

### PERFORMANCE AGREEMENT

### MADE AND ENTERED INTO BY AND BETWEEN:

### **SENQU MUNICIPALITY**

"(Hereinafter referred to as the employer)" Represented by

Mayor Councillor Velile Victor Stokhwe

and

Mr Thembinkosi Mawonga
Municipal Manager
"(Hereinafter referred to as the employee)"

2025/2026

PERFORMANCE AGREEMENT: PART A

### 1. INTRODUCTION

- 1.1 The **Employer** has entered into a contract of employment with the **Employee** in terms of section 57(1) (a) of the Local Government: Municipal Systems Act 32 of 2000 ("the Systems Act"). The **Employer** and the **Employee** are hereinafter referred to as "the Parties".
- 1.2 Section 57(1) (b) (ii) of the Systems Act, read with the Contract of Employment concluded between the parties, requires the parties to conclude an annual performance agreement within one (1) month after the beginning of each financial year of the Municipality.
- 1.3 The parties wish to ensure that they are clear about the goals to be achieved and secure the commitment of both the Employer the Employee to a set of outcomes that will secure Local Government policy goals.
- Section 57(1) (a) (b), (4A), (4B), (4C) and (5) of the Systems Act; No 32 of 2000 and subsequent amendments (the Systems Act, No Act 7 of 2011), read with the Contract of Employment concluded between the parties, requires the parties to conclude an annual performance agreement within 60 days after the beginning of the financial year. The updated Performance review of the previous year will occur no later than July each year.
- 1.5 In its comprehensive version, this Performance Agreement is made up of five components, namely,
  - 1.5.1 PART A: The generic contextualizing agreement, which is not to be measured / assessed for performance but rather sets the legal and relationship context and stage for all other subsequent assessable sections / parts of this performance agreement.
  - 1.5.2 PART B (Approximate weighting = 80%): Employee's Core Contributory Performance Top Layer SDBIP-Based Scorecard Issues. It is the Performance Plan for which this executive employee alone leads and is accountable for achieving the specific and unique outcomes set out for his / her department across the whole municipality in the Top Layer SDBIP. Part B does not focus on outcomes that a generic for all executives performed within and for their departments. It is the first measurable Part, wherein measurable targets in all unique Strategic (from the TL SDBIP) and Functional (From Job Profiles) outcomes and objectives have been set. For brevity, these outcomes and objectives must come from all only those KPAs wherein the executive employee's work cannot be generalized with that of her / his other executive peers (in Part C below). The individual executive employee targets are based on the (i) Top Layer (Institutional) and (ii) Departmental /Directorate SDBIPs objectives and targets wherein the

- section 57 Manager plays a unique significant leadership contribution (iii) whatever strategic targets set in the Executive's Employment Contract Performance Clause.
- 1.5.3 PART C (approximate weighting = 0%): This is a crucial part of the executive employee's scorecard. It measures those aspects of work that all executives at this level are expected to perform on and achieve working as Heads for their departments. Since all executive directors do most of their work through other employees below them (subordinate / divisional managers), at this executive level, this Part C carries the greatest weighting of all the other scorecards in the Performance Agreement. It plans out and measures all departmental level strategic (in the departmental SDBIP) and generic / core managerial competence areas largely found in the functional list of the executive's COGTA Job Profile list of activities and outputs. Though most important, these core managerial competences (CMC and Critical Competence Requirements (CCRs), these are not unique. They are generic competences and performances that every section 57 executive employee must perform and be assessed on. These cover all 6 KPAs though mostly weighted heaviest on KPAs 3 (Financial Management & Viability); KPA 4: Institutional Transformation and Municipal Development) and KPA 5: Good Governance & Public Participation). These come from annually selected and prioritized Core Managerial Competences (CMCs) and Core Competency Requirements (CCRs). This selection process in this year has been streamlined to pick and use only those aspects which are already listed in the various Directors & MM's Job Profiles combined with those leadership roles implied in achieving each of the Top Layer SDBIP objectives and targets unique to the department that the executive leads.
- 1.5.4 PART D (0%): Antecedent Input Behaviours. These are strategically winning behaviours that define what an individual must do in order that they succeed in leading strategic performance in the municipality and in their department. These have been given a weighting of zero - meaning they will be understood to be applicable in future but are not measured this Financial Year as a way of not slowing down the overwhelming parts of change management. In the years when these will be measured, their weighting will be borrowed from Parts B and C above so that this Part D weighs a maximum of 15% and still retain the overall weighting of Parts B, C & D at 80. The logic of including Part D Scorecard in the Performance Agreement is that, any manager or any employee's performance is enhanced or reduced by certain behavioral habits / practices that they adopt and display, for example, how they manage time, how the make decisions, how they build, lead or work in teams to mention a few. To ensure that these winning antecedent behaviours are always at their most positive to impact performance, KPIs and Targets are set in this Part to measure the institutionally selected behaviours. The selection of the top five or so can be agreed at executive management level or assigned to the MM and her / his delegated director (like Corporate Services Director where Individual Performance resides).

- 1.5.5 PART E (20%): Competence based Individual Development or Learning Plan (CBIDP). These are commonly called Personal Development Plans (PDPs) or Individual Development Plans (IDPs). Different from previous practices in many organisations, in this Part E, these competence development areas will be planned and measured against (i) competence gaps in fulfilling current job requirements and performances (roughly 40% of this Part E) (ii) generic individual managerial or developmental competence / performance gaps (roughly 40% weighting of this Part E) (iii) life development goals (at 20% weighting at own cost but leave time allowed for examinations). Unlike in Senqu's previous practices on the PDPs, and unlike what many other municipalities where PDPs are just a "wish list", whose actual achievement does not impact the employee's performance, in this case these Competence-Based Individual Development Plans CBIDPs have set targets and will constitute 20% of the overall performance of that employee in line with the provisions of the Skills Dev Act.
- 1.5.6 This Performance Agreement cannot be interpreted as if it is replaceable by the Performance Clause in the Executive's 5 Year Contract of Employment as some do at times. This agreement is an annualized sub-set through whose cumulative performance measurement and tracking, whatever the executive would have committed to deliver in 5 years gets achieved.

