ii) generic individual managerial or developmental competence / performance gaps (roughly 40% weighting of this Part E) (iii) life development goals (at 20% weighting at own cost but leave time allowed for examinations). Unlike in Senqu's previous practices on the PDPs, and unlike what many other municipalities where PDPs are just a "wish list", whose actual achievement does not impact the employee's performance, in this case these Competence-Based Individual Development Plans CBIDPs have set targets and will constitute 20% of the overall performance of that employee in line with the provisions of the Skills Dev Act.
- 1.5.6 This Performance Agreement cannot be interpreted as if it is replaceable by the Performance Clause in the Executive's 5 Year Contract of Employment as some do at times. This agreement is an annualized sub-set through whose cumulative performance measurement and tracking, whatever the executive would have committed to deliver in 5 years gets achieved.

2. PURPOSE OF THIS AGREEMENT

The purpose of this Agreement, as agreed by both parties, is to, inter alia.

- comply with the provisions of Section 57(1)(b), (4A),(4B) and (5) of the Act as well as the employment contract entered into between the parties;
- 2.2 specify objectives and targets defined and agreed with the employee and to communicate to the employer's expectations of the employee's performance and accountabilities in alignment with the Integrated Development Plan, Service Delivery and Budget Implementation Plan (SDBIP) and the Budget of the Municipality.
- 2.3 specify accountabilities as set out in a performance plan, which forms an annexure to the performance agreement.
- 2.4 monitor and measure performance against set targeted outputs.
- 2.5 use the performance agreement as the basis for assessing whether the employee has met the performance expectations applicable to his or her job.

- 2.6 in the event of outstanding performance, to appropriately reward the employee; and
- 2.7 give effect to the employer's commitment to a performance-orientated relationship with its employee in attaining equitable and improved service delivery.

3 COMMENCEMENT AND DURATION

- 3.1 Notwithstanding the date of signature hereto, this Agreement will commence on the 1st July 2025 and will remain in force until 30th June 2026. Thereafter, a new Performance Agreement, made up of the Performance Plan (the four parts B, C, & D Scorecards) and Personal Development Plan (CBIDP) shall be concluded between the parties for the next financial year or any portion thereof along the lines explained above.
- 3.2 The parties will review all the provisions of this Performance Agreement against its own efficaciousness as well as against existing and / or new local government policy changes in June of each year as part of the Performance Management System Annual Review. The parties will conclude a new Performance Agreement and Performance Plan that replaces this Agreement at least once a year by not later than 31st July of each successive financial year.
- 3.3 This Agreement will terminate on the termination of the **Employee**'s contract of employment for any reason.
- 3.4 The content of this Agreement may be revised at any time during the above-mentioned period to redetermine the applicability of the matters agreed upon.
- 3.5 If at any time during the validity of this Agreement, the work environment alters (whether as a result of Government or Council decisions or otherwise) to the extent that the contents of this Agreement are no longer appropriate, the contents shall immediately be revised.
- 3.6 All revisions and amendments of this Agreement must be **immediately** noted and **immediately** counter-signed by the two agreeing parties, namely, the Employer and the Employee.

- 4 PERFORMANCE OBJECTIVES, KEY PERFORMANCE INDICATORS (KPIs), BASELINES AND TARGETS
 - 4.1 Mutually aligned performance objectives, related KPIs, their KPI related baselines and targets form the basis and value chain of any strategic performance management system of any organisation like the municipality.
 - 4.2 They are kept in proper alignment through a Performance Plan as described below.
 - 4.3 The full Performance Plan or Scorecard (Parts B, C, D & E) sets out-
 - 4.1.1 the performance objectives and targets that must be met by the **Employee**; and
 - 4.1.2 the time frames within which those performance objectives and targets must be met.
 - 4.4 The performance objectives and targets reflected in (Parts B, C and D) as well as the Part E: (Competence Based Individual Development Plan (CBIDP) are set by the Employer in consultative agreement (hence the term Performance Agreement) with the Employee and are all based on or clearly aligned to the approved Integrated Development Plan, Top Layer Service Delivery and Budget Implementation Plan (TL SDBIP) and the Budget of the Employer and shall include key strategic and functional objectives; key performance indicators; target dates, weightings to reflect urgency and prioritisation, resource requirements, Means of Evidence Verification (MOVs).
 - 4.2.1 The key objectives describe the main intended achievements that need to be accomplished as derived from the Institutional (Top Layer) and Departmental SDBIPs wherein the Director makes their contribution in the Municipality.
 - 4.2.2 The key performance indicators provide the details of the evidence that must be provided to show that a key objective has been achieved. Key here means that there are many indicators from where one can chose, so the choice must prioritise only the few that will move forward the strategic objectives the most.
 - 4.2.3 The baselines are very important and must be decided consistent with language and units implied in the performance indicator when measurement of the indicator starts at the beginning of the year.

- 4.2.4 The **target**s describe the quantity or quality of the performance achieved in that objective and key performance indicator. In most cases, because the timeframe for achieving such a target is understood to be quarterly, semi-annually or annually, timeframes are not mentioned beyond these terms or columns.
- 4.2.5 The **weightings** in % show the importance of the key performance indicators and their targets relative to each other. They are crucial in computing the weighted average ratings at the end of period (quarterly, semi-annually, or annually). It is prudent to always work with an internal total weighting of 100% for each Scorecard (be it Part B, C, D or E).
- 4.5 The Employee's performance will, always, be measured in terms of contributions to the goals and strategies set out in the Employer's Integrated Development Plan and other sub-ordinate plans and projects that support the achievement of this IDP.

5 THE MUNICIPALITY'S PERFORMANCE MANAGEMENT SYSTEM

- 5.2 The Employee accepts that the purpose of the performance management system is to provide a comprehensive system with specific performance standards and targets to assist the Employer, Management and Municipal staff to perform to reach the standards required for each local government KPA.
- 5.3 The Employer will consult and support the Employee about all aspects required to achieve the specific performance standards that will be included in the performance management system as applicable to the Employee.
- The Employee undertakes to actively focus towards the promotion and implementation of all the KPAs, objectives and KPIs (including special projects relevant to the employee's responsibilities) within the Local Government framework.
- 5.5 The criteria upon which the performance of the Employee shall be assessed shall consist of two components, both of which shall be contained in the Performance Agreement.