### 2. PURPOSE OF THIS AGREEMENT

The purpose of this Agreement, as agreed by both parties, is to, inter alia.

- comply with the provisions of Section 57(1)(b), (4A),(4B) and (5) of the Act as well as the employment contract entered into between the parties;
- 2.2 specify objectives and targets defined and agreed with the employee and to communicate to the employer's expectations of the employee's performance and accountabilities in alignment with the Integrated Development Plan, Service Delivery and Budget Implementation Plan (SDBIP) and the Budget of the Municipality.
- 2.3 specify accountabilities as set out in a performance plan, which forms an annexure to the performance agreement.
- 2.4 monitor and measure performance against set targeted outputs.
- 2.5 use the performance agreement as the basis for assessing whether the employee has met the performance expectations applicable to his or her job.

### Performance Agreement – Part A: Municipal Manager, 2025/2026

- 2.6 in the event of outstanding performance, to appropriately reward the employee; and
- 2.7 give effect to the employer's commitment to a performance-orientated relationship with its employee in attaining equitable and improved service delivery.

### 3 COMMENCEMENT AND DURATION

- 3.1 Notwithstanding the date of signature hereto, this Agreement will commence on the 1st July 2025 and will remain in force until 30th June 2026. Thereafter, a new Performance Agreement, made up of the Performance Plan (the four parts B, C, & D Scorecards) and Personal Development Plan (CBIDP) shall be concluded between the parties for the next financial year or any portion thereof along the lines explained above.
- 3.2 The parties will review all the provisions of this Performance Agreement against its own efficaciousness as well as against existing and / or new local government policy changes in June of each year as part of the Performance Management System Annual Review. The parties will conclude a new Performance Agreement and Performance Plan that replaces this Agreement at least once a year by not later than 31st July of each successive financial year.
- 3.3 This Agreement will terminate on the termination of the **Employee**'s contract of employment for any reason.
- 3.4 The content of this Agreement may be revised at any time during the above-mentioned period to redetermine the applicability of the matters agreed upon.
- 3.5 If at any time during the validity of this Agreement, the work environment alters (whether as a result of Government or Council decisions or otherwise) to the extent that the contents of this Agreement are no longer appropriate, the contents shall immediately be revised.
- 3.6 All revisions and amendments of this Agreement must be **immediately** noted and **immediately** counter-signed by the two agreeing parties, namely, the Employer and the Employee.

- 4 PERFORMANCE OBJECTIVES, KEY PERFORMANCE INDICATORS (KPIs), BASELINES AND TARGETS
  - 4.1 Mutually aligned performance objectives, related KPIs, their KPI related baselines and targets form the basis and value chain of any strategic performance management system of any organisation like the municipality.
  - 4.2 They are kept in proper alignment through a Performance Plan as described below.
  - 4.3 The full Performance Plan or Scorecard (Parts B, C, D & E) sets out-
    - 4.1.1 the performance objectives and targets that must be met by the **Employee**; and
    - 4.1.2 the time frames within which those performance objectives and targets must be met.
  - 4.4 The performance objectives and targets reflected in (Parts B, C and D) as well as the Part E: (Competence Based Individual Development Plan (CBIDP) are set by the Employer in consultative agreement (hence the term Performance Agreement) with the Employee and are all based on or clearly aligned to the approved Integrated Development Plan, Top Layer Service Delivery and Budget Implementation Plan (TL SDBIP) and the Budget of the Employer and shall include key strategic and functional objectives; key performance indicators; target dates, weightings to reflect urgency and prioritisation, resource requirements, Means of Evidence Verification (MOVs).
    - 4.2.1 The key objectives describe the main intended achievements that need to be accomplished as derived from the Institutional (Top Layer) and Departmental SDBIPs wherein the Director makes their contribution in the Municipality.
    - 4.2.2 The key performance indicators provide the details of the evidence that must be provided to show that a key objective has been achieved. Key here means that there are many indicators from where one can chose, so the choice must prioritise only the few that will move forward the strategic objectives the most.
    - 4.2.3 The baselines are very important and must be decided consistent with language and units implied in the performance indicator when measurement of the indicator starts at the beginning of the year.

### Performance Agreement – Part A: Municipal Manager, 2025/2026

- 4.2.4 The targets describe the quantity or quality of the performance achieved in that objective and key performance indicator. In most cases, because the timeframe for achieving such a target is understood to be quarterly, semi-annually or annually, timeframes are not mentioned beyond these terms or columns.
- 4.2.5 The **weightings in** % show the importance of the key performance indicators and their targets relative to each other. They are crucial in computing the weighted average ratings at the end of period (quarterly, semi-annually, or annually). It is prudent to always work with an internal total weighting of 100% for each Scorecard (be it Part B, C, D or E).
- 4.5 The **Employee**'s performance will, always, be measured in terms of contributions to the goals and strategies set out in the **Employer**'s Integrated Development Plan and other sub-ordinate plans and projects that support the achievement of this IDP.

### 5 THE MUNICIPALITY'S PERFORMANCE MANAGEMENT SYSTEM

- The Employee accepts that the purpose of the performance management system is to provide a comprehensive system with specific performance standards and targets to assist the Employer, Management and Municipal staff to perform to reach the standards required for each local government KPA.
- 5.3 The Employer will consult and support the Employee about all aspects required to achieve the specific performance standards that will be included in the performance management system as applicable to the Employee.
- The Employee undertakes to actively focus towards the promotion and implementation of all the KPAs, objectives and KPIs (including special projects relevant to the employee's responsibilities) within the Local Government framework.
- 5.5 The criteria upon which the performance of the Employee shall be assessed shall consist of two components, both of which shall be contained in the Performance Agreement.

- 5.5.1 The Employee must be assessed against both components, with a weighting of 80:20 allocated to the Key Performance Areas, Objectives and Targets set in PARTS B, C, & D (when Part D is being measured) and the Competence Based Individual Development Plans (CBIDP in lieu of the usual PDP) based on prioritized Learning & Development Requirements (CCRs) respectively.
- 5.5.2 Each area of assessment (as per the four Parts described above) will be weighted and will contribute a specific part to the total score accordingly.
- 5.5.3 KPAs covering the main areas of work (PARTS B, C & D) will account for 80% and selected Developmental CRs (in the PART E CBIDP) will account for 20% of the final assessment.
- 5.5.4 The total score must be determined using the rating calculator which will always be appropriately calibrated to accommodate all the three or four measurable scorecards and the weighting given to each KPI and target within each KPA as found in the four measurable scorecards, namely, Parts B, C, D and E. (This year Part D has been left out for introduction next year).
- 5.6 The Employee's assessment will be based on his / her performance in terms of the outputs / outcomes (measured through the performance indicators and their related targets) identified as per attached Performance Plan (PARTS B, C & D), which are collectively and distributively linked to all the 6 KPA's, and will constitute 80% of the overall assessment result as per the weightings agreed to between the Employer and Employee. The weightings are set based, firstly, on this director's key job profiles which specify key activities / roles assigned to the incumbent as the director (HOD) of the department in their pursuit of each their own core KPAs, notwithstanding that this director, like all others must play supportive roles in the work done in other KPAs (signified by varying weighting each year).
- 5.7 The weightings shown below, while changeable to align with both the prevailing strategic and functional thrusts that this director must pursue, they must align with the average weighting per KPA assigned to each of the 80% Scorecards (Parts B and C combined). The Table below shows the weightings agreed for this current year for this director.

Key Performance Areas (KPA's)	Weighting
Basic Service Delivery	63%
Municipal Institutional Development and Transformation	5%
Local Economic Development (LED)	5%

Key Performance Areas (KPA's)	Weighting
Municipal Financial Viability and Management	25%
Good Governance and Public Participation	2%
Spatial Planning and Environmental Development	0%
Total	100%

- In the case of managers / directors directly accountable to the Municipal Manager, the weighting of the key performance areas related to the strategic and functional area of the relevant Manager, will always be subject to negotiation between the Municipal Manager and the relevant manager / director. These agreed weightings must be translated to the top of each KPA in the actual Plan templates (PARTS B, C & D).
- The developmental Competency Requirements (CR) (learning requirements in the CBIDP) will make up part of the other 20% of the Employee's assessment score. CRs that are deemed to be most critical for the Employee's specific job should be selected (√) from the list below as agreed to between the Employer and Employee. Three of the CRs are compulsory for Municipal Managers. These Leading CRs make PART C Generic / Core Managerial Competences (which are common to every Director / Senior Manager as agreed. A selection of Optional Core Competencies is selected each year and will form part of the Antecedent Input Behaviours (as explained earlier).
- In this year's Performance Agreement, all of the critical and desired competency requirements have mostly been taken care of through Part C built largely on the directors' Job Profiles, which, coming from COGTA have already selected which of the CRs are important. So the table below is only a guide not a prescription for the KPIs and targets set out in Part C.

CORE COMPETENCY REQUIREMENTS (CCRs) FOR EMPLOYEES – Same Weighting for executives and managers at the same level!				
LEADING COMPETENCIES	V	WEIGHT		
Strategic Direction and Leadership	√	10%		
People Management	1	15%		
Program and Project Management	1	15%		
Financial Management	√	60%		
Change Leadership	<b>√</b>	0%		
Governance Leadership	<b>√</b>	0%		
CORE COMPETENCIES				
Moral Competence	1	0%		

CORE COMPETENCY REQUIREMENTS (CCRs) FOR EMPLOYEES – Same Weighting for executives and managers at the same level!				
LEADING COMPETENCIES	1	WEIGHT		
Planning and Organising	1	0%		
Analysis and Innovation	1	0%		
Knowledge and Information Management	1	0%		
Communication	√ V	0%		
Results and Quality Focus	1	0%		
Total percentage		100%		

### 6. EVALUATING PERFORMANCE

- 6.1 The Performance Plan Scorecards (Parts B, C and D) to this Agreement each set out -
  - 6.1.1 the standards and procedures for evaluating the Employee's performance; and
  - 6.1.2 the intervals for the evaluation of the Employee's performance.
- 6.2 Despite the establishment of agreed intervals for evaluation, the Employer may in addition, review the Employee's performance at any stage while the contract of employment remains in force, subject to sufficient notice and reasons for justifying the variation being given to the employee.
- 6.3 Personal growth and development needs identified during any performance review discussion must be documented in a Competence-Based Individual Development Personal Development Plan as well as the actions agreed to and implementation must take place within set time frames.
- 6.4 The Employee's performance will be measured in terms of contributions to the goals and strategies set out in the Employer's IDP and subordinate TL SDBIP and Departmental SDBIP and operational plans.
- 6.5 The annual performance appraisal will involve:
  - 6.5.1 Assessment of the achievement of results as outlined in the performance plan:
    - (a) Each KPA should be assessed according to the extent to which the specified standards or performance targets set for each key performance indicator (KPI) have been met and with due regard to ad hoc tasks that had to be performed under the KPA.

- (b) An indicative rating on the five-point scale should be provided for each target first and then aggregated for each KPA.
  - (c) The applicable assessment rating calculator (refer to paragraph 6.5.3 below) must then be used to add the scores and calculate a final weighted average rating for each KPA score.

### 6.5.2 Assessment of the Competency Requirements

- (a) Each Competency Requirement should be assessed according to the extent to which the specified standards (based on the standard being treated as a KPI and calibrated targets set, agreed and subsequently met).
- (b) An indicative rating on the five-point scale should be provided for each selected CR based on set KPIs and related targets.
- (c) This rating should be multiplied by the weighting given to each selected CR during the contracting process, to provide a score.
- (d) The applicable assessment rating calculator (refer to paragraph 6.5.1) must then be used to add the scores and calculate a final CR score.

### 6.5.3 Overall rating

An overall rating is calculated by using the applicable assessment-rating calculator. Such overall rating represents the outcome of the performance appraisal.

- The calibration of all set targets in each section / PART of the Performance agreement as well as the subsequent assessment of the performance attained by the Employee for each target will be based on the following rating scale for KPA's and the selected Competence Requirements (CRs) as reflected Part C taking from the Directors' Job Profiles which in turn have drawn them from the following CRs.
- 6.7 Below is the local government municipal regulations rating scale that each municipality has adopted.