- 5.5.1 The Employee must be assessed against both components, with a weighting of 80:20 allocated to the Key Performance Areas, Objectives and Targets set in PARTS B, C, & D (when Part D is being measured) and the Competence Based Individual Development Plans (CBIDP in lieu of the usual PDP) based on prioritized Learning & Development Requirements (CCRs) respectively.
- 5.5.2 Each area of assessment (as per the four Parts described above) will be weighted and will contribute a specific part to the total score accordingly.
- 5.5.3 KPAs covering the main areas of work (PARTS B, C & D) will account for 80% and selected Developmental CRs (in the PART E – CBIDP) will account for 20% of the final assessment.
- 5.5.4 The total score must be determined using the rating calculator which will always be appropriately calibrated to accommodate all the three or four measurable scorecards and the weighting given to each KPI and target within each KPA as found in the four measurable scorecards, namely, Parts B, C, D and E. (This year Part D has been left out for introduction next year).
- 5.6 The Employee's assessment will be based on his / her performance in terms of the outputs / outcomes (measured through the performance indicators and their related targets) identified as per attached Performance Plan (PARTS B, C & D), which are collectively and distributively linked to all the 6 KPA's, and will constitute 80% of the overall assessment result as per the weightings agreed to between the Employer and Employee. The weightings are set based, firstly, on this director's key job profiles which specify key activities / roles assigned to the incumbent as the director (HOD) of the department in their pursuit of each their own core KPAs, notwithstanding that this director, like all others must play supportive roles in the work done in other KPAs (signified by varying weighting each year).
- 5.7 The weightings shown below, while changeable to align with both the prevailing strategic and functional thrusts that this director must pursue, they must align with the average weighting per KPA assigned to each of the 80% Scorecards (Parts B and C combined). The Table below shows the weightings agreed for this current year for this director.

Key Performance Areas (KPA's)	Weighting
Basic Service Delivery	39%
Local Economic Development (LED)	18%
Municipal Financial Viability and Management	24%

Key Performance Areas (KPA's)	Weighting
Good Governance and Public Participation	12%
Spatial Planning and Environmental Development	10%
Total	100%

- 5.7 In the case of managers / directors directly accountable to the Municipal Manager, the weighting of the key performance areas related to the strategic and functional area of the relevant Manager, will always be subject to negotiation between the Municipal Manager and the relevant manager / director. These agreed weightings must be translated to the top of each KPA in the actual Plan templates (PARTS B, C & D).
- The developmental Competency Requirements (CR) (learning requirements in the CBIDP) will make up part of the other 20% of the Employee's assessment score. CRs that are deemed to be most critical for the Employee's specific job should be selected (√) from the list below as agreed to between the Employer and Employee. Three of the CRs are compulsory for Municipal Managers. These Leading CRs make PART C Generic / Core Managerial Competences (which are common to every Director / Senior Manager as agreed. A selection of Optional Core Competencies is selected each year and will form part of the Antecedent Input Behaviours (as explained earlier).
- In this year's Performance Agreement, all of the critical and desired competency requirements have mostly been taken care of through Part C built largely on the directors' Job Profiles, which, coming from COGTA have already selected which of the CRs are important. So the table below is only a guide not a prescription for the KPIs and targets set out in Part C.

executives and managers at the same level!		
LEADING COMPETENCIES	1	WEIGHT
Strategic Direction and Leadership	V	10%
People Management	7	10%
Program and Project Management	\ \sqrt{}	10%
Financial Management	1	10%
Change Leadership	- V	10%
Governance Leadership	√ V	10%
CORE COMPETENCIES		
Moral Competence		10%
Planning and Organising	V	10%

CORE COMPETENCY REQUIREMENTS (CCRs) FOR EMPLOYEES – Same Weighting to executives and managers at the same level!			
LEADING COMPETENCIES	√	WEIGHT	
Analysis and Innovation	V	10%	
Knowledge and Information Management	\ \ \ \	10%	
Communication	V	0%	
Results and Quality Focus	V	0%	
Total percentage	*	100%	

6. EVALUATING PERFORMANCE

- 6.1 The Performance Plan Scorecards (Parts B, C and D) to this Agreement each set out -
 - 6.1.1 the standards and procedures for evaluating the Employee's performance; and
 - 6.1.2 the intervals for the evaluation of the Employee's performance.
- 6.2 Despite the establishment of agreed intervals for evaluation, the Employer may in addition, review the Employee's performance at any stage while the contract of employment remains in force, subject to sufficient notice and reasons for justifying the variation being given to the employee.
- 6.3 Personal growth and development needs identified during any performance review discussion must be documented in a Competence-Based Individual Development Personal Development Plan as well as the actions agreed to and implementation must take place within set time frames.
- 6.4 The Employee's performance will be measured in terms of contributions to the goals and strategies set out in the Employer's IDP and subordinate TL SDBIP and Departmental SDBIP and operational plans.
- 6.5 The annual performance appraisal will involve:

6.5.1 Assessment of the achievement of results as outlined in the performance plan:

(a) Each KPA should be assessed according to the extent to which the specified standards or performance targets set for each key performance indicator (KPI) have been met and with due regard to ad hoc tasks that had to be performed under the KPA.

- (b) An indicative rating on the five-point scale should be provided for each target first and then aggregated for each KPA.
- (c) The applicable assessment rating calculator (refer to paragraph 6.5.3 below) must then be used to add the scores and calculate a final weighted average rating for each KPA score.

6.5.2 Assessment of the Competency Requirements

- (a) Each Competency Requirement should be assessed according to the extent to which the specified standards (based on the standard being treated as a KPI and calibrated targets set, agreed and subsequently met).
- (b) An indicative rating on the five-point scale should be provided for each selected CR based on set KPIs and related targets.
- (c) This rating should be multiplied by the weighting given to each selected CR during the contracting process, to provide a score.
- (d) The applicable assessment rating calculator (refer to paragraph 6.5.1) must then be used to add the scores and calculate a final CR score.

6.5.3 Overall rating

An overall rating is calculated by using the applicable assessment-rating calculator, Such overall rating represents the outcome of the performance appraisal.

- The calibration of all set targets in each section / PART of the Performance agreement as well as the subsequent assessment of the performance attained by the Employee for each target will be based on the following rating scale for KPA's and the selected Competence Requirements (CRs) as reflected Part C taking from the Directors' Job Profiles which in turn have drawn them from the following CRs.
- 6.7 Below is the local government municipal regulations rating scale that each municipality has adopted.

Level	Terminology	Description		Rating			
-	Torumology	Description	1	2	3	4	5
5	Outstanding performance	Performance far exceeds the standard expected of an employee at this level. The appraisal indicates that the Employee has achieved above fully effective results against all performance criteria and indicators as specified in the PA and Performance plan and maintained this in all areas of responsibility throughout the year.					
4	Performance significantly above expectations	Performance is significantly higher than the standard expected in the job. The appraisal indicates that the Employee has achieved above fully effective results against more than half of the performance criteria and indicators and fully achieved all others throughout the year.					
3	Fully effective	Performance fully meets the standards expected in all areas of the job. The appraisal indicates that the Employee has fully achieved effective results against all significant performance criteria and indicators as specified in the PA and Performance Plan.		**			
2	Not fully effective	Performance is below the standard required for the job in key areas. Performance meets some of the standards expected for the job. The review/assessment indicates that the employee has achieved below fully effective results against more than half the key performance criteria and indicators as specified in the PA and Performance Plan.					

Level .	Terminology Description		Rating				***************************************
mese:	remanology	- Description	1	2	3	4	5
		Performance does not meet the standard expected			J	L	.l
		for the job. The review/assessment indicates that					
		the employee has achieved below fully effective					
		results against almost all of the performance criteria					
	Unacceptable	and indicators as specified in the PA and					
1	performance	Performance Plan. The employee has failed to					
		demonstrate the commitment or ability to bring					
		performance up to the level expected in the job					
		despite management efforts to encourage					
		improvement.					

- 6.7 For purposes of evaluating the annual performance of the Municipal Manager, an evaluation panel constituted of the following persons must be established moderate the ratings first agreed between the Employee i.e. the MM and their supervisor (Executive Mayor)-
 - 6.7.1 Executive Mayor or Mayor.
 - 6.7.2 Chairperson of the performance audit Committee or the Audit Committee in the absence of a performance audit committee.
 - 6.7.3 Member of the Mayoral or Executive Committee or in respect of a plenary type of Municipality, another member of Council.
 - 6.7.4 Mayor and/or Municipal Manager from another Municipality; and
 - 6.7.5 Member of a Ward Committee as nominated by the Executive Mayor or Mayor.
- 6.8 For purposes of evaluating the annual performance of Managers directly accountable to the Municipal Managers, an evaluation panel constituted of the following persons must be established;
 - 6.8.1 The Municipal Manager.
 - 6.8.2 Chairperson of the performance Audit Committee or the Audit Committee in the absence of a performance Audit Committee.
 - 6.8.3 Member of the Mayoral or Executive Committee or in respect of a plenary type of Municipality, another member of Council; and
 - 6.8.4 another Municipal Manager from another Municipality.
- 6.9 The Manager responsible for Human Resources of the Municipality must provide secretariat services to the evaluation panels referred to in sub-regulations (6.7) and (6.8).

7. SCHEDULE FOR PERFORMANCE REVIEWS

- 7.1 Before the on-set of the Performance Management Cycle, all targets in PARTS B, C, and D must be calibrated against the rating scale of 1 5 in order to minimize common subjectivity that occurs when assessments are done during appraisal time.
- 7.2 The performance of each **Employee** in relation to his / her performance agreement shall be reviewed on the following dates with the understanding that reviews in the first and third quarter may be verbal if performance is satisfactory:

First quarter:	July – September	Period Review Report Concluded on
Second quarter	: October – December	Period Review Report Concluded on
Third quarter: Ja	inuary – March P	Period Review Report Concluded on
Fourth quarter:	April – June F	Period Review Report Concluded on

- 7.2 During these review sessions, targets are scored or rated, the targets may also be renegotiated and adjusted in re-alignment to the available budgets and other prevailing environmental conditions as necessary. The Employer shall keep a record of the mid-year review and annual assessment meetings and scores for cumulative averaging at the end of each year as provided by the policy.
- 7.3 Performance feedback shall be based on the Employer's assessment of the Employee's performance done in mutual agreement with the employee.
- 7.4 The Employer will be entitled to review and make reasonable changes to the provisions of all PARTS "B, C & D & E" from time to time for operational reasons. The Employee will be fully consulted before any such change is made.
- 7.5 The Employer may amend the provisions of PARTS B, C, and D & E whenever the performance management system is adopted, implemented and / or amended as the case may be. In that case the Employee will be fully consulted and ideally be in agreement before any such change is made. Both parties will sign-off any amendments made as such agreements are legally binding on both parties.

8. DEVELOPMENTAL REQUIREMENTS

The Competence-Based Individual Development Plan (CBIDP) in lieu of the normal Personal Development Plan (PDP) for addressing developmental gaps is attached as Part E as annexed.

9. OBLIGATIONS OF THE EMPLOYER

- 9.1 The Employer shall -
 - 9.1.1 create an enabling environment to facilitate effective performance by the employee.
 - 9.1.2 provide access to skills development and capacity building opportunities.
 - 9.1.3 work collaboratively with the Employee to solve problems and generate solutions to common problems that may impact on the performance of the Employee.
 - 9.1.4 on the request of the Employee delegate such powers reasonably required by the Employee to enable him / her to meet the performance objectives and targets established in terms of this Agreement; and
 - 9.1.5 make available to the Employee such resources as the Employee may reasonably require from time to time to assist him / her to meet the performance objectives and targets established in terms of this Agreement.