Level	Terminology	Description		Rating				
Feaci	reminology	Description	1	2	3	4	5	
ž -	0	Performance far exceeds the standard expected of						
	-	an employee at this level. The appraisal indicates						
		that the Employee has achieved above fully						
5	Outstanding	effective results against all performance criteria and						
	performance	indicators as specified in the PA and Performance						
	periormance	plan and maintained this in all areas of						
		responsibility throughout the year.						
		Performance is significantly higher than the						
	Deference	standard expected in the job. The appraisal						
	Performance	indicates that the Employee has achieved above						
4	significantly above	fully effective results against more than half of the						
	expectations	performance criteria and indicators and fully						
		achieved all others throughout the year.						
		Performance fully meets the standards expected in						
		all areas of the job. The appraisal indicates that the						
	Fully effective	Employee has fully achieved effective results						
3	I ully ellective	against all significant performance criteria and						
		indicators as specified in the PA and Performance						
		Plan.						
		Performance is below the standard required for the						
		job in key areas. Performance meets some of the						
		standards expected for the job. The						
	Not fully effective	review/assessment indicates that the employee has						
2		achieved below fully effective results against more						
		than half the key performance criteria and indicators						
		as specified in the PA and Performance Plan.						

Level	Terminology	Description		ting			
Level		Description		2	3	4	5
		Performance does not meet the standard expected					
=	F	for the job. The review/assessment indicates that					
	2	the employee has achieved below fully effective					
		results against almost all of the performance criteria					
	Unacceptable	and indicators as specified in the PA and					
1	performance	Performance Plan. The employee has failed to					
		demonstrate the commitment or ability to bring					
		performance up to the level expected in the job					
		despite management efforts to encourage			2		
		improvement.					

- 6.7 For purposes of evaluating the annual performance of the Municipal Manager, an evaluation panel constituted of the following persons must be established moderate the ratings first agreed between the Employee i.e. the MM and their supervisor (Executive Mayor)-
  - 6.7.1 Executive Mayor or Mayor.
  - 6.7.2 Chairperson of the performance audit Committee or the Audit Committee in the absence of a performance audit committee.
  - 6.7.3 Member of the Mayoral or Executive Committee or in respect of a plenary type of Municipality, another member of Council.
  - 6.7.4 Mayor and/or Municipal Manager from another Municipality; and
  - 6.7.5 Member of a Ward Committee as nominated by the Executive Mayor or Mayor.
- 6.8 For purposes of evaluating the annual performance of Managers directly accountable to the Municipal Managers, an evaluation panel constituted of the following persons must be established;
  - 6.8.1 The Municipal Manager.
  - 6.8.2 Chairperson of the performance Audit Committee or the Audit Committee in the absence of a performance Audit Committee.
  - 6.8.3 Member of the Mayoral or Executive Committee or in respect of a plenary type of Municipality, another member of Council; and
  - 6.8.4 another Municipal Manager from another Municipality.
- The Manager responsible for Human Resources of the Municipality must provide secretariat services to the evaluation panels referred to in sub-regulations (6.7) and (6.8).

### 7. SCHEDULE FOR PERFORMANCE REVIEWS

- 7.1 Before the on-set of the Performance Management Cycle, all targets in PARTS B, C, and D must be calibrated against the rating scale of 1 5 in order to minimize common subjectivity that occurs when assessments are done during appraisal time.
- 7.2 The performance of each **Employee** in relation to his / her performance agreement shall be reviewed on the following dates with the understanding that reviews in the first and third quarter may be verbal if performance is satisfactory:

First quarter:	July – September	Period Review Report Concluded on
Second quarter	: October – December	Period Review Report Concluded on
Third quarter: Ja	nuary – March P	Period Review Report Concluded on
Fourth quarter:	April – June P	Period Review Report Concluded on

- 7.2 During these review sessions, targets are scored or rated, the targets may also be renegotiated and adjusted in re-alignment to the available budgets and other prevailing environmental conditions as necessary. The Employer shall keep a record of the mid-year review and annual assessment meetings and scores for cumulative averaging at the end of each year as provided by the policy.
- 7.3 Performance feedback shall be based on the Employer's assessment of the Employee's performance done in mutual agreement with the employee.
- 7.4 The Employer will be entitled to review and make reasonable changes to the provisions of all PARTS "B, C & D & E" from time to time for operational reasons. The Employee will be fully consulted before any such change is made.
- 7.5 The Employer may amend the provisions of PARTS B, C, and D & E whenever the performance management system is adopted, implemented and / or amended as the case may be. In that case the Employee will be fully consulted and ideally be in agreement before any such change is made. Both parties will sign-off any amendments made as such agreements are legally binding on both parties.

### 8. DEVELOPMENTAL REQUIREMENTS

The Competence-Based Individual Development Plan (CBIDP) in lieu of the normal Personal Development Plan (PDP) for addressing developmental gaps is attached as Part E as annexed.

### 9. OBLIGATIONS OF THE EMPLOYER

- 9.1 The Employer shall -
  - 9.1.1 create an enabling environment to facilitate effective performance by the employee.
  - 9.1.2 provide access to skills development and capacity building opportunities.
  - 9.1.3 work collaboratively with the Employee to solve problems and generate solutions to common problems that may impact on the performance of the Employee.
  - 9.1.4 on the request of the Employee delegate such powers reasonably required by the Employee to enable him / her to meet the performance objectives and targets established in terms of this Agreement; and
  - 9.1.5 make available to the Employee such resources as the Employee may reasonably require from time to time to assist him / her to meet the performance objectives and targets established in terms of this Agreement.