10. CONSULTATION

- 10.1 The Employer agrees to consult the Employee timeously where the exercising of the Employer and / or Employee's delegated powers will have amongst others, a direct effect on the performance of any of the Employee's key functions, in particular;
 - 10.1.1 at the initial agreement of this document called the Performance Agreement (Parts A, B, C, D and E), it is envisaged that consultative discussions will be held and that the final signed off agreement will be signed for each part to signify that there was discussion and consensus for each part of this agreement. It is envisaged that the employee will prepare and present to the Employer a draft Performance Agreement (in all its agreed Parts showing calibrated targets for each scorecard) for discussion at a timely agreed date and time. Once agreed, dated and signed by both parties, the Performance Agreement is deemed to be legally binding to both parties, such that no party shall vary any part of it without consultation and agreement with the other Party.
 - 10.1.2 at every performance appraisal / review session (quarterly in line with the municipal performance regulations, unless agreed otherwise within the municipality's Performance Management policy),

the Employee shall present their own self rating scores and reasons for discussion and comparing notes with the Employer (who will also have already scored the employee independently prior to the meeting). In this meeting notes are being compared, agreements on performance levels to be maintained, or improved agreed with clear additional support for the employee being agreed. Such support will include necessary coaching and mentoring, training and development arrangement. It is at these formal review / appraisal sessions that any targets may be adjusted, in writing and all changes co-signed against this initial agreement by both parties indicating reasons for such a change.

- 10.1.3 continuously commit the Employee to implement or to give effect to a decision made by the Employer that affect and are affected by this agreement;
- 10.1.4 continuously commit the Employer to render all necessary support (resources, emotional, intellectual and physical) as may be reasonably needed by the employee to optimally perform what has been agreed in this Performance Agreement; and
- 10.1.5 that has a substantial financial effect on the Employer.
- 10.2 The Employer agrees to inform the Employee of any outcome of any decisions taken outside the consultation with the employee, with regards to the implementation of this Performance Agreement pursuant to the exercise of powers contemplated in 10.1 above as soon as is practicable, as part of the Employer's coaching roles in order to enable the Employee to take any necessary action without delay. It is envisaged that such decisions will be rare and mostly coming from outside the municipal administration sphere like council, COGTA, National Treasury, SALGA etc.

11. MANAGEMENT OF EVALUATION OUTCOMES

- 11.1 The final evaluation of the Employee's performance will be conclusively pronounced by the selected panel as envisaged in section 27 (d) of the Performance Regulation, also recapped in sub-sections 6.7 and 6.8 in this document above. The panel will perform a moderating role on all signed performance appraisal reports of each review session held and agreed between the Employer and Employee in the course of the year. For administrative fairness, both the Employer and the Employee should always be present or at least represented to clarify any issues that the panel may wish to have clarified.
- 11.2 Based on the final scores / ratings presented to and confirmed by the panel, a performance bonus of between 5% to 14% of the total remuneration package may be paid to the Employee (subject to the policy and the resources available to the municipality as stated in the Systems Act) in recognition

of outstanding performance that the municipality wishes to sustain and also in line with the provisions of the municipal performance management regulations. Such reward will be constituted as follows:

- 11.2.1 a rating of 4 out of the 5 point rating scale is given a score of between 130% to 149% is awarded a performance bonus ranging from 5% to 9%; and
- 11.2.2 a rating of 5 out of the 5 point rating scale is given a score of between 150% and above is awarded a performance bonus ranging from 10% to 14%.
- N.B.: It should be noted that the rating process (against the 5 point scale) must always happen first before the use of the percentage equivalents ranging from 70% - 166%+ because not all targets can be calibrated up to 166%+, so it is better to rate first on the numbers and use the % as qualifiers as shown below.
- 11.3 In the case of unacceptable performance, the Employer shall -
 - 11.3.1 On confirming performance shortfalls, immediately provide systematic remedial or developmental support to assist the Employee to improve his or her performance; and
 - 11.3.2 After appropriate performance counselling and having provided the necessary guidance and/ or support as well as reasonable time for improvement in performance, the Employer may consider steps to terminate the contract of employment of the Employee on grounds of unfitness or incapacity to carry out his or her duties.

12.0 MANAGEMENT OF EVALUATION OUTCOMES

12.1 The evaluation of the Employee's performance shall form the basis for rewarding outstanding and excellent performance only (ratings 4 and 5) or correcting unacceptable performance (Ratings 2 and below) as reflected in the table below –

Rating (out of the 5 point scale)	% Score (for those instances where performance is meaningfully stretchable beyond 100%)	Equivalent on a Usual 100% Maximum (where performance can never be meaningfully calibrated beyond 100%)	% of annual package payable as a performance Bonus
4.00 - 4.19	130.0% - 133.8%	(65.0% - 66.9%)	5%
4.20 – 4.39	134.0% – 137.8%	(67.0% - 68.9%)	6%

4.40 - 4.59	138.0% - 141.8%	(69.0% - 70.9%)	7%
4.60 – 4.79	142.0% - 145.8%	(71.0% - 72.9%)	8%
4.80 – 4.99	146.0% - 149.8%	(73.0% - 74.9%)	9%
5.00 - 5.19	150.0% - 152.8%	(75.0% - 76.4%)	10%
5.20 - 5.39	153.0% - 155.8%	(76.5% - 77.9%)	11%
5.40 - 5.59	156.0% - 158.8%	(78.0% - 79.4%)	12%
5.60 - 5.79	159.0% - 161.8%	(79.5% - 80.9%)	13%
5.80 – 5.99	162% - 164.8% +	(81.0% - 82.4%) +	14%

- 12.1.1 At the end of the 4th quarter, the Executive Authority will determine, based on the final panel ratings based on the rating / scoring table above, if the s56 / s57 Director / manager is eligible for a performance bonus as envisaged in his/her contract of employment based on the bonus allocations shown in the table above.
- 12.2 In the case of unacceptable performance, the Employer shall -
 - 12.2.1 Provide systematic remedial or developmental support to assist with **Employee** to improve his or her performance; and
 - 12.2.2 After appropriate performance counseling and having provided the necessary guidance and/or support as well as reasonable time for improvement in performance, the Employer may consider steps to firstly shift the employee to another position within the municipality (if possible). If that does not help, then and only then will the Employer invoke procedures to terminate the contract of employment of the Employee on grounds of unfitness or incapacity to competently perform out his or her duties.
 - 12.2.3 Nothing contained in this Agreement in any way limits the right of the Municipality to terminate the Director's contract of employment with or without notice for any other breach by the Director of his obligations to the Municipality or for any other valid reason in line with fair labour practice and law.

13 MERITS AWARDS

13.1.1 Merit awards for Section 56 employees are determined by performance against targets. Once performance criteria have been established, performance targets are reviewed regularly. At the end of the financial year, actual performance is compared against the agreed performance targets to determine the magnitude of the merit increase. The merit increase is calculated as a percentage of the total annual package of the employee, as indicated in the table hereunder.

Score / 200	Merit
130 to 141 (65%-70%)	1% of total package
142 to 149 (71% - 74%)	2% of total package
150 to 161 (75%-80%)	3% of total package

162 to 165 (81 – 82%)	4% of total package
166+ (83% +)	5% of total package

13.1.2 Merit awards are subject to policy and Budgetary provisions made on an annual basis the merit bonuses may be paid as a 'once off' payment or at agreed quarterly intervals i.e. over a number of months.

14 DISPUTE RESOLUTION

- 14.1 Any disputes about the nature of the Municipal Manager's performance agreement, whether it relates to key responsibilities, priorities, methods of assessment and/ or any other matter provided for, shall be mediated by —
 - 14.1.1 The MEC for Local Government in the province within thirty (30) days of receipt of a formal dispute from the Employee; or
 - 14.1.2 Any other person appointed by the MEC.
 - 14.1.3 In the case of Managers directly accountable to the Municipal Manager, a member of the Municipal Council, provided that such member was not part of the evaluation panel provided for in sub-regulation 27(4)(e) of the Municipal Performance Regulations, 2006, within thirty (30) days of receipt of a formal dispute from the employee.
- 14.2.1 In the event that the mediation process contemplated above fails, clause 20.3 of the Contract of Employment shall apply.

15. GENERAL

- 15.1 The contents of this agreement and the outcome of any review conducted in terms of Annexure A may be made available to the public by the Employer.
- 15.2 Nothing in this agreement diminishes the obligations, duties, or accountabilities of the Employee in terms of his/ her contract of employment, or the effects of existing or new regulations, circulars, policies, directives or other instruments.
- 15.3 The performance assessment results of the Municipal Manager must be submitted to the MEC responsible for Local Government in the relevant province as well as the National Minister responsible for Local Government, within fourteen (14) days after the conclusion of the assessment.

MUNICIPAL MANAGER

9
7
2
2
Œ
ö
2
4
ပ္က
2
0
ပ္က
ш
O
3
Š
ō
RFOR
E
0
7
3
ă
2
9

Press. Pressis Weighting: 80.00%	MANAS OF ENDENCE VERIFICATION Land Acquisition Strategy submitted to MM. for in-clusion in the Council Agends for approval (via email) inclusion in the Council Agends for approval (via email)	Proof of emailed submission of Plans for approval to the MM
PERSONAL CODE: PROVINCE/CLUSTER/LWIT: FINANCIAL YEAR:	4th Quarter	dth Quarter
NOWDUAL PERFORMANCE PLAN	1 = <1.2% TARGIT STATIOARDS	236. 1 - <47%
	AMUAL TARGET. (Land Acquisition Strategy: by 30th May 2026.,	Developed freshaved 77% Strategies by 3Gth May 2036. 1 = <43%
	900%	%500
	of the strategies.	2 Develop/review plangized Development plangized Development plangized Development and the Noulang Sector Plan by 30 June 2026.
ECARD: FY2		N N
PLANNING S	Service Delivery High Municipal Service Delivery Excellence Culture	High Municipal Service Delivery Eccelence Culture
INDIVIDUAL PERFORMANCE ISPACTIE NAME: Ichograp Lebiu Disministration (Indicator Lebiu	Service Delivery	Service Delivery
INDIVIDUA EMPLOTE NAME: JOS TITLE, UNE MARRIENET THE ORGANISATIONAL ORGANISATIONAL PARENTE OF THE PARENTE OF THE ORGANISATIONAL PARENTE OF THE PARENTE OF TH	Basic Service Delivery	

Approved stan	Proof of submission to Technical Services (Roads and Stormwater Manager) by the Deservice (English of disagned off by the Disector (English)	Proof of submission to Technical Services (Boats and Stormwater Nanageribe the Organizational Configurator signed off by the Director (Ernal)
4th Ouarter	Q1+0/A	D-W/A
78 1 < not even a draft available 2 - First draft available but not yet approved 3 - submitted for approvel on lest due date.	₩. (-) - (-	1 - 47%
Approval of the Concildation Plan of the D.I.C. Erven 78 1. x < not even a draft available and 80 by June 2006. 2 = First draft available but not 1 2 = First draft available but not 1 3 = submitted for approval on is	Avail Thy at departmental vehicles as per the ccheckule.	77% of Falk wysteing Breakdowns and Arcidents must be reported within 74 bours of accurance.
3 Approved Consolisation 3.00% Plan for the DLTC exceed 78 an 80 by June 2025.	A Reture to saved down 3,000% Debt very vehicles per incident (Maintonnrcz)	S Reduces the stand down 3.00% Reduces of all Service Devices venticles per Incident (Beastdown, Fault warning and Accidents)
		High Municipal Service Defervy Encelence Culture
Service Delivery	Service Delivery	Service Delivery

Registers	Proof of submission to Customer Care of feedback on departments institute an areained from Utationer Care (via erinal).	Concest decuments developed Attendence register
02-NA	Q2-N/A	Q1-Develop concept document Q2-Senqu Biskines Eupo
1 = <4.5%	1 - «(2)»	1 = <42%
77% of Permission to Occupy (PTO) lasued to beneficiaries of efformal settlement	77% of feedback on the exclained departmental related matters responded to in 45 hours	Host Speny Business & Expre precasion to Smott Arts Festival by November 2025.
3.00%	360	I flost Seeou Business & 3.00%. Frop pressors to Seriou Arts traitwal by Consberr (November 2003).
		ing Numitad Service 8 to Delivery Excellence Art Colliure
Service Delivery	Service Delivery	Local Economic (LDS) strategy (Machine Conditions and Implementation Drecologists (Conditions) (