### 10. CONSULTATION

- 10.1 The Employer agrees to consult the Employee timeously where the exercising of the Employer and / or Employee's delegated powers will have amongst others, a direct effect on the performance of any of the Employee's key functions, in particular;
  - 10.1.1 at the initial agreement of this document called the Performance Agreement (Parts A, B, C, D and E), it is envisaged that consultative discussions will be held and that the final signed off agreement will be signed for each part to signify that there was discussion and consensus for each part of this agreement. It is envisaged that the employee will prepare and present to the Employer a draft Performance Agreement (in all its agreed Parts showing calibrated targets for each scorecard) for discussion at a timely agreed date and time. Once agreed, dated and signed by both parties, the Performance Agreement is deemed to be legally binding to both parties, such that no party shall vary any part of it without consultation and agreement with the other Party.
  - 10.1.2 at every performance appraisal / review session (quarterly in line with the municipal performance regulations, unless agreed otherwise within the municipality's Performance Management policy),

the Employee shall present their own self rating scores and reasons for discussion and comparing notes with the Employer (who will also have already scored the employee independently prior to the meeting). In this meeting notes are being compared, agreements on performance levels to be maintained, or improved agreed with clear additional support for the employee being agreed. Such support will include necessary coaching and mentoring, training and development arrangement. It is at these formal review / appraisal sessions that any targets may be adjusted, in writing and all changes co-signed against this initial agreement by both parties indicating reasons for such a change.

- 10.1.3 continuously commit the Employee to implement or to give effect to a decision made by the Employer that affect and are affected by this agreement;
- 10.1.4 continuously commit the Employer to render all necessary support (resources, emotional, intellectual and physical) as may be reasonably needed by the employee to optimally perform what has been agreed in this Performance Agreement; and
- 10.1.5 that has a substantial financial effect on the Employer.
- 10.2 The Employer agrees to inform the Employee of any outcome of any decisions taken outside the consultation with the employee, with regards to the implementation of this Performance Agreement pursuant to the exercise of powers contemplated in 10.1 above as soon as is practicable, as part of the Employer's coaching roles in order to enable the Employee to take any necessary action without delay. It is envisaged that such decisions will be rare and mostly coming from outside the municipal administration sphere like council, COGTA, National Treasury, SALGA etc.

### 11. MANAGEMENT OF EVALUATION OUTCOMES

- 11.1 The final evaluation of the Employee's performance will be conclusively pronounced by the selected panel as envisaged in section 27 (d) of the Performance Regulation, also recapped in sub-sections 6.7 and 6.8 in this document above. The panel will perform a moderating role on all signed performance appraisal reports of each review session held and agreed between the Employer and Employee in the course of the year. For administrative fairness, both the Employer and the Employee should always be present or at least represented to clarify any issues that the panel may wish to have clarified.
- 11.2 Based on the final scores / ratings presented to and confirmed by the panel, a performance bonus of between 5% to 14% of the total remuneration package may be paid to the Employee (subject to the policy and the resources available to the municipality as stated in the Systems Act) in recognition

of outstanding performance that the municipality wishes to sustain and also in line with the provisions of the municipal performance management regulations. Such reward will be constituted as follows:

- 11.2.1 a rating of 4 out of the 5 point rating scale is given a score of between 130% to 149% is awarded a performance bonus ranging from 5% to 9%; and
- 11.2.2 a rating of 5 out of the 5 point rating scale is given a score of between 150% and above is awarded a performance bonus ranging from 10% to 14%.
- N.B.: It should be noted that the rating process (against the 5 point scale) must always happen first before the use of the percentage equivalents ranging from 70% 166%+ because not all targets can be calibrated up to 166%+, so it is better to rate first on the numbers and use the % as qualifiers as shown below.
- 11.3 In the case of unacceptable performance, the Employer shall
  - 11.3.1 On confirming performance shortfalls, immediately provide systematic remedial or developmental support to assist the Employee to improve his or her performance; and
  - 11.3.2 After appropriate performance counselling and having provided the necessary guidance and/ or support as well as reasonable time for improvement in performance, the Employer may consider steps to terminate the contract of employment of the Employee on grounds of unfitness or incapacity to carry out his or her duties.

### 12.0 MANAGEMENT OF EVALUATION OUTCOMES

12.1 The evaluation of the Employee's performance shall form the basis for rewarding outstanding and excellent performance only (ratings 4 and 5) or correcting unacceptable performance (Ratings 2 and below) as reflected in the table below –

Rating (out of the 5	% Score (for those	Equivalent on a Usual 100%	% of annual
point scale)	instances where	Maximum (where performance	package
	performance is	can never be meaningfully	payable as a
	meaningfully	calibrated beyond 100%)	performance
	stretchable beyond		Bonus
	100%)		
4.00 - 4.19	130.0% - 133.8%	(65.0% - 66.9%)	5%
4.20 – 4.39	134.0% – 137.8%	(67.0% - 68.9%)	6%

4.40 – 4.59	138.0% - 141.8%	(69.0% - 70.9%)	7%
4.60 – 4.79	142.0% - 145.8%	(71.0% - 72.9%)	8%
4.80 – 4.99	146.0% - 149.8%	(73.0% - 74.9%)	9%
5.00 – 5.19	150.0% - 152.8%	(75.0% - 76.4%)	10%
5.20 - 5.39	153.0% - 155.8%	(76.5% - 77.9%)	11%
5.40 - 5.59	156.0% - 158.8%	(78.0% - 79.4%)	12%
5.60 – 5.79	159.0% - 161.8%	(79.5% - 80.9%)	13%
5.80 – 5.99	162% - 164.8% +	(81.0% - 82.4%) +	14%

- 12.1.1 At the end of the 4th quarter, the Executive Authority will determine, based on the final panel ratings based on the rating / scoring table above, if the s56 / s57 Director / manager is eligible for a performance bonus as envisaged in his/her contract of employment based on the bonus allocations shown in the table above.
- 12.2 In the case of unacceptable performance, the Employer shall
  - 12.2.1 Provide systematic remedial or developmental support to assist with **Employee** to improve his or her performance; and
  - 12.2.2 After appropriate performance counseling and having provided the necessary guidance and/or support as well as reasonable time for improvement in performance, the **Employer** may consider steps to firstly shift the employee to another position within the municipality (if possible). If that does not help, then and only then will the Employer invoke procedures to terminate the contract of employment of the **Employee** on grounds of unfitness or incapacity to competently perform out his or her duties.
  - 12.2.3 Nothing contained in this Agreement in any way limits the right of the Municipality to terminate the Municipal Manager's contract of employment with or without notice for any other breach by the Municipal Manager of his obligations to the Municipality or for any other valid reason in line with fair labour practice and law.