Annuair goots on the number of jobs created through LED influitees submitted to the MMN/ula email).	Register of compliant businesses	Priod of SLikklend contract of the Investor submitted to the MHklus cmall
07-11/a	V MA A	CD-14/A
1 - 4236	1 > 4238	1 < not even a draft evallable 2 - First draft available but not yet approved
g.	77% Complaint Bualvesses within Sentau UM	To Sitteric one (1) investor
9.0009	30 Correspient Businesses 5,000% within Sernqu LM	11 fo efferent one (1) major 5.00% investors by \$0.3 ume 2026
	Nigh Municipal Service Deleave Escraterre Culture	High Municipal Service Outsure Cutture
LED Strategy Implementation	implementation	In Stategor Implementation

52
ĸ
R.
2
ž
ģ
ě
ě

ो अहित्यन्त वर्षि प्रकटन	2. Proof of Approve to Manager (email).	1 Signed of eveloation forms	2. Proof of submission to Finance (register).	Attendance registers for each meeting attended	
Y/W TD	∀/₩ -2D.	₹Ar10	75-47.V	Y/N-t0	GPM/A
] + < [25]		1 = <42%		1 = 42%	
Approxe 77% of departmental specifications as per the 1 = <12% procurement plan.		Svaluate 77% of performance all service providers per involce by June 2026 (involces above 630 000)		Afterid 17% Bd Committee meetings reflected in the opproved schedule.	
		*400		3,00%	
D) Approve departmental 3,00% specifications		13 Complete walkulion of 3 performance of service providers per involce.		14 Adhere to Bid Committee meetings schedde	
Help Municipal Service Deberty Excelence Culture		mem. High Muniqual Service Culture Culture		Property High Municipal Sarvice Cuffure Cuffure	
Financial Management Management		Financial Management		Financial Management	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,

Expenditure report of the 2035-2036 Operational Budger reflecting departmental spending (system generated).	Expenditure report of the 2025-2026 Capital Budger (reflecting departmental spending (system generated).	Report greecered by Finance on Unaudible 6ed. Friegular, Fruitless and Wasteful expenditure.
7/NZ0	CD-WA	V/N*CO
	D 3 - 4254	3 - 44278
77% Eusendiaus of the 2025-5026 Operational Budget. 1 = 442% by 30 June 2026	77% Expenditure of the 2025-2026 Capital Budget by 30 1= <43% June 2026.	Reduct by SDK unauthoristick, freighter, fruitless and vesiteful seperations by 30 June 2026. [Deputmental)
Is Expenditure of the 2025- 300%, 2020 Operational Budget by 30 iums 2026 Budget by 30 iums 2026		Tregue musthorised 3,00% regular Indiess and wasteful expenditure
Hggs Municipal Service Definery Excellence Culture	High Manicipal Service Culture	High Municipal Service Delivery Excellence Culture
Financial Management	Flandsi Maragement	Financial Masagement

Proof of Annual Report on the number of opportunities of opportunities of the control to the capture of the cap	Signed leake agreements	1. Submission register
Annual Target, Register for musicipal properties transferred to the tighted owners for proper billing developed by 30 june 2026.	Asimust target	Q1-N/A
1 c not even a dreft available 2 - First draft available but not vet approved 3 - s ubmitted for approved on feet the deles.	1 = 447%	1 = 4236
Signed agreements/SC reports	77% Sgindi lesis agreements	Approve of 17% blenfilled departmental training needs. by 30 Occember 2025.
8	3,0004	20 Approve identified 3.60%, departmental it skilbig needs.
Financiai Management High Municiai Service Otheway Excellence Cultura	Fisancial Menagement. They Municipal Service Delivery Screllence Culture	Interest Performance To promote the right of Management Nanagement To promote the right of Anagement To prom
Finances M		Instructional imprend p. Transforment Development

to descriptions. Request to advertise, and resignation submitted to Descript Corporate Services.	Report exbmitted to the MM on Resolutions resolved	Spred Scorecards
V PAR-CO	Q.1 - Amusi report on the Resolutions implemented afreque meson-bury reported and instance Regal matters	Q1-100% Signed Scorearch
	42.54	1 - 4678
77% of departmental draft job descripcion, regentions and recognitions and recognitions and tradents for adverts submitted within the supulated timestranes.	77% Seechase in review, forontee alleged miscondust and responding to finishis in the prescribed thinst annes.	77% Compliance of costs acting with immediate subordinate by 31 July 7025.
sal loth 3,00% ywhere bob some his series of the series of	l 71	300% P of
2) Draft departmen Describtions form The Processor exists/armeded describtions and the Processor treatest for adv	27 1775 Generation (2017) Gene	23 - Septed stort send your in-medister subordware
cress to friform allon	creas to information	ccess to Mformation
Improved Performance T	Improved Performence 17	Ingroved Performance T
21 Draft departmental blob (2005) Tysk of departmental draft jeb descriptions, Descriptions, or regentions and resolvamented pipe descriptions, and resolvamented pipe descriptions, and resolvamented pipe descriptions, and resolvant or self-resolvant or resolvament or resolvant	Access to information 277% departmental 3.00% 77% seedbask reviewed, (sported alleged this look of the reported alleged this look of the reported to with 49 prescribed to with 40 prescribed to with	To pronote the right of 13 Stand scenerars of 100% T7% Compliance of contracting with immediate school marked are school dhare by 31 July 70.5.

Ensi with attachments of Policies/By Laws/SOP's sent to MM for approval.	all aquarters	Credit tion Register as proof.
D: N/A	N. C.	77% of Signed declarations by 30 August 2025
	i Mitgation meature; Implemented by June	1 - c4274
Develop(review Policies, By Laws/SOP's) ZDS. (List the Policies, By Laws/SOP's)	, june	77% Complaints of decisabiling if interest by all degree 2005. departmental stell members by 30 August 2005.
\$	3,00%	76 Stand Declaration of 3,00% infected to sid staff members to the department.
30 Mar.		Adhere to Good improved & enhanced Governance Oresign and furnitioning of municipality improved & enhanced improvement of municipality improve
and the same of th	Good Governance and Adheres Public Participation Governa	Sovers

Audit Action Plan, quarterity progress Reports on issues in the Audit Action Plan resolved	O. 4. Annual recort on the Resolutions implemented on MPAC/EXCO/Council Resolutions.	Traditional Count. Traditional Count. Signed MOU.
77% Audit Action Plan 8s was resolved	3 - < 42%	GTR 1= Duell MOU to the Baserto Traditional Council C rights GTR 2+MA GTR 4-Signed MOU
1 - <42%	insperment (MPAC) TO June 2026.	1 - 42%
77% of source (destricted in the audit action plan reported on/feachved	Implementation of ESA/Top Management/ MRAC FXCO /Council Reculations (Departmental Relates) by 30 June 2076.	Spred MOU between Sergus and Dre Bissotho Treditional Council by June 2026
Š	900Y	23 Curving out the algules 2009. In Memoral and a Memoral
Improved & emanced Oversight and (urextorney of municipality	O serviger and trunchosing y d municipality of	Attending complaints and to swing of Land invasions contravention indices.
Adhere to Good Governance	Addrews to Governance Governance	Spatial Planning and Improved land Emicromental administration Develorment

Submittee the appointment letter of service provider and the approved Quantelly Reports to the MM (via email).			Appointment Letter			Appointment Letter			Weighting: 20.00%
Q4-Appointment the service provider		*	Annual target -by June 2026			Q1=N/A. Q2=N/A Q3=N/A. Q4 - Appointment letter of the service provider			QUARTERLY TARGETS
1. = < not even a draft available	2 = First draft available but not yet approved	3 = submitted for approval on last due date;	1. = < not even a droft available	2 = First draft available but not yes approved	3 - submitted for approval on last due date;), = < not even a draft available	2 » First draft available but not yet approved	3 = submitted for approvel on last due date:	TARGET STANDARDS
77% Completion of the establishment of Township Registers for Lady Grey and Herschel by June 30 2026			Appoint Service provider for EIA by June 2026			Establishment of Township Registers for Lady Grey and L. = c not even a draft available. Herschei			ANNUAL TARGET
3d) Establishment of 3,00% 77 Trownship Signature of 1,00% 1			33) Formsleation of 200% A			32 Identifying investment 3,00% E properties within Sengu			100.00%
Improved land Use significant on Management.			implementing land use Enure submission of management system for benefitsinks forms Sentu Local Municipality submitted to the DoHS.	-		Implementing land use Planning the integration management system for of the social, economic, Sengu Local Municipativity institutional and physical aspects of land development to improve the overall development of all human estitionments			TOTAL BEHAVIOURAL COMPETENCIES INDIDINGUATION OF THE PROPERTY
ndurju Padurju			htms.			igmi man. Seng			TOTAL BEHAVIOURA

Strategic Sessions attendence regisiters	Sults Audit Register	Policy Workshop attendance register
CD: *N/A CD: Strategic Session CD: Strategic Session CD: *N/A	Q1-11V/A C2-15kil Audh Regester Q3-H/A Q4-N/A	Q1- MA Q2- MA G3- M/A Q4-Attended policy wortshop
· Not applicable	1* Not appikable	1= Not applicable
Provide and direct which of the institution, and inspire II- Net applicable and depoly others to reblive on the strategic institutions mandate.		Able to direct and inflater translutional transformation and real levels in district successions and or large and deliber professional and quality services to the community.
		ena Suu Suu Suu Suu Suu Suu Suu Suu Suu Su
i Statesk offertion and readrestikp	2) People Management	3 Chryge Leadership
Strategic Direction and Accountable and dean municipal administration	instrond casety in communicating communicating communicating communicipal information	Improved planning of municipal programmes atom at man at municipal programmes ero of the control
Institutional Strategic Decitor Transformation and Leadership Decembrament	Transferrent aktorio	integration of the social in integration of the social in integration of the social in the social integration of the social principle of the social properties of the development to the oversit development of all human settlements in human settlements.

4 Attendance Registers of SEM				4 Attendance Registers of SEM			Approved plans
Q1:1 SEM Meeting Attended	Q2-1.5EM Meeting Attended	Q3-1 SEM Meeting Attended	Q4-1 SEM Meeting attended	QL-1 SEM Meeting Attended	(L-1) SEM Meeting Attended Q3-1 SEM Meeting Attended	Q4-1.5EM Meeling attended	Tright
32	02-136	9-1 SE	04-136	25 CG	81-9 81-9	04-156	Annul Trage
1- Not applicable				I- Not applicable			1 - Not applicable
Axie to critically analyse information, challenges and it treats to establish and implement fact based solutions that are impossible to improve institutional processors in order to achieve buy stratigot objectives.				Able to promove the generation and shainsg of the showledge the should. And offormation into ugh very collective knowledge based on incide to enhance the collective knowledge based on the page 100 to			Able to understand program and project monagement methodology plan, manage, monitor and evaluate specific arishine in order to deliver on the set objectives.
				2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2			
Ahrafris and (incoration 10.00%				S Knowledge and information management			6 Project 110.00%
4		**************************************		6			
Improved planning of municipal programmes				municipal programmes			Gulture Excelence
kingsoned Performance In Management				Management			Management Orlice High Manicipal Service Management Culture
26							Balit Service Delivery