### 13 MERITS AWARDS

13.1.1 Merit awards for Section 56 employees are determined by performance against targets. Once performance criteria have been established, performance targets are reviewed regularly. At the end of the financial year, actual performance is compared against the agreed performance targets to determine the magnitude of the merit increase. The merit increase is calculated as a percentage of the total annual package of the employee, as indicated in the table hereunder.

	*
Score / 200	Merit
130 to 141 (65%-70%)	1% of total package
142 to 149 (71% - 74%)	2% of total package
150 to 161 (75%-80%)	3% of total package

162 to 165 (81 – 82%)		4% of total package	
166+ (83% +)	F. 65	5% of total package	

13.1.2 Merit awards are subject to policy and Budgetary provisions made on an annual basis the merit bonuses may be paid as a 'once off" payment or at agreed quarterly intervals i.e. over a number of months.

### 14 DISPUTE RESOLUTION

- 14.1 Any disputes about the nature of the Municipal Manager's performance agreement, whether it relates to key responsibilities, priorities, methods of assessment and/ or any other matter provided for, shall be mediated by –
  - 14.1.1 The MEC for Local Government in the province within thirty (30) days of receipt of a formal dispute from the Employee; or
  - 14.1.2 Any other person appointed by the MEC.
  - 14.1.3 In the case of Managers directly accountable to the Municipal Manager, a member of the Municipal Council, provided that such member was not part of the evaluation panel provided for in sub-regulation 27(4)(e) of the Municipal Performance Regulations, 2006, within thirty (30) days of receipt of a formal dispute from the employee.
- 14.2.1 In the event that the mediation process contemplated above fails, clause 20.3 of the Contract of Employment shall apply.

### 15. GENERAL

- 15.1 The contents of this agreement and the outcome of any review conducted in terms of Annexure A may be made available to the public by the Employer.
- 15.2 Nothing in this agreement diminishes the obligations, duties, or accountabilities of the Employee in terms of his/ her contract of employment, or the effects of existing or new regulations, circulars, policies, directives or other instruments.
- 15.3 The performance assessment results of the Municipal Manager must be submitted to the MEC responsible for Local Government in the relevant province as well as the National Minister responsible for Local Government, within fourteen (14) days after the conclusion of the assessment.

Performance Agreement – Part A: Municipal Manager, 2025/2026

contained and implied in this Part A of the Per		
Thus <b>done</b> and <b>signed</b> aton the	his the day of 2025.	
AS WITNESSES:		
1		
	EMPLOYEE (Municipal Manager)	
2		
AS WITNESSES:		
1		
	MAYOR (V.V STOKHWE)	

9
2
25/
25
í.
D: FY2
ä
0
4
0
~
-
0
Ö
×
σ,
ш
U
>
5
Q.
5
<
~
0
$\sim$
Œ
ш
•
-
4
<b>DIVIDUAL PERFORMANCE SCORECARD</b>
<u></u>
5
=
0

EMPLOYEE NAME. THE MEININGSI MANONGA LOT TITE: MUJICIPAL MANAGER				PERSONAL CODE: PROVINCE/CLUSTER/UNIT:	PC226
TECHNICAL COMPETENCIES			Control of the Contro	FINANCIAL YEAR:	FY25/26 Weighting: 80.00%
ODTCOMES FY25/26 ANNUAL OPERATIONAL PLAN			NDIVIDUAL PERFORMANCE PLAN		
KPA FOCUS AREA OUTCOME	INDIVIDUAL OI/KPI No INDIVIDUAL KPI WEIGHTING		TARGET STANDARDS	QUARTERLY TARGETS	MEANS OF EVIDENCE VERIFICATION
Leadership	1 Development (I period of municipal wide strategies by 30th May 2026	developed/freflexed developed/freflexed developed/freflexed developed/freflexed developed/freflexed developed/freflexed Management Strategy Desse include from other Directorates  The strategy please include from other Directorates  The strategy please include from the strategy developed Directorates  The strategy please include from the strategy developed from the	1 = <42%	V.√V.	May Council meeting agenda.
Strategic Objection and High Municipal Service Leadership Culture Culture	2 Reviewal of the 5 year Is 00%	By 30th June 2026.	1 = cd 2%	L Council Residentian adopting the process plan. 2. Council Resolution adopting the final IDP 3. Council Resolution adopting the final IDP	Q1-Adoption of IDP & Budget Process plan

Printed On Monday, August 4, 2025

2

A STATE OF THE STA

Council Resolution approving the Adjusted budget,     Notice of the adjusted budget     S. Council Resolution Considering the     Draft and Final budget,	Approved SDBIP	PIP Reports.
Op.N/A	02-4VA	QL: Implentation Status  Q2-  1 * Implentation Status
1 = <25%	1 = 42%	1 = <2.5%
1 = <42% of Strategic Session resolutions and service delines needs allocated funds in the main and adjusted budgets	77% of strategic sension resolutions and service delivery   1 = <42% needs correctly reflected in the approved SOBIP	77 % of grant funded capital in the within capital in starture projects targets met on time, within capital and the right quality (as per the RAS and MIG requiremments)
a) Compilation of the Annual budget for 2005/67027 by 31 May Condition of the 2025-5202 Adjustment budget by 28 February 2026	4 1. Council Resolution 5.00% approving the Adjusted budger, budger, and the adjusted budger 3. Council Presolution Considering the frest and Final budger,	Implementation of all 5,00% frant fundation of all factoring project as per the appropried and as per the appropried and as per the appropried and as per the 2005 frant from the appropried and as per the appropried and as a per the appr
Compliance to financial Accountable and clean Legislation and Contros: municipal administration	anting. Well planned service delivery provisions	Delivery .
Compliance to f	O purpling and Or	MiG Espendhure