4 Attendance hegatiess of A.C.	Proof of submission of Decontmental Budget Injust	4 Atrondance Regisses of RING.
O.1.1 AC Meeting Attended O.2.1 AC Meeting Attended O.2.1 AC Meeting Attended O.2.2 AC Meeting Attended O.3.2 AC Meeting attended	O1-NVA Q2 submit inputs (Adjustment) Q3-submit inputs (Adjustment) Q4-submit inputs (Malin)	CD-1 RMC Attended CQ-1, RMC Attended CQ-1, RMC Attended CQ-1, RMC Attended CQ-1, RMC Attended
1=Not applicable	1- Not applicable th th	ton
Able to plan, prioritise and organize information and resources deletirely to ensure the quality-of-service deleterer and build off-service deleterer and build off-service confingency plans to manage risk.	Abbr to complet plan and manage builgest, control clarbflows, instructe financial first handgeherst and administer protesteds a processed in accordance with receptives fromting processes. In accordance with receptives fromting practice, further to resource that all financial transactions are managest in an ethical manner.	Able to promite direct and aprily professionalism in manager, risk and compliance of compliance and apply in the manager of the compliance of apply and apply in the complex professional and a posterior and apply in the complex professional and release to successful and of release to successful and of release to successful and of release to successful and an apply and apply apply and apply apply and apply and apply apply and apply and apply apply apply and apply apply and apply apply and apply apply and apply apply apply apply and apply a
7 Phenning and Organishing 100.004	S franctal Management 10.00%	D Governmen Leadership 10.00%
Management municipal programmes municipal programmes	Fhantid Management Munitipul Fhantida Growth	Imperation of High Mankpal Service Resolutions made by Deliver Excellence Resolutions made by Deliver Excellence Structures and ensuring Project deligations
Mansserner	Financial Viability and Financial Management	Good Governance and Implementa Public Participation Resultions Structures a proper Gele

и			ź
٨	•	ĸ	ġ
и		•	١
r	r	2	•
ч	ч	-	
п		=	:
	ь	Ξ	
u			ş
п	ľ	ė	i
u	Þ	-	i
п	О	٠	١
п	-3		
п	ь	×	
п			
П			
п			
п			
п			
п			
п			
П			
п			

TOTAL

By signing this performance scorecard, the a Lebogang Lekhu	ianager and employee hereby indicate their full understanding of. Manager:	adjectment with the content of the scoredard. The manager and the employee both accrowwedge that this is in full compliance with Sendou Local Municipality's Performance Management Policy. TEMBINISCS MANNONIGA.	
Lebogang Lekhu	Signed by:	THEMBINKOSI MAWONGA	
7/30/2025	Date:	7/31/2025	

DIRECTOR MUNICIDAL DEVELOPMENT 8, TOWN PLANNING PART E: COMPETENCE - BASED INDIVIDUAL DEVELOPMENT PLAN - WEIGHTING OF 20 %

This Part of the Partnersex Agreement is about the dessipationed of the employee. Destigational rise has been devided into trass aspects in order to meet all the employee work and personal development reaches a provided for by the SIMs development legislation of South Minta. The locus is on mounting every employee develops and grows every year since pid demands and the treaded environment is consistently champing.

The competence development in this Part E has been mede measurable to stop the Intail of employees wheep listing competence areas which they have no intension of pursuits and advanced plantments, Further because inconsequential of pursuits and advanced plantments, and they go elike with the occupation because inconsequential purples as speech of individual Consequential Part in purples and their individual plantment to the second individual consequential purples as well. This Part E is ment to change the acquaistion to be as important any performance achievement as achieving any other trapts.

A previous skills audit against competence erea agreed between the employee and heaher manager is assumed. If there has been no formal skills audit done, encedoal self and manager performance-based audits will have to be used to initiate the process of fooling meaningful breedines).

The following assumptions are important to futili, namely;

1. For the employee's Manager to;

o Provide all resources (inne, mover, l'amsport del.) la affact the emplowe in the described develorment of the strategies of the emplowers in the connections of the activities of the employees of the employees of the employees of the first develorment of the employees of the first develorment of the first develorment of the employees of the employees of the preference of the employees of t

2. For the employee to:

O Comple all served training and development to the restained confedence levels
O Complete all served training and development to the restained complete and the server and

A Below is the retires scale used to determine and cultivate competence together.

It is considered to a which considered to the considere

Name of unployers: Levoganis Lesiou Current Jeb Robe i, Title: Director Development and Town Flumining Nome of Cleater I Department When Employers' Remixipal Development and Town Planning Period Covered by the Part B of the Employer's Performance Plant 1" Jeb; 2025 Naming

							The same of the same of the same of	THE RESERVED THE PERSON NAMED IN COLUMN					
Symber and	لــا	FEET OF THE PERSON OF THE STATE OF	Essentine Competence	PERSONAL PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PERSON OF THE PER	SECTOR STATE OF STREET THE	Sought to extreme tria	Method to be stant to acquire this	Meters of Enderson	Assessment of Competense Admissessed I biskridus Day Lends Absond	est i befridue Om Lev	fr Absonal		
Name of Identified	<u> </u>		N.B. Bastd	Centpotess	Adresond				Present Yespet	Assert	Derivation	Ruthing season Performance Philipson	Parisona.
1. OND	A retail of Consponence an are continued as and	2000.000		_									
Section 2: General Banapa	al / Enployee Personal Develop	Section 2: General Ratingwish Escapioyes Personal Development - 199% of Part E. Not Yest 2024425											
Moreber and	5	Spiritual of the KP / Combisions	Stand by Current Combitons Prefix lens	Targeted Campelanes Lavel ()	Targeted Campelance Lavel (Tick one of three lave posselble Clevels)	Borigae to existente Shia	spg enjekos et peso	Emer of Evidence		Acquisition of Ca	Assessment of Campaisnos Achterement Impledess Day Levels Attained	vided Dat Levels Attalned	
Nome of Identified Comprehence				Conspand Level	pachology	chaptence	CUMPACUA		Manned Active		Ŏ.E.	Owkishes from target (videoled at linearing Proformance Perpesse (al.) year end)	orformance Perpense (al
2,10980		400,00%	Beeic	Centrodreci				Certificates of	Space Basic				
			Basic	Competent				completion	Competent State				
Sub-Yolk: Section 1		1,000											
Employee's Numer					Employee Signature		Date of Signing:	ining:					
Supervising Manager's Name and Title:	's Kens and Title:				Supervisors Signature		Date of Signing:	Jujud:					
Corporate Services P	erformanca Mgd Unid Wh	Cosporata Services Parformance Mgl Unit Wikness s Mane (Representing Performance Mgl Office):	formance Mgt Office):	Wheels's Signature	ithere		Data of Sagning	gr _e ga.					