The management of the second

3000	5707	
	ŕ	
A LOUIS	TON On	
7500	1	
5	2	
	5	
d		

РР Report	Noads maintenance reports
Q1- implemention Status Q2- 1 = implemention Status	Q2- I = implentation Status
1 - < 42%	1 = <42%
nin.	77% of roads in identified wards as per the Council approved approved mantahance schedule.
\$700 <del>8</del>	8 Maintenance of Roads 5.00% 777 applications of the Part the Council may per the Council may 5.00% and 5.
	Well planned service (a Mainten delivery provisions per then per then Approved Scheduld 2006
>	Planning and Weel p Development deliver
	Very High Municipol Service   Transferrent and s

Printed On Monday, August 4, 2025

Electricity reports	Electricity reports	1. Advertisement, 2. Deed of sale 3. Agreement sale of the grazing land in Barky East
Q2-N/A	η. Θ.	Q1-N/A
1-42%	1 = <0.2%	952b> =
ality (as	77 % reduction of the municipal wide targeted percentage for efectrical losses	77% processes leading to the purchase of grazing land in 1 = <427% and concluded by 30 June 2026
Plimplementation of all 5.00% funded electricity funded electricity funded electricity funded electricity funded electricity funded electricity of something of something of something of the funded electricity funded electr	10 2% execution of electricity losses in the whole of Sengul Municipality by 30 lune 2026	11 Acquisition of Graning 13.00% Ibry 30 June 2026 Ivry 30 June 2026
Service Delivery Infrastructure focused and efficient municipality	Controls	Improved surainable management access to services
na-mondy	Legista	No.idurji Warusu

Occurance Books . Quarterly Reports	Customer Care reports	Annual report on the number of jobs created through LED initiatives including capital projects
01-21 maximum days for stand down period of all Service Delivery vehicles per incident 02-21 maximum days for stand down period of all Service Delivery	Q2-4V.A	77% of jobs created by 30 June 2026.
1=<42%	1 × <4.2%	1 = cd2%
1 = <42%   Service Defivery vehicles per incident   1 = <42%   Service Defivery vehicles per incident	8	jobs created intough local Economic Development (LED) initiatives including capital projects their different contribution to this indicator
%00	9,500	14 S09 blac received 5.00% 77 through IED indistrives job including capital projects Cos by June 2006.
Operational efficiency	5 5 5	nation Improved socio nation the poor.  the poor.
Service Delivery		Local Economic Development implementation

1. Procurement Plan 2025/26 2. Quarterly Reports 3. Wismed off 4. Procurement Plan 3.	Expenditure report of the 2025-2026 CAPEX and Operational Budget reflecting departmental spending (system generated).	Report generated by Finance on Unauthorised, Irregular, Fruitless and Wasteful expenditure.
V/N-70	Q1-W/A	07-N/A
1 = <42%	1 = <42%	1 - c42%
77% of projects awarded as per the approved procurement plan and adjudicated within 90 days	TYS. Budget by 30 June 2025-2026 Capital and Operational Budget by 30 June 2026	77% Reduction of 90% institutional unauthorised, regglar, fruitless and wasteful expenditure by 3D June 300.6.
Inspiration of the SOON Institution of the Institution of Procurement Plan and Procurement Plan and adjudication of tenders within 90 days after the bid advertisement by 30 June 2036	15 Expenditure of the 2025 - 5.00% 2006 Capital and Coperational Budget by 39 June 2026	17) 77% Reduction of 50% 5.00% 77 institutional in in institutional in institutional institutional institution wasted in expenditure by 30 June 2006.
Well planned service 15 lmp Prost delivery provisions Prost address and Prost addres	Culture Continue Service 16 Expenditure Continue 30 Ja	Compliance with local 17 77% Government Legislations institution of the complex o
Financial Vibility and Supply Management Wel	MIG Expenditure Cutts	Compliance to financial Gom tegislation and Controls

d Quarterly Reports Approved by the Chief Financial Officer (CFO	Proof of submission to AGSA	1. Submission register
Q1-Correct billing and revenue collection data	Q1 Approved Financial Statements Q2-N/A	Q1-4V/A
1 = <42%	1 = <27%	1 = <42%
T7 % increase in revenue due to the impermentation of 1 = <42% the Revenue improvement Strategy	at lead to the financial statements	Of training needs submitted to the LGSETA.
%OO:	\$000¢	20 Submission the No. 200% of Submission that Part Tailing Committee to the Tailing Committee (IGSETA
	5	
	and submission of reports.	Transformation and Management Covelopment Management Management Obvelopment

Ollabrenvra	Q1-W/A	G1-W/A  Q2- Submit inputs (Adjustment) G3-submit inputs (Adjustment) G4-submit inputs(Main)	
TAREFSTANDARDS	I - Not applicable	I=Not applicable	
ANNUAL TARGET	ovolde and direct vision of the instruction, and decipor others to deliver on the strategic institutional mandate.	Able to complie plan and manage budgets, control carbiflows, instead from anagement and administration of the management and administration procurement processes in a condumer with recognised financial practices. Further or even that all manner in managed in an ethical manner.	Onmand Br (Present
100.00%		%000g	
KPI		ment	

Weighting: 20.00%
MEANS OF EVIDENCE VERIFICATION
Strategic Sessions attendance registers

TOTAL

BEHAVIOURAL COMPETENCIES

NAME | POLIS AREA | COURNER

Financial validity and | Strategic Direction and | Strategic

risk implementation as per the approved plan in all 4 Report on risk mitgation measures implemented quarters

 21 Risk Wiligation
 2.00%
 77% of Risk Mitgation measures implemented by June
 1 = <42%</td>

 by June 2026
 2026

Good Governance and Adhere to Good Public Participation Governance

Skills audit register		Approved plans	
סז-ז <i>ווא</i> א	CD-1 Skill Audif Register CD-N/A CD-N/A	Annual Target	
J= Not applicable		1= Not applicable	
Effectivity manage, inspire and encourage people, respect diversity optimize talent and build nurture relationships: to achieve institutional objectives. In order to achieve institutional objectives.		Able to understand program and project management intelloodolgy plan, manage, mentor and evaluate specific activities in order to deliher on the set objectives	
			100.00%
5 Feople management 15s.00%		4 Programme and Project 15.00% Management	
on marked as the control of the cont		Help Municipal Service Delivery Excellence Culture	
employee organisation Delevery Accelerace Culture		Service Delivery O	
Transformation and Development		sikery	TOTAL

Motivated and satisfied High Municipal Service

	with Sanger   Octal Manipulation	wich Senda rocal Manucipality S P		
	wee both acknowledge that this is in full compliance			
100.00%	nent with the contents of the scorecard. The manager and the empl	IE STOKHWE		
	d employee hereby indicate their full understanding of, and agreement with the	Manager: Velil	Signed by:	Date
	by signing this performance scorecard, the manager and en	INIBINACISI MAWUNGA	MBINKOSI MAWONGA	1/2025

# PART E: COMPETENCE - BASED INDIVIDUAL DEVELOPMENT PLAN - WEIGHTING OF 29%

This Part of the Performance Agreement is about the development of the employee. Development there has been divided into three aspects in order to meet all the employee work and personal development needs as provided for by the Skills development legislation of South Africa. The focus is on ensuring every employee develops and grows every year stince to demands and the broader environment is constantly changing.

The competence development in this Part E has been made measurable to stop the habit of employees always listing competence areas which they have no intention
of pursuing and excomplishing. Alematerly, even after they go all the way to accomplish these competences, the organisation seems to be oblivious of this accomplishment. Further, because inconsequential princitisation given to this aspect of individual Development Plans (IDPs) managers will other base staff of their planned development routes already agreed and co-algored by both parties at will. This Part E is meeted to change that culture, shifting the acquisition to be as important on performance achievement as otherwise any other tragets.

A previous skills audit against competence area agreed between the employee and hisher manager is assumed. If there has been no formal skills audit done, enecototal self and manager performance-based audits will have to be used to inflate the process of finding meaningful baselines).

## The following assumptions are important to fulfil, namely;

### 1. For the employee's Manager to;

- o Provide all resources (fine, monter), temporal etc.) to afford the employee the desired development of sources provided and the very decidables and the serious serious combrements. The serious serious development Plan (NSP) of serious that the combremont desired and documente action taken but like all other sections of the Performance Scorecard Smiller has it is given to due weething in calculating the overall performance ration of the employee.

pain of being rated lowly in this area.

O CONTIGUE BILL TO CONT

Name of Employee: Thembinhost Mavongs

Correct als Dept of This Amonipula Manager (MM)

Correct als Dept. of This Employee is Manager (MM)

Period Covered by this Part B of the Employee's Performance Plan: I" July, 2025 to 30° June 2026

Period Covered by this Part B of the Employee's Performance Plan: I" July, 2025 to 30° June 2026

Period a Lab Spealle Persons Development - Ne of Part E in this based year (over a pred to N MI I is demonst have)

Number and		The result of the Scotland of	and the country state is a	too competence yap, not a	ID. For now take it as NIA). This section would is re	section would is related to	Directorate's Core Function	ns in Part B of the Scorecard):							ſ
		Weighting of the KPI /	Breeline (Current Compater	Commelence Brotis in a possible C levels	Clevels)					Assessment of Co	Assessment of Competence Achievement / Individual Day Levels Attained	Video Dev Levels Attai	lined		
Name of Identified Competence		Competence	e.g. Basic)	Competent		Advanced	Budget to achieve this competence	Method to be used to acquire this competence	Means of Evidence Verification (MoVs)	Planned Target		Actual Deviation		Refinit grotte for Bodomones Dunes	
												٦			and or other
t.	% level of computence on the 5 level craft	Sienel													
2. KM	used in the														T
E C												-			
Sub-Total			Average of Competence leve	fence level in selected Average Ta	Average Targeted Competence level in selected = ??	Т	"	Most Preferred Methods =	Most preferred MOVe a	D. Control of the Con	Ī	-		Average Raffing Score for this Section of	Spelling of
Section 2: General Manages	isi / Employee Personal Develos	Section 2: General Managarisi / Employee Personal Development - 100% of Part E. for Year 2023/24								Dallin and a state of		Actuals in Average for	verage for this Section =	Part E =	
Number and	5		Baseline (Current	Targeted Competence Level (Tick one of these two possible Clevels)	wel (Tick one of these to							lessment of Competency	Assessment of Compatence Arbievement Undicidual Day I asses a sectional	find David mode Absoluted	
Name of Identified		regions of the NTI Competence	e.g. Competent Level)	land land			compelance	memod to be used to acquire this compelence	Means of Evidence Verification (MoVs)					No. of the last of	
Competence				Competent Level	Advanced					Planned Ach Target	Actual		Devision year end)	Deviation from target (reflected at financial year end)	
CHANGE LT		100,00%	Competent		Advanced	,=	11	coaching, formal blended self-education with a service provider		Advanced Corr	Competent				Year Year and)
	% level of competence on the 5 level scale		Competent		Advanced	,-	22	coaching, formal blended self-education with a service provider	Most preferred MOVs = Certification by the same Accredited Pschometric	Advanced Corr	Compelent				
			Competent	0.0	Advanced		"	coeching, formal blended self-education with a service provider	Service Providers	Advanced Corr	Competent				
Sub -Total: Section 2		100	Average of Saincted 100% Managerial Compelences levels = Compelent	Avensje of Selected Managerial Targated Competences levels = Advanced	agerial Targeted Compe		u	Most Preferred Methods = online or blended tearning	Certified by the Accredited Psychometric Service Provider	Average Planned Aver Target = Advanced	iverage for Actuals in this Section = Competent	on = Competent	Avera	Average deviation for this Section =	Averaga Rating Score for Section 2 of Part E =
GRAND TOTAL (Sections 1 & 2)	5.23	201	Average of Selected 100% Managerial Competences levels = Competent	Total of Selected Managerial Targeted Competences levels - Advanced	rial Targeted Competen	nces levels = Advanced 27		Most Preferred Methods = online or blended learning	Most preferred MOVs = Re-		Average for Actuals in Section 2 = Competent?	- Competent?	Averal	Average for Section 2 =	Average Rating Score for Section 2 of Parl E =
Employee's Name:		Employe	Employee Signature				Date of Signing:								

Corporate Services Performance Mgt Unit Witness's Name (Representing Performance Mgt Office): Witness's Signature

Date of Signing

Date of Signing:

Supervisors Signature

Supervising Manager's Name and Title